

Highland wide Local Development Plan - Main Issues Report Consultation Summary and Actions Sheet

Reference Number:	HWLDP-MIR-67
Organisation/Individual:	Dr Joan Noble

Action:

Immediate Response Required	
Meeting required with Respondent	
Issue for Area Local Development Plan	
Further Information Required	
Other (Please Specify)	

If no box ticked - issues raised will be dealt with in preparation of the Proposed Plan.

Issues Raised in Response:

Purpose of Main Issues Report	
NPF2 for Scotland	
Vision for the Highlands	x
Inverness and A96	x
The A96 Corridor	
Phasing of Development	
Developer Contributions	
East Inverness	
Nairn	x
Tornagrain	
Smaller Settlements in A96	
Caithness and North Sutherland	
Easter Ross and Nigg	
Development of Local Centres	
Wider Countryside and Fragile Areas	
Population and Housing	x
Housing in the Countryside	
Affordable Housing	x
Planning for an Ageing Population	x
Gypsies/Travellers	
Retailing	
Developer Contributions	
Natural, Built and Cultural Heritage	

Previously used Land	
Wild Land	
Water Environment	
Renewable Energy	
Flooding	
Waste Management	
Air Quality	
Sustainable Design	
Business and Industrial Land	
Accessibility and Transport	
Agricultural Land	x
Subdivision of Existing Crofts	
Allocation of Inbye Land	
New Crofting Township	
Small Scale New Crofts	
Coastal Development	
Forestry and Woodland	
Minerals	
Open Space and Physical Activity	
Access to the Outdoors	
Comments on Consultation Process (+ve)	
Comments on Consultation Process (-ve)	

Key:

Background	Spatial Strategy	Policy Options	Consultation
-------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------

Notes:

<p>Population projections Infrastructure provision needs to be addressed more fully Tourism needs more focus in proposed plan A number of attachments appended to the response regarding consultation on the "A96 growth corridor framework" 2007</p>
--

Action Sheet Completed by:	SH
Date:	8/12/09

8. Preliminary option appraisal

Nairn South appraisal matrix

	A	B	C	D	E
Option	Highland Smart Growth Development Principle				
Environment	Likely meet although extensive low density housing allocations may threaten. Exceeds through extensive buffers and open space provision.	Likely meet or exceed. Meets through clear landscape structure.	Likely meet or exceed. Meets through clear landscape structure.	Fails as proposals do not respond to Nairn layout. Exceeds through innovative community woodland proposals.	Likely meet or exceed. Meets through clear landscape structure.
Economic Development	Fails as sustainable outcomes are lost due to suburban low density and inappropriate open space. Fails as business proposals are isolated from other uses. Meets	Meets with solid core and other appropriately located allocations. Meets as development is integrated. Exceeds	Fails as business park allocation is not integrated. Fails as business proposals are isolated from other uses. Exceeds	Fails as sustainable outcomes are lost due to poor integration of allocations. Fails as poor integration prevents coherent mix. Fails	Meets with solid core and other appropriately located allocations. Exceeds as development is strongly integrated. Meets
Accessibility	Fails as low density allocations are excessive. Fails as low density allocations make good design outcomes more difficult to achieve. Fails as proposal is not responsive to attracting public transport. Meets through by-pass.	Meets or exceeds due to substantial employment area. Meets or exceeds due to solid urban core and substantial employment area. Meets or exceeds due to integration and mix of uses. Meets through by-pass.	Fails as business proposals are isolated from other uses. Fails as business proposals are isolated from other uses. Fails due to isolated business park. Meets through by-pass.	Fails as allocations fail to relate density to transit accessibility. Fails as proposals do not deliver a sense of place. Fails as opportunities to promote public transport are not presented. Meets through by-pass.	Meets or exceeds due to accessible urban cores and employment area. Meets or exceeds due to solid urban cores and substantial employment area. Meets or exceeds due to integration and mix of uses. Meets through by-pass.
Community Inclusion	Fails as density focuses on low provision. Fails as proposals do not respond to wider integration.	Meets with a range of density focused on a district centre. Meets through district centre provision.	Meets with a range of density focused on a district centre. Meets through district centre provision.	Meets as a range of density is proposed. Meets as good community and recreational provision is made.	Meets with a range of density focused on a district centres. Meets through district centre provision.