Settlement:			GIS	GIS Site Ref:				
			Pro	Pre-MIR Site Ref:				
			MI	MIR Site Ref:				
Site Name:		OS Grid Re	f: Sit	e size (ha):				
Source of site sugge	stion:	Site Histor	y (local plan and planning	g applications):				
Current Use:		Proposed	Use: MI	R Status:				
Location Plan								
Photographs (if avai	lable)							
Water/Drain age constraints	SEA Topic	Sources of Informati	Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score		
Constraints		on						

1a	Could the site result in a change of status of a water body as identified in the Scotland and Solway Tweed River Basin Management Plan (RBMP) or may it have an affect on the actions being carried out by the North Highland, West Highland and Argyll Area Advisory Groups?	Water	Scotland's River Basin Managem ent Plan RBMP Interactive Map http://gis. sepa.org.u k/rbmp/	Development would have a significant negative impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP - Development would have a minor negative impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP 0 = development is unlikely to have any significant effects on the status any water bodies + development will have a small or local scale positive impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP + + development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in the RBMP ?? - Unknown		Development would have a significant negative impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP - Development would have a minor negative impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP 0 = development is unlikely to have any significant effects on the status of any water bodies + development will have a small or local scale positive impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP + + development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in the RBMP ?? - Unknown
1b	To what	Water	GIS	Development would have		Development would have

	extent will the proposal result in a direct physical impact on the water environment or provide opportunities to address historic impacts? (for example result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow the de-culverting of a water course)		Site visit Identify non RBMP water bodies	a widespread negative impact on the water environment and/or long term impact which mitigation can not address - Development would have a localised minor negative impact on the water environment and/or medium term which would be difficult to mitigate 0 = Development is unlikely to have any significant effects on the water environment + development will have a small or local scale positive impact on the water environment + development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on the water environment ?? = Unknown		a widespread negative impact on the water environment and/or long term impact which mitigation can not address - Development would have a localised minor negative impact on the water environment and/or medium term which would be difficult to mitigate 0 = Development is unlikely to have any significant effects on the water environment + development will have a small or local scale positive impact on the water environment + the development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on the water environment ?? = Unknown
1c	For large scale	Water	SEPA Environme	Development would have a widespread negative		Development would have a widespread negative

	development are there any private or public water supplies within 250m of the site which may be affected?		ntal Health Colleagues	impact on private or public water supplies - Development would have a localised minor negative impact on public or private water supplies 0 = Development is unlikely to have any significant effects on public or private water supplies + development will have a small or local scale positive impact on private or public water supplies + development will have a significant or widespread positive impact private or public water supplies ?? = Unknown X - Not applicable			impact on private or public water supplies - Development would have a localised minor negative impact on public or private water supplies 0 = Development is unlikely to have any significant effects on public or private water supplies + development will have a small or local scale positive impact on private or public water supplies + development will have a significant or widespread positive impact private or public water supplies ?? = Unknown X - Not applicable
	Climate Change	SEA Topic	Sources of Informati on	Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
2	What is the sites overall impact in	Climatic factors	Low Carbon Scotland	500+ houses or 20ha + of industrial or employment land. Proposal is			500+ houses or 20ha + of industrial or employment land. Proposal is significantly

terms of		significantly far from		far from existing centres
carbon		existing centres population		population or similar uses
emissions?	Site	or similar uses		• •
	informatio			Proposal will cause a
	n	Proposal will cause a		significant increase in use of
	HwLDP	significant increase in use of		private car (Co2 emissions)
	Policy 28	private car (Co2 emissions)		- development 50-499
	and 72	- development 50-499		houses or 3-19ha of
		houses or 3-19ha of		employment/industrial land
	Highland	employment/industrial land		- Proposal is far from existing
	Wide	- Proposal is far from		centres of population and/
	Local	existing centres of		or similar uses
	Developm ent Plan	population and/ or similar		- Proposal will cause a minor
	ent Plan	uses		increase in use of private car
		- Proposal will cause a		·
		minor increase in use of		0 = Small Scale proposal 1-49
		private car		houses or less than 2ha of
		•		employment/industrial land
		0 = Small scale proposal 1-		0 = Proposal located close to
		49 houses or less than 2ha		existing centres of
		of employment/industrial		population and/or similar
		land		uses
		0 = Proposal located close		0 = Type of proposal is
		to existing centres of		unlikely to have any
		population and/or similar		significant impact on CO2
		uses		emissions/ increased car
		0 = Type of proposal is		travel
		unlikely to have any		
		significant impact on CO2		+ Mixed use proposal (e.g.
		emissions/ increased car		housing, employment and

				+ Mixed use proposal (e.g. housing, employment and community uses) close to existing centres of population and/or similar uses, reducing car use and enabling use of active travel choices and use of public transport + + Proposal for footpath, cycleway or open space that will encourage a significant reduction in use of private car		community uses) close to existing centres of population and/or similar uses, reducing car use and enabling use of active travel choices and use of public transport ++ Proposal for footpath, cycleway or open space that will encourage a significant reduction in use of private car
3a	Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding?	Water, climatic factors and numan nealth	GIS Site info SEPA Site visit	 - Most of the site (>50%) is within an area known to flood or within an indicative area of medium to high flood risk - Some of the site (<50%)is within an area of known flooding or within an indicative area of medium to high flood risk 0 = Site is not located within an identified flood risk area and there is no known 		 - Most of the site (>50%) is within an area known to flood or within an indicative area of medium to high flood risk - Some of the site (<50%)is within an area of known flooding or within an indicative area of medium to high flood risk 0 = Site is not located within an identified flood risk area and there is no known

				history of flooding		history of flooding
				+ Provision of SUDS or flood management plan could address local flooding issues + + Provision of SUDS or flood management Plan could address widespread flooding issues ?? = Unknown		+ Provision of SUDS or flood management plan could address local flooding issues + + Provision of SUDS or flood management Plan could address widespread flooding issues ?? = Unknown
3b	Could development of the site impact the risk of flooding elsewhere?	Water and climatic factors	GIS Site info SEPA Site visit	Development of the site would significantly increase the risk of flooding elsewhere - Development of the site would result in a small increased risk of flooding elsewhere		Development of the site would significantly increase the risk of flooding elsewhere - Development of the site would result in a small increased risk of flooding elsewhere
				0 = Development of the site would have no impact on flood risk elsewhere + + Development of the site would result significantly alleviate existing flooding problems in the area + Development of the site would result in minor alleviation of existing		0 = Development of the site would have no impact on flood risk elsewhere + + Development of the site would result significantly alleviate existing flooding problems in the area + Development of the site would result in minor alleviation of existing

4	extent will the proposal	Water and climatic factors	GIS Site info SEPA A guide to managing coastal erosion in beach/du ne systems, SNH(see	flooding problems in the area - The site is in an area of significant coastal erosion and/ or Will have a significantly negative impact on coastal erosion - Site is in an area of minor coastal erosion and/ or Will have a minor negative impact on coastal erosion 0 = Proposal is close to coast but not thought to			flooding problems in the area - The site is in an area of significant coastal erosion and/ or Will have a significantly negative impact on coastal erosion - Site is in an area of minor coastal erosion and/ or Will have a minor negative impact on coastal erosion 0 = Proposal is close to coast but not thought to affect or
		SEA	SNH(see map) http://ww w.snh.org. uk/publica tions/on-line/herita gemanage ment/eros ion/image s/fig-1.gif Site visit	affect or be affected by coastal erosion + Proposal includes mitigation to address local erosion issues + + Proposal includes mitigation that will address widespread erosion issues ?? = Unknown X = not applicable, site is not close to the coast Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	be affected by coastal erosion + Proposal includes mitigation to address local erosion issues + + Proposal includes mitigation that will address widespread erosion issues ?? = Unknown X = not applicable, site is not close to the coast Post Mitigation Score
	• •	Topic	Informati	3.			0.11

	Fauna		on			
5a	To what extent will the proposal impact on international designations — e.g. Special Area of Conservation /Special Protection Area/RAMSA R Note: If negatively affected then Habitats Regulations Appraisal will be required	Biodiver sity, flora and fauna	GIS SNH site link details about why site is designate d http://gat eway.snh. gov.uk/sit elink/	development of site would have a likely significantly negative effect on a Natura 2000 site - development of the site would have a minor negative effect on a Natura 2000 site 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature, scale or location of proposal + proposal will make a minor contribution to the enhancement of the integrity of a Natura 2000 site + proposal will make a significant contribution to the enhancement of the integrity of a Natura 2000 site ?? = Unknown		development of site would have a likely significantly negative effect on a Natura 2000 site - development of the site would have a minor negative effect on a Natura 2000 site 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature, scale or location of proposal + proposal will make a minor contribution to the enhancement of the integrity of a Natura 2000 site + proposal will make a significant contribution to the enhancement of the integrity of a Natura 2000 site ?? = Unknown
5b	To what	Biodiver	GIS	development of site would have a significant		development of site would have a significant

extent will	sity,	SNH site	negative effect on the		negative effect on the
the proposal	flora	link details	integrity of a national, local		integrity of a national, local
impact on	and	about why	nature conservation		nature conservation
other natural	fauna	site is	designation or Geodiversity		designation or Geodiversity
heritage		designate	site or the qualities for		site or the qualities for
designations		d	which it has been		which it has been designated
– e.g. Site of		http://gat	designated		
Special		eway.snh.			- development of site would
Scientific		gov.uk/sit	- development of site would		have a minor negative effect
Interest,		elink/	have a minor negative		on the integrity of a
National		Ciliky	effect on the integrity of a		national, local nature
Nature			national, local nature		conservation designation or
Reserve, and			conservation designation or		Geodiversity site or the
locally			Geodiversity site or the		qualities for which it has
important			qualities for which it has		been designated
designations			been designated		0 = Unlikely to be any impact
such as LNRs			0 = Unlikely to be any		on national or local
and LNCS			impact on national or local		conservation (including
			conservation (including		Geodiversity) sites due to
			Geodiversity) sites due to		nature or scale of proposal
			nature or scale of proposal		• •
					+ proposal will have a minor
			+ proposal will have a minor		positive effect on the
			positive effect on the		condition of the feature(s) of
			condition of the feature(s)		the designated site
			of the designated site		+ + proposal will have a
			+ + proposal will have a		significant positive effect on
			significant positive effect on		the condition of the
			the condition of the		feature(s) of the designated
			feature(s) of the designated		site

				site X = N/A no designations apply ?? = Unknown		X = N/A no designations apply ?? = Unknown
5c	To what extent will the proposal impact non designated interests, including woodlands in the Ancient, Semi Natural and Long Established Plantation Woodlands Inventory, Native Woodland, Tree Preservation Orders or other woodlands, hedgerows and individual	Biodiver sity, flora and fauna	GIS Site visits SNH Trees and Woodland Info and resources http://ww w.snh.gov. uk/planni ng-and- developm ent/advice -for- planners- and- developer s/woodlan ds/ Forestry Commissi on Scotland	development of site would result in significant loss of non designated interests - development of site would result in minor loss of non designated interests 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on important trees or woodland due to nature, scale or location of proposal + proposal will enhance non-designated interests ++ proposal will significantly enhance non-designated interests ?? = Unknown		development of site would result in significant loss of non designated interests - development of site would result in minor loss of non designated interests 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on important trees or woodland due to nature, scale or location of proposal + proposal will enhance non- designated interests ++ proposal will significantly enhance non-designated interests ?? = Unknown

	trees of high nature conservation or landscape value or species rich grasslands		http://sco tland.fore stry.gov.u k/supporti ng/strateg y-policy- guidance/ native- woodland- survey-of- scotland- nwss			
5d	To what extent will the proposal impact protected species? e.g. bats, otters, red squirrel and badgers	Biodiver sity, flora and fauna	SNH site link details about protected species http://gat eway.snh. gov.uk/sit elink/	A protected species licence will require to be obtained in order for development to proceed - Protected Species present but licence not required due to ability to mitigate 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on protected species due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would lead to a minor enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority		A protected species licence will require to be obtained in order for development to proceed - Protected Species present but licence not required due to ability to mitigate 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on protected species due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would lead to a minor enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority

			habitat + + Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat ?? = Unknown		habitat + + Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat ??= Unknown
Are there any geodiversity sites or wider geodiversity interests that could be affected by the proposal?	Biodiver sity, flora and fauna	Environme nt Team Scottish geodiversi ty forum http://sco ttishgeodi versityfor um.org/ch arter/ Northwest Highlands Geopark http://www.northwest-highlands-geopark.o	- development of site would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national or local geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated - development of site would have a minor negative effect on the integrity of a national or local geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on national or local geodiversity site due to nature, scale or location of		Would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated - development of site would have a minor negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including

	rg.uk/ Lochaber Geopark http://ww w.lochabe rgeopark. org.uk/	+ proposal will enhance a national or local geodiversity site + + proposal will significantly enhance a national or local geodiversity site X = N/A proposal is not located near a national or local geodiversity site ?? = Unknown		Geodiversity) sites due to nature, scale or location of proposal + proposal will help safeguard a national, local conservation or geodiversity site + + Proposal will improve connectivity between local, national conservation or geodiversity sites X = N/A proposal is not located near a national or local geodiversity site ?? = Unknown
How will habitat sity, connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the proposal – will it result in habitat fragmentatio n or greater connectivity?	link details about protected	Proposal would significantly fragment a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or lead to a significant loss of BAP priority habitat - Proposal would have a minor negative effect on a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or on a BAP priority habitat 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on habitat		Proposal would significantly fragment a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or lead to a significant loss of BAP priority habitat - Proposal would have a minor negative effect on a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or on a BAP priority habitat 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on habitat connectivity due

			BAPs http://jncc .defra.gov. uk/page- 5718 Scottish Biodiversit y Forum http://ww w.biodiver sityscotlan d.gov.uk/	connectivity due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would lead to a minor enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat + + Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat ?? = Unknown			to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would lead to a minor enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat + + Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat ?? = Unknown
	Site Deliverability / Sustainabilit y	SEA Topic	Sources of Informati on	Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
6	To what extent does the proposal utilise a	Climatic factors	HwLDP http://ww w.highlan d.gov.uk/y	Very exposed, with no shelter from landscape or vegetation, north facing slope or over shadowed site			Very exposed, with no shelter from landscape or vegetation, north facing slope or over shadowed site

	sheltered position and provide opportunities for solar gain Significant slope / changes in level?	ourenviro nment/pla nning/dev elopment plans/High landWideL ocalDevel opmentPl an.htmPol icy 28 HwLDP Sustainabl e design sup guidance Site visit Site info	- Minor exposed site with minimal shelter from topography or vegetation. North west facing slope or flat site 0 = Partially sheltered sited by topography or vegetation. East or west facing slope or flat site. Opportunity to provide shelter belts etc. + Minor sheltered by topography and vegetation south west or south east facing gradual slope + + Sheltered by topography and vegetation, south facing, gradual slope ?? = Unknown		- Minor exposed site with minimal shelter from topography or vegetation. North west facing slope or flat site 0 = Partially sheltered sited by topography or vegetation. East or west facing slope or flat site. Opportunity to provide shelter belts etc. + Minor sheltered by topography and vegetation south west or south east facing gradual slope + + Sheltered by topography and vegetation, south facing, gradual slope ?? = Unknown
7a	Road network capable of accommodati ng traffic generated?	GIS Council Transport Planning Officers	No opportunity to connect to existing road network and or Existing road network cannot accommodate extra traffic generated - Proposal will put existing road network under strain		No opportunity to connect to existing road network and or Existing road network cannot accommodate extra traffic generated - Proposal will put existing road network under strain

			0 = Proposal would be easily accommodated by existing road network + Proposal would not generate traffic or require a connection + + Proposal would improve capacity on existing road network ?? = Unknown		0 = Proposal would be easily accommodated by existing road network + Proposal would not generate traffic or require a connection + + Proposal would improve capacity on existing road network ??=Unknown
7b	Are there any access constraints or opportunities ?	Site visit Site info Council Transport Planning Officers	No opportunity to connect to existing road network and/or Significantly constrained access to the site - Limited opportunity/ large amount of work to connect with existing road network and/or -Constraint to access that can be mitigated		No opportunity to connect to existing road network and/ or Significantly constrained access to the site - Limited opportunity/ large amount of work to connect with existing road network and/or -Constraint to access that can be mitigated
			0 = Proposal in close proximity to utilise existing connections and access + Opportunity to improve local access issues + +Opportunity to significantly improve		0 = Proposal in close proximity to utilise existing connections and access +Opportunity to improve local access issues + + Opportunity to significantly improve

				widespread access issues		widespread access issues
				?? = Unknown		?? = Unknown
8a	Is the site close to a range of facilities? Can these be accessed by active travel or public transport?	Climatic factors and human health	GIS Site visit	Not within walking distance of any facilities or public transport links to facilities. No scope for future walking or public transport connections - Not within walking distance of any facilities or public transport links to facilities. Some scope for future walking or public transport connections		 - Not within walking distance of any facilities or public transport links to facilities. No scope for future walking or public transport connections - Not within walking distance of any facilities or public transport links to facilities. Some scope for future walking or public transport connections
				0 = Proposal within reasonable walking distance to limited local facilities/public transport connections + Within walking distance to frequent bus services to a range of destinations and a range of small shops including a convenience store. E.g. hairdressers, hot food take away (medium or small service centre) + + Within walking distance		0 = Proposal within reasonable walking distance to limited local facilities/public transport connections + Within walking distance to frequent bus services to a range of destinations and a range of small shops including a convenience store. E.g. hairdressers, hot food take away (medium or small service centre) + + Within walking distance

				of large service centre containing a wide range of large services such as supermarket, pub, restaurant, wide choice of shops, secondary school, railway station and bus services ?? = Unknown		of large service centre containing a wide range of large services such as supermarket, pub, restaurant, wide choice of shops, secondary school, railway station and bus services ?? = Unknown
8b	Education Is there capacity in relevant primary school and secondary school?	Populati on and human health or material assets	GIS (catchmen t area) School roll forecasts http://ww w.highlan d.gov.uk/y ourcouncil /highlandf actsandfig ures/scho olrollforec asts.htm	primary and/or secondary school are at or over actual or forecasted capacity and there is no scope for an extension - primary and/or secondary school are at or over actual or forecasted capacity but there is scope for an extension 0 = primary and/or secondary school have sufficient spare capacity to accommodate additional		primary and/or secondary school are at or over actual or forecasted capacity and there is no scope for an extension - primary and/or secondary school are at or over actual or forecasted capacity but there is scope for an extension 0 = primary and/or secondary school have sufficient spare capacity to accommodate additional
				pupils arising from development + primary and/or secondary school are under capacity and/or forecasted to be		pupils arising from development + primary and/or secondary school are under capacity and/or forecasted to be

				under capacity and development will help to sustain school/s		under capacity and development will help to sustain school/s
				+ + primary and/or secondary school are significant under capacity and/or forecasted to be significantly under capacity and development is needed to help sustain school/s		++ primary and/or secondary school are significant under capacity and/or forecasted to be significantly under capacity and development is needed to help sustain school/s
				X = Proposal would not directly result in school pupils		X = Proposal would not directly result in school pupils
				?? = Unknown		?? = Unknown
9a	Proximity of site from physical constraints (e.g.	Material assets	GIS Site info Site Visit	Significant servicing constraints such as overhead lines, or pipe lines that can not or would be very costly to mitigate		Significant servicing constraints such as overhead lines, or pipe lines that can not or would be very costly to mitigate
	electricity pylons or pipelines) and/or bad neighbour uses (e.g. quarry, wind farm, landfill			And/or significant "bad neighbour" constraints within or in very close proximity to the site, that would be incongruous to the development and would cause significant		And/ or Significant "bad neighbour" constraints within or in very close proximity to the site, that would be incongruous to the development and would cause significant disturbance
	site etc.)			disturbance - Some servicing constraints		- Some servicing constraints that could be mitigated

				that could be mitigated and/or "Bad neighbour" nearby that is incongruous to the proposed use and could cause minor disturbance 0 = proposal is unlikely to be affected by physical or bad neighbour constraints due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Scale of the proposal will address some localised constraints + + Scale of the proposal means that widespread servicing constraints will be addressed ?? = unknown		and/or "Bad neighbour" nearby that is incongruous to the proposed use and could cause minor disturbance 0 = proposal is unlikely to be affected by physical or bad neighbour constraints due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Scale of the proposal will address some localised constraints + + Scale of the proposal means that widespread servicing constraints will be addressed ?? = unknown
9b	What level of work would be required to connect to a public water supply and waste drainage	Water/ material assets	Site info GIS Scottish Water Asset Capacity Search	No viable connection to the water and/or waste water network and/or mains electricity - Connection not available to the network but one may be viable 0 = Public water/waste		No viable connection to the water and/or waste water network and/or mains electricity - Connection not available to the network but one may be viable 0 = Public water/waste

	system?		tool (need full post code or easting/no rthing info) links to Asset Capacity and Developm ent Plan http://www.scottishwater.co.uk/business/our-services/new-connections/asset-capacity-search	water and mains connection available on site or within 200m of the site + proposal will contribute a minor improvement to public drainage or sewerage issues ++ Scale of the proposal means that widespread drainage and sewerage issues will be addressed ?? — it is unknown whether a connection will be available X — the site use proposed does not require a connection		water and mains connection available on site or within 200m of the site + proposal will contribute a minor improvement to public drainage or sewerage issues + + Scale of the proposal means that widespread drainage and sewerage issues will be addressed ?? — it is unknown whether a connection will be available X — the site use proposed does not require a connection
9c	Is the site likely to be delivered within the LDP timeframe?	Material Assets		Site is affected by major constraints which mean it is unlikely to be delivered within the LDP timeframe - Site is affected by minor constraints which mean it is		Site is affected by major constraints which mean it is unlikely to be delivered within the LDP timeframe - Site is affected by minor constraints which mean it is

Human Health and recreation	SEA Topic	Sources of Informati on	Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
(this will be influenced by the following constraints: ownership; physical, contamination, deficit funding or infrastructure)			unlikely to be delivered in the early part of the LDP timeframe 0 = The site is free from major constraints and therefore likely to be capable of being delivered within the LDP timeframe + The site is free from constraints and at an advanced planning stage (e.g. allocated in existing local plan and/or has planning permission) and therefore likely to be delivered in the near future + The site is currently under construction and likely to be completed within the LDP timeframe			unlikely to be delivered in the early part of the LDP timeframe 0 = The site is free from major constraints and therefore likely to be capable of being delivered within the LDP timeframe + The site is free from constraints and at an advanced planning stage (e.g. allocated in existing local plan and/or has planning permission) and therefore likely to be delivered in the near future + + The site is currently under construction and likely to be completed within the LDP timeframe

10a	To what extent will the proposal contribute to the provision of open space, in terms of both quantity and quality?	Populati on and human health	GIS HwLDP Policy 74 and 75 Greenspac e Scotland http://ww w.greensp acescotlan d.org.uk/a udits-and- strategies. aspx Green networks http://ww w.snh.gov. uk/land- and- sea/mana ging-the- land/spati al- ecology/ty pes-of- network/g reen-	Proposal would have a significant negative impact on quality and/or quantity of open space - Proposal would have a minor negative impact on the quality and/or quantity of existing open space 0 = Unlikely to have any impact on existing open space due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would have minor positive impact on the quality and/or quantity of existing open space ++ Proposal would have significant positive impact on quantity and/or quality of green space ?? = Unknown			Proposal would have a significant negative impact on quality and/or quantity of open space - Proposal would have a minor negative impact on the quality and/or quantity of existing open space 0 = Unlikely to have any impact on existing open space due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would have minor positive impact on the quality and/or quantity of existing open space ++ Proposal would have significant positive impact on quantity and/or quality of green space ?? = Unknown
-----	--	---------------------------------------	--	---	--	--	---

			networks/			
10b		•	GIS	The proposal would have		The proposal would have
		n and	HwLDP	a significant negative		a significant negative impact
		uman ealth	Policy 74	impact on connectivity of open space and/or Proposal		on connectivity of open space and/or Proposal is far
	greater		and 75	is far removed from access		removed from access to
	connectivity			to open space/ access		open space/ access

	of open		Greenspac	networks or there and/or		networks or there and/or
	space?		e Scotland	there is no scope for		there is no scope for
			http://ww	improved connectivity of		improved connectivity of
			w.greensp	open space		open space
			acescotlan	- Proposal would fragment		- Proposal would fragment
			d.org.uk/a	key access networks or		key access networks or open
			udits-and-	open space and/or the		space and/or the proposal
			strategies.	proposal does not connect		does not connect or relate
			aspx	or relate well to existing		well to existing access
			Green	access networks or green		networks or green networks
			networks	networks		0 = Utilises or is in close
			http://ww	0 = Utilises or is in close		proximity to existing
			w.snh.gov.	proximity to existing		connections
			uk/land-	connections		+ Improves/enhances green
			and-	+ Improves/enhances		network connectivity, or key
			sea/mana	green network connectivity,		access network and/or
			ging-the-	or key access network		improved access to open
			land/spati	and/or improved access to		space
			al-	open space		+ + Proposal would
			ecology/ty	+ + Proposal would		significantly contribute to
			pes-of-	significantly contribute to		greater connectivity of open
			network/g	greater connectivity of		space
			reen-	open space		?? = Unknown
			networks/	?? = Unknown		:: - OTIKITOWIT
				CHRIOWII		
10c	To what	Populati	GIS	proposal would result in		proposal would result in
	extent will	on and	Core Path	significant adverse impacts		significant adverse impacts
	the proposal	Human	Team	to the existing path		to the existing path network,
	facilitate	health	30	network, for example by		for example by resulting in

	active travel (walking and cycling) comprising paths, cycle paths, coastal paths and rights of way?	or material assets	http://ww w.highlan d.gov.uk/l eisureandt ourism/w hat-to- see/count rysideacce ss/corepat hplans.ht m	resulting in the loss of a route or severing a route - proposal would result in adverse impacts to the existing path network, for example by affecting the amenity of a route 0 = proposal will have no impact on the existing path network due to nature, scale or location of proposal + proposal provides opportunity to link to the existing path network to reach a limited number of services and facilities + proposal provides ignificant opportunities to link the wider path network to reach a range of services and facilities ?? = Unknown			the loss of a route or severing a route - proposal would result in adverse impacts to the existing path network, for example by affecting the amenity of a route 0 = proposal will have no impact on the existing path network due to nature, scale or location of proposal + proposal provides opportunity to link to the existing path network to reach a limited number of services and facilities + proposal provides significant opportunities to link the wider path network to reach a range of services and facilities ?? = Unknown
	Waste and natural resources	SEA Topic	Sources of Informati on	Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
11a	Will the development	Soils and material	GIS	Development would			Development would

	utilise brownfield land?	assets	Buildings At Risk Register http://ww w.building satrisk.org .uk/ SVDLS	create brownfield land - Site development would ignore opportunities to make use of brownfield land 0 = Will not affect brownfield land due to the nature, scale or location of proposal + Minor redevelopment of brownfield land + + Significant/large scale redevelopment of brownfield land ?? = Unknown		create brownfield land - Site development would ignore opportunities to make use of brownfield land 0 = Will not affect brownfield land due to the nature, scale or location of proposal + Minor redevelopment of brownfield land + + Significant/large scale redevelopment of brownfield land ?? = Unknown
11b	Are there any contaminate d soils issues on the site and if so, will the proposal reduce contaminatio n?	Soils and material assets	GIS SVDLS Contamin ated Land Database Site Info Site Visit	Large scale contaminated soil present onsite - Potentially contaminated land or small amount of contaminated soil identified on site 0 = No record of contaminated soils on site + Will remediate minor contamination or small scale contamination onsite		Large scale contaminated soil present onsite - Potentially contaminated land or small amount of contaminated soil identified on site 0 = No record of contaminated soils on site + Will remediate minor contamination or small scale contamination onsite

				+ + Will remediate significant contamination or large scale contamination ?? = Unknown	+ + Will remediate significant contamination or large scale contamination ?? = Unknown
110	To what extent will the proposal result in the loss of greenfield land?	Soils and material assets	Site visit GIS	Large-scale use of Greenfield land - Small scale use of greenfield land 0 = Site is not greenfield land + Proposal will protect greenfield land on a local scale + + Proposal will enhance the geodiversity of greenfield land ?? = Unknown	Large-scale use of Greenfield land - Small scale use of greenfield land 0 = site is not greenfield land + Proposal will protect greenfield land on a local scale ++ Proposal will enhance the geodiversity of greenfield land ?? = Unknown
110	To what extent will the proposal allow for the reuse of an existing vacant building?	Cultural Heritage	Buildings At Risk Register http://ww w.building satrisk.org .uk/ SVDLS	Development would result in vacant buildings - Site development would ignore opportunities to redevelop existing buildings 0 = Will not affect vacant buildings due to the nature, scale or location of proposal	Development would result in vacant buildings - Site development would ignore opportunities to redevelop existing buildings 0 = Will not affect vacant buildings due to the nature, scale or location of proposal

				+ Minor redevelopment of existing buildings + + Significant/large scale redevelopment of existing buildings ?? = Unknown		+ Minor redevelopment of existing buildings + + Significant/large scale redevelopment of existing buildings ?? = Unknown
12a	To what extent does the proposal lead to a disturbance of carbon rich soils including peat/wetland s?	Soils and climatic factors	GIS Peatlands and climate change mitigation SNH Carbon Rich Soil, Deep Pear and Priority Peatland Habitats http://ww w.snh.gov. uk/planni ng-and- developm ent/advice -for- planners-	Will cause significant disturbance of carbon rich soils/wetlands - Minor disturbance of carbon rich soils/wetlands 0 = unlikely to effect carbon rich soils including peat/wetlands due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Small area of carbon rich soil/wetlands reinstated/restored + + Large area of carbon rich soil/ wetlands reinstated/restored ?? = Unknown		Will cause significant disturbance of carbon rich soils/wetlands - Minor disturbance of carbon rich soils/wetlands 0 = unlikely to effect carbon rich soils including peat/wetlands due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Small area of carbon rich soil/wetlands reinstated/restored ++ Large area of carbon rich soil/ wetlands reinstated/restored ?? = Unknown

			and- developer s/soils- and- developm ent/cpp/			
			Wetlands Scotland's Soils http://ww w.soils- scotland.g ov.uk/dat a/soil- survey			
12b	To what extent does the proposal directly affect high quality agricultural soils or locally important croft land?	Soils	GIS, James Hutton layer Crofting layer/Unif orm Crofting Commissi on http://ww w.crofting. scotland.g	Will cause a very significant loss of 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or locally important croft land - Will cause a minor loss of 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or locally important croft land 0 = Site is not on high quality agricultural soils or croft land + Gives small scale/local		Will cause a very significant loss of 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or locally important croft land - Will cause a minor loss of 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or locally important croft land 0 = Site is not on high quality agricultural soils or croft land + Gives small scale/local enhancement to 3.1 or 3.2

		ov.uk/	enhancement to 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or locally important croft land + + Gives large scale management enhancement to 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or locally important croft land ?? = Unknown		agricultural land or locally important croft land + + Gives large scale management enhancement to 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or locally important croft land ?? = Unknown
To what extent will the proposal help meet the Zero Waste Plan targets?	Material	Scotland's Zero waste plan http://ww w.scotlan d.gov.uk/T opics/Envi ronment/ waste- and- pollution/ Waste- 1/wastestr ategy	Will have a significant negative effect on a waste handling operation or will cause a significant increase the amount of waste going to landfill Will cause an increased amount of waste going to landfill 0 = No significant impact on the rates of recycling and amount of waste going to landfill + Will facilitate sustainable waste management at a local scale + + Will facilitate sustainable waste manable waste		Will have a significant negative effect on a waste handling operation or will cause a significant increase the amount of waste going to landfill. - Will cause an increased amount of waste going to landfill 0 = No significant impact on the rates of recycling and amount of waste going to landfill + Will facilitate sustainable waste management at a local scale + Will facilitate sustainable waste management at

				management at regional level ?? = Unknown		regional level ?? = Unknown
13b	To what extent is the proposal in the vicinity of a waste management site and could therefore compromise the waste handling operation?	Material assets	GIS	Adjacent to waste management site and use proposed will have a significant negative effect on a waste handling operation - In close proximity to waste management site and use proposed will have a minor negative effect on a waste handling operation 0 = No waste management sites nearby that could be compromised or use proposed will not affect any waste management site + In close proximity to waste management site and is a complementary use + + Adjacent to waste management site and is a complementary use ?? = Unknown		Adjacent to waste management site and use proposed will have a significant negative effect on a waste handling operation - In close proximity to waste management site and use proposed will have a minor negative effect on a waste handling operation 0 = No waste management sites nearby that could be compromised or use proposed will not affect any waste management site + In close proximity to waste management site and is a complementary use + + Adjacent to waste management site and is a complementary use ?? = Unknown

13c	For potential suitable sites for waste management activities (includes allocations for employment, industrial or storage and distribution uses) to what extent does the proposal comply with the Planning for Zero Waste section of Scottish Planning Policy?	Material	Scotland's Zero waste plan http://ww w.scotlan d.gov.uk/T opics/Envi ronment/ waste- and- pollution/ Waste- 1/wastestr ategy	Proposal is wholly inconsistent with Zero Waste section of Scottish Planning Policy - Proposal is partially inconsistent with Zero Waste section of Scottish Planning Policy 0 = site is not potentially suitable site for waste management activities + Proposal is generally consistent with Zero Waste section of Scottish Planning Policy + + Proposal is wholly consistent with Zero Waste section of Scottish Planning Policy ?? = Unknown			Proposal is wholly inconsistent with Zero Waste section of Scottish Planning Policy - Proposal is partially inconsistent with Zero Waste section of Scottish Planning Policy 0 = site is not potentially suitable site for waste management activities + Proposal is generally consistent with Zero Waste section of Scottish Planning Policy ++ Proposal is wholly consistent with Zero Waste section of Scottish Planning Policy ?? = Unknown
	Landscape	SEA Topic	Sources of info	Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
14	Landscape Designated sites	Landsca pe	GIS SNH National	proposal is within or would affect a national or local designated landscape and would lead to a			proposal is within or would affect a national or local designated landscape and would lead to a

To what	Scenic	significant loss of or impact	 significant loss of or impact
extent will	areas	on the key features or	on the key features or
any	http://ww	qualities	qualities
designated	w.snh.gov.		- proposal is within or would
sites be	uk/protect	- proposal is within or	affect a national or local
affected –	ing-	would affect a national or	designated landscape and
including	scotlands-	local designated landscape	would lead to a minor loss or
National	nature/pr	and would lead to a minor	impact on the key features
Scenic Areas	otected-	loss or impact on the key	or qualities
and Special	areas/nati	features or qualities	
Landscape	onal-		0 = nature, scale or location
Areas?	designatio	0 = nature, scale or location	of proposal is unlikely to
	ns/nsa/	of proposal is unlikely to	have any effects on
	HwLDP	have any effects on	designated landscapes
		designated landscapes	+ Proposal offers minor or
	Policy 57	+ Proposal offers minor or	local protection to a local
	Policy61	local enhancement to a	designated landscape or the
	Special	national or local designated	qualities of wildness in the
	Landscape	landscape	area/isolated cost
	Area	+ + Proposal significantly	+ + Proposal enhances a
	Citations	enhances the qualities of a	degraded landscape
		national or local designated	character area and/or offers
	http://ww	landscape	significant or widespread
	w.highlan	?? = Unknown	protection to a regional,
	d.gov.uk/i	:: - OHKHOWH	local designated landscape
	nfo/178/l		?? = Unknown
	ocal_and_ statutory		3,000
	developm		
	ent_plans/		
	ent_pians/		

			213/suppl ementary _guidance _for_plan ning/13			
15a	Non designated landscape features and key landscape interests To what extent will the proposal affect features of landscape interest, including the distinctive character of the landscape and the qualities of wild land and unspoiled coast	Landsca	GIS SNH Wild Land http://ww w.snh.gov. uk/protect ing- scotlands- nature/loo king-after- landscape s/landscap e-policy- and- guidance/ wild- land/map ping/	proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a significant negative effect on qualities of landscape interest - proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a minor negative effect on qualities of landscape interest 0 = location, scale or nature of proposal unlikely to have any effects on qualities of landscape interest + Proposal offers minor or local enhancement to qualities of landscape interest + Proposal significantly enhances qualities of landscape interest ?? = Unknown		proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a significant negative effect on qualities of landscape interest - proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a minor negative effect on qualities of landscape interest 0 = location, scale or nature of proposal unlikely to have any effects on qualities of landscape interest + Proposal offers minor or local enhancement to qualities of landscape interest + Proposal significantly enhances qualities of landscape interest ?? = Unknown

				to round off settlement, currently accessible with scope for further access to improve integration and accessibility by a variety of modes of transport including foot/ cycle and proposal reinforces current settlement pattern and density + + Well connected to existing settlement, could help address existing connectivity issues. Site accessible by a variety of modes of transport. Scope to freely permeate into existing settlement and proposal contributes strongly to the settlement's sense of place in terms of pattern and density ?? = Unknown		currently accessible with scope for further access to improve integration and accessibility by a variety of modes of transport including foot/ cycle and proposal reinforces current settlement pattern and density + + Well connected to existing settlement, could help address existing connectivity issues. Site accessible by a variety of modes of transport. Scope to freely permeate into existing settlement and proposal contributes strongly to the settlement's sense of place in terms of pattern and density ?? = Unknown
15c	To what extent will the proposal be visually intrusive?	Landsca pe	GIS Site Visit HwLDP Policy 61	Visually disruptive, incongruous and out of character to the surrounding landscape and/ or proposal would be visually intrusive in a valued		Visually disruptive, incongruous and out of character to the surrounding landscape and/ or proposal would be visually intrusive in a valued or sensitive view

Cultural Heritage	SEA Topic	Sources of Informati	intrusive in wider general scenery 0 = unlikely to be any visual impact due to nature, scale or location of proposal + proposal would lead to an improvement to an existing detracting feature in wider general scenery and/ or Type of proposed development fits well with existing development + + proposal would lead to an improvement to an existing detracting feature in a valued or sensitive view e.g. by redevelopment of derelict /gap site and fits well into the surrounding landscape and land uses ?? = Unknown Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	0 = unlikely to be any visual impact due to nature, scale or location of proposal + proposal would lead to an improvement to an existing detracting feature in wider general scenery and/ or Type of proposed development fits well with existing development + + proposal would lead to an improvement to an existing detracting feature in a valued or sensitive view e.g. by redevelopment of derelict /gap site and fits well into the surrounding landscape and land uses ?? = Unknown
						impact due to nature, scale
			impact due to nature, scale			•
			•			
			+ proposal would lead to an			improvement to an existing
						general scenery and/ or
			general scenery and/ or			
			development fits well with			•
			existing development			+ + proposal would lead to
			+ + proposal would lead to			an improvement to an
						_
			e.g. by redevelopment of			
						G
						?? = Unknown
			•			
			Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score

To what extent will the proposal affect any scheduled monuments or their setting?	heritage H Sc Sc Sc Sc Mm t t his way sc	distoric Scotland Scheduled monumen inttp://www.historic-scotland.gov.uk/sear chmonuments dighland Council HER inttp://her.inghland.gov.uk/Site Visit RCAHMS Canmore) Database inttp://www.v.rcahms.gov.uk/canmore.html	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a scheduled monument or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a scheduled monument and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any locally important scheduled monuments due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would result in minor renovation/regeneration of a scheduled monument and/or proposal will enable better access to a scheduled monument and/or minor enhancement of the setting of a scheduled monument + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of a degraded scheduled monument and/or major enhancement of the setting			Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a scheduled monument or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a scheduled monuments and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any locally important scheduled monuments due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would result in minor renovation/regeneration of a scheduled monument and/or proposal will enable better access to a scheduled monument and/or minor enhancement of the setting of a scheduled monument + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of a degraded scheduled monument and/or major enhancement of the setting
---	---	---	--	--	--	---

				of a scheduled monument		of a scheduled monument
				?? = Unknown		?? = Unknown
16b	To what extent will the proposal affect any locally important archaeologic al sites? (www.rcahm s.gov.uk/can more.html)	Cultural Heritage	Highland Council HER http://her. highland.g ov.uk/ RCAHMS (Canmore) Database http://ww w.rcahms. gov.uk/ca nmore.ht ml	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a locally important archaeological site or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a locally important archaeological site and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any locally important archaeological sites due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would result in minor renovation/regeneration of locally important archaeological sites and/or proposal will enable better access to locally important archaeological sites and/or minor enhancement of the		Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a locally important archaeological site or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a locally important archaeological site and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any locally important archaeological sites due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would result in minor renovation/regeneration of locally important archaeological sites and/or proposal will enable better access to locally important archaeological sites and/or minor enhancement of the

				setting of a locally important archaeological site + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse a locally important archaeological site and/or enhancement of the setting of locally important archaeological site ?? = Unknown	setting of a locally important archaeological site + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse a locally important archaeological site and/or enhancement of the setting of locally important archaeological site ?? = Unknown
160	To what extent will the proposal affect any listed buildings and/or their	Cultural heritage	GIS Historic Scotland listed buildings http://ww	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a listed building and/or its setting - Development of site	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a listed building and/or its setting - Development of site would
	setting?	ng?	w.historic- scotland.g ov.uk/hist oricandlist	would have a minor negative impact on a listed building and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any	have a minor negative impact on a listed building and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any listed buildings due to nature,
			s Pastmap	listed buildings due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Renovation/regeneration of listed buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or	scale or location of proposal + Renovation/regeneration of listed buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to listed building and

				proposal will enable better access to listed building and or minor enhancement of the setting of a listed building + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of a listed building and/or enhancement of the setting of a listed building ?? = Unknown		or minor enhancement of the setting of a listed building + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of a listed building and/or enhancement of the setting of a listed building ?? = Unknown
16d	To what extent will the proposal affect any Conservation Areas? (e.g. will it result in the demolition of any buildings or significant new development within a Conservation Area)	Cultural heritage	GIS Site Visit Site Info Historic Environme nt team Highland Council Conservati on areas http://ww w.highlan d.gov.uk/y ourenviro nment/co nservation	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a conservation area or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a conservation area and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any conservation areas due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of		 - Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a conservation area or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a conservation area and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any conservation areas due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of a conservation area and /or

			/	a conservation area and /or will enable better access to a conservation area + + Proposal will result in large-scale regeneration or a conservation area ?? = Unknown		will enable better access to a conservation area + + Proposal will result in large-scale regeneration or a conservation area ?? = Unknown
16e	To what extent will the proposal affect any Inventory Garden and Designed Landscape?	Cultural heritage	GIS Site Visit Historic Scotland Nationally important Gardens and designed landscape s http://ww w.historic- scotland.g ov.uk/inde x/heritage /gardens. htm	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a garden and designed landscape or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a garden or designed landscape and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any garden or designed landscape due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of a garden and designed landscape and /or will enable better access to a		Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a garden and designed landscape or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a garden or designed landscape and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any garden or designed landscape due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of a garden and designed landscape and /or will enable better access to a garden and designed

				garden and designed landscape + + Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a garden and designed landscape and /or will significantly improve access to a garden and designed landscape ?? = Unknown		+ Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a garden and designed landscape and /or will significantly improve access to a garden and designed landscape ?? = Unknown
16f	To what extent will the proposal affect any Inventory Historic Battlefield?	Cultural heritage	GIS Site Visit Historic Scotland Battlefield S http://ww w.historic- scotland.g ov.uk/inde x/heritage /battlefiel ds.htm	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a historic battlefield or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a historic battlefield and/or its wider setting O= Will not impact any historic battlefield due to nature, scale or location of proposal		 - Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a historic battlefield or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a historic battlefield and/or its wider setting O= Will not impact any historic battlefield due to nature, scale or location of proposal
				+ Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of		+ Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of

				a historic battlefield and /or will enable better access to a historic battlefield + + Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a historic battlefield and /or will significantly improve access to a historic battlefield ?? = Unknown		a historic battlefield and /or will enable better access to a historic battlefield + + Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a historic battlefield and /or will significantly improve access to a historic battlefield ?? = Unknown
16g	To what extent will the proposal affect any World Heritage Sites? (including proposed)	Cultural heritage	GIS Site Visit	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a World Heritage Sites or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a World Heritage Site and/or its wider setting 0= Will not impact any World Heritage Sites due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of		Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a World Heritage Sites or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a World Heritage Site and/or its wider setting O= Will not impact any World Heritage Sites due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of a World Heritage Site and

				a World Heritage Site and /or will enable better access to a World Heritage Site + + Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a World Heritage Sites and /or will significantly improve access to a World Heritage Site ?? = Unknown		/or will enable better access to a World Heritage Site + + Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a World Heritage Sites and /or will significantly improve access to a World Heritage Site ?? = Unknown
16h	To what extent will the proposal result in the opportunity to improve access to the historic environment?	Cultural heritage	GIS Site Visit	Development of site would have a significant negative impact on access to historic environment features within or close by the site - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on access to historic environment features within or close by the site		 Development of site would have a significant negative impact on access to historic environment features within or close by the site - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on access to historic environment features within or close by the site 0 = Development would not
				0 = Development would not affect access to the historic environment due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in		affect access to the historic environment due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in minor access improvements

		minor access improvements		to the historic environment
		to the historic environment		features within or close to
		features within or close to		the site
		the site		+ + Proposal will result in
		+ + Proposal will result in		significant access
		significant access		improvements to the
		improvements to the		historic environment
		historic environment		features within or close to
		features within or close to		the site
		the site		?? = Unknown
		?? = Unknown		