West Highland and Islands Local Development Plan

Strategic Environmental Assessment – Environmental Report

Appendix 6 – Site Assessment Matrix

Settlement:		GIS Site Ref: Pre-MIR Site Ref: MIR Site Ref:
Site Name:	OS Grid Ref:	Site size (ha):
Source of site suggestion:	Site History (local plan	and planning applications):
Current Use:	Proposed Use:	MIR Status:
Location Plan		
Photographs (if available)		
		Water

	Water/Drainage constraints	Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
1a	Could the site result in a change of status of a water body as identified in the Scotland and Solway Tweed River Basin Management Plan (RBMP) or may it have an affect on the actions being carried out by the North Highland, West Highland and Argyll Area Advisory Groups?	Development could have a significant negative impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP - Development could have a minor negative impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP O= Development is unlikely to have any effects on the status any water bodies + Development could have a small or local scale positive impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP ++ Development could have a significant or widespread positive impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in the RBMP			Development would have a significant negative impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP which could not be mitigated - Development would have a minor negative impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP which could not be mitigated 0 = Development is unlikely to have any effects on the status of any water bodies + Development will have a small or local scale positive impact on the status of one or more water bodies identified in RBMP ++ Development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on the status of one or more water bodies

				identified in the RBMP
res ph the en op ad im (fo in wa cro sca all cu	vill the proposal esult in a direct hysical impact on the water invironment or rovide prortunities to ddress historic inpacts? For example result is the need for eatercourse cossings or a large cale abstraction or low the deulverting of a water purse)	Development could have a widespread negative impact on the water environment -Development could have a localised minor negative impact on the water environment 0= Development is unlikely to have any effects on the water environment + Development could have a small or local scale positive impact on the water environment ++Development could have a significant or widespread positive impact on the water environment		Development would have a widespread negative impact on the water environment and/or long term impact which mitigation can not address -Development would have a localised minor negative impact on the water environment and/or medium term which would be difficult to mitigate 0= Development is unlikely to have any significant effects on the water environment + Development will have a small or local scale positive impact on the water environment ++Development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on the water environment

1c	For sites > 2 ha are there any private or public water supplies within 250m of the site which may be affected?	Development could have a significant negative impact on private or public water supplies -Development could have a localised minor negative impact on public or private water supplies O= Development is unlikely to have any effects on public or private water supplies + Development could have a small or local scale positive impact on private or public water supplies ++ Development could have a significant or widespread positive impact private or public water supplies			widespread ron private or supplies which mitigated -Development localised minimpact on purwater supplied not be fully roughly	ublic or private es which could mitigated nent is unlikely effects on public ater supplies ent will have a I scale positive rivate or public es nent will have a r widespread act private or
			Climate Change			
		Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation So	core
2a	Is the site close to a	Proposal is a significant			Proposal remain	- : - t f

range of facilities? distance from existing centres of	existing centres, facilities and
Can these be population/services/transport	access provisions causing an
accessed by active connections and will be likely	increase in Co2 emissions which
travel and public cause a significant increase in	mitigation can not address
transport? What will use of private car (Co2 the proposals impact emissions)	-Proposal is fairly isolated from
on carbon	existing centres and provision and
-Proposal is far from existing	would have a localised minor
centres/services/transport	negative impact on emissions
connections and will likely cause a minor increase in the use of	which would be difficult to
	mitigate
private car (Co2 emissions)	0= Proposal will utilise existing
0=Proposal is located close to	travel/access provision and have
existing provisions or type of	no impact upon emissions
proposal is unlikely to have any	+ Proposal is within close distance
significant impact on	of facilities or presents a mixed
travel/access provision and CO2	use proposal which will enable a
emissions	local scale positive impact on
+ Proposal is within walking	further use of active travel
distance of existing centres	choices and use of public
population/services/transport	transport
connections or presents a mixed	++Proposal is within close
use development (e.g. housing,	distance of a range of facilities or
employment and community	improvement to provisions will be
uses) close to existing centres,	made i.e. footpath, cycleway,
reducing car use and enabling	open space which will have a
use of active travel choices and	significant widespread positive
use of public transport	impact on further use of active

		++ Proposal is within walking distance to an existing centre of a large population/wide range of services/extensive travel connections which will help to sustain existing services and/or will make a significant widespread positive impact toward improving access provisions i.e. footpath, cycleway, open space which will encourage a significant reduction in use of private car (Co2 emissions)		travel choices, use of public transport and therefore significant reduction of Co2 emissions
2b	For developments likely to have a high heat demand is there potential for the development of a heat network?	 Development likely to have a very high heat demand but location is very distant from any current or planned future source of heat supply Development likely to have a high heat demand but location is not close to any current or planned future source of heat supply Development unlikely to have high heat demand 		 Development likely to have a very high heat demand but location is very distant from any current or planned future source of heat supply Development likely to have a high heat demand but location is not close to any current or planned future source of heat supply Development unlikely to have high heat demand

	+ development likely to have high heat demand and is close planned source of heat supposed to the high heat demand and is close an existing source of heat supposed to the high heat demand and is close an existing source of heat supposed to the high heat demand and is close an existing source of heat supposed to the high heat demand and is close to the high heat supposed to the high heat demand and is close to the high heat supposed to the high heat demand and is close to the high heat demand and h	high heat demand and is close to planned source of heat supply tive a se to high heat demand and is close to high heat demand and is close to	a :0
3a Flood IIs the sibe at right flooding	within an area of known floor within an indicative area of modium to high flood rick	within an indicative area of medium to high flood risk and mitigation measures cannot reduce the risks Development is proposed within an area of known flooding or within an indicative area of medium to high flood risk and mitigation measures cannot fully reduce the risks	ng ly

				flood plain will address flood risk 0 = Requirement for buffer around small watercourse will address flood risk + Requirement of better than greenfield run-off SUDS or flood management plan would help address local flooding issues ++ Requirement for flood management Plan could address widespread flooding issues
3b	Could development of the site impact the risk of flooding elsewhere?	Development of the site could significantly increase the risk of flooding elsewhere including to sensitive receptors - Development of the site could result in a small increased risk of flooding elsewhere 0 = Development of the site would have no impact on flood risk elsewhere + Development of the site could significantly alleviate existing flooding problems in the area		 - Development of the site would significantly increase the risk of flooding elsewhere which could not be mitigated - Development of the site would result in a small increased risk of flooding to local sensitive receptors (for example buildings, roads etc.) - Development of the site would result in a small increased risk of flooding but not effect sensitive receptors 0 = Development of the site would have no impact on flood

	+ Development of the site would result in minor alleviation of existing flooding problems in the area		risk elsewhere
4 To what extent will the proposal have an impact on or likely to be affected by coastal erosion or natural coastal process?	The site is in an area of significant coastal erosion and/ or Will have a significantly negative impact on coastal erosion - Site is in an area of minor coastal erosion and/ or Will have a minor negative impact on coastal erosion 0 = Proposal is close to coast but not thought to affect or be affected by coastal erosion + Proposal includes mitigation to address local erosion issues + + Proposal includes mitigation that will address widespread erosion issues X = Not applicable, site is not close to the coast		The site is in an area of significant coastal erosion and/ or Will have a significantly negative impact on coastal erosion which cannot be mitigated - Site is in an area of minor coastal erosion and/ or Will have a minor negative impact on coastal erosion which cannot be mitigated 0=Proposal is close to coast but not thought to affect or be affected by coastal erosion + Proposal includes mitigation to address local erosion issues ++ Proposal includes mitigation that will address widespread erosion issues X= Not applicable, site is not close to the coast

	Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna				
		Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
5a	To what extent will the proposal impact on Natura 2000	Development of site would have a likely significantly negative effect on a Natura 2000			Development of site would have a likely significantly negative effect on a Natura 2000 site
	sites— (Special Area of Conservation /Special Protection Area/RAMSAR)	- Development of the site would have a minor negative effect on a Natura 2000 site			- Development of the site would have a minor negative effect on a Natura 2000 site
	Note: If negatively affected then	0 = Unlikely to be any impact on a Natura 2000 site due to nature,			0 = Unlikely to be any impact on a Natura 2000 site due to nature, scale or location of proposal
	Appropriate Assessment as part of the Habitats Regulations Appraisal is likely be	+ Proposal will make a minor contribution to the enhancement of the integrity of			+ Proposal will make a minor contribution to the enhancement of the integrity of a Natura 2000 site
	required	a Natura 2000 site + + Proposal will make a significant contribution to the enhancement of the integrity of a Natura 2000 site			+ + Proposal will make a significant contribution to the enhancement of the integrity of a Natura 2000 site
		?? = Unknown			?? = Unknown
5b	To what extent will the proposal impact on other natural	Development of site would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national or local natural heritage			Development of site would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national or local natural heritage designation

	heritage	designation or the qualities for	or the qualities for which it has
	designations – e.g.	which it has been designated	been designated
	Site of Special		
	Scientific Interest,	- Development of site would	- Development of site would have
	National Nature	have a minor negative effect on	a minor negative effect on the
	Reserve, Marine	the integrity of a national or	integrity of a national or local
	Protected Area and	local natural heritage	natural heritage designation or
	locally important	designation or the qualities for	the qualities for which it has been
	designations such as	which it has been designated	designated
	LNRs and LNCS	0 = Unlikely to be any impact on	0 = Unlikely to be any impact on
		national or local natural heritage	national or local natural heritage
		sites due to nature, scale or	sites due to nature, scale or
		location of proposal	location of proposal
		+ Proposal will have a minor	+ Proposal will have a minor
		positive effect on the condition	positive effect on the condition of
		of the feature(s) of the	the feature(s) of the designated
		designated site	site
		+ + Proposal will have a	+ + Proposal will have a significant
		significant positive effect on the	positive effect on the condition of
		condition of the feature(s) of the	the feature(s) of the designated
		designated site	site
		?? = Unknown	X = N/A no designations apply
			?? = Unknown
5c	To what extent will	Development of site would	Development of site would
	the proposal impact	result in significant loss of non	result in significant loss of non
	non designated	designated interests	designated interests
	interests, including		

An Ni Est Pl W In W Pr or he in hi cc	voodlands in the ancient, Semi latural and Long stablished lantation voodlands eventory, Native voodland, Tree everservation Orders or other woodlands, nedgerows and endividual trees of high nature onservation or endscape value or pecies rich grasslands	- Development of site would result in minor loss of non designated interests O = Unlikely to be any impact on important trees or woodland due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will enhance non-designated interests ++ Proposal will significantly enhance non-designated interests ?? = Unknown	- Development of site would result in minor loss of non designated interests O = Unlikely to be any impact on important trees or woodland due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will enhance non-designated interests ++ Proposal will significantly enhance non-designated interests ?? = Unknown
th pr e.	To what extent will he proposal impact protected species? e.g. bats, otters, red quirrel and badgers	 - A protected species licence will require to be obtained in order for development to proceed - Protected Species present but licence not required due to ability to mitigate 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on protected species due to nature, scale or location of proposal 	 - A protected species licence will require to be obtained in order for development to proceed - Protected Species present but licence not required due to ability to mitigate 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on protected species due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would lead to a minor

		+ Proposal would lead to a minor enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat + + Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat	enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat + + Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat ??= Unknown
		?? = Unknown	
5e	Are there any geodiversity sites or wider geodiversity interests that could be affected by the proposal?	Development of site would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national or local geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated	Development of site would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national or local geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated
		- Development of site would have a minor negative effect on the integrity of a national or local geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on	- Development of site would have a minor negative effect on the integrity of a national or local geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated 0 = Unlikely to be any impact on national or local geodiversity site

		national or local geodiversity site due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will enhance a national or local geodiversity site + + Proposal will significantly enhance a national or local geodiversity site ?? = Unknown	due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will enhance a national or local geodiversity site + + Proposal will significantly enhance a national or local geodiversity site ?? = Unknown
5f	How will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the proposal – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity?	Proposal would significantly fragment a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or lead to a significant loss of BAP priority habitat - Proposal would have a minor negative effect on a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or on a BAP priority habitat	Proposal would significantly fragment a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or lead to a significant loss of BAP priority habitat - Proposal would have a minor negative effect on a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or on a BAP priority habitat
		0 = Unlikely to be any impact on habitat connectivity due to nature, scale or location of proposal	0 = Unlikely to be any impact on habitat connectivity due to nature, scale or location of proposal
		+ Proposal would lead to a minor enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network	+ Proposal would lead to a minor enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network

		for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat ++ Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat ?? = Unknown			for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat ++ Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat ?? = Unknown	
	Site Deliverability/ Sustainability					
		Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score	
6	To what extent does the proposal utilise a sheltered position and provide opportunities for solar gain Significant slope / changes in level?	 - Very exposed, with no shelter from landscape or vegetation, north facing slope or over shadowed site - Minor exposed site with minimal shelter from topography or vegetation. North west facing slope or flat site 0 = Partially sheltered sited by topography or vegetation. East or west facing slope or flat site. 			 - Very exposed, with no shelter from landscape or vegetation, north facing slope or over shadowed site - Minor exposed site with minimal shelter from topography or vegetation. North west facing slope or flat site 0 = Partially sheltered sited by topography or vegetation. East or west facing slope or flat site. 	

		Opportunity to provide shelter belts etc. + Minor sheltered by topography and vegetation south west or south east facing gradual slope + + Sheltered by topography and vegetation, south facing, gradual slope	Opportunity to provide shelter belts etc. + Minor sheltered by topography and vegetation south west or south east facing gradual slope + + Sheltered by topography and vegetation, south facing, gradual slope
7a	Road network capable of accommodating traffic generated?	No opportunity to connect to existing road network and or Existing road network cannot accommodate extra traffic generated	No opportunity to connect to existing road network and or Existing road network cannot accommodate extra traffic generated
		- Proposal will put existing road network under strain	- Proposal will put existing road network under strain
		0 = Proposal would be easily accommodated by existing road network	0 = Proposal would be easily accommodated by existing road network
		+ Proposal would not generate traffic or require a connection	+ Proposal would not generate traffic or require a connection
		+ + Proposal would improve capacity on existing road network	+ + Proposal would improve capacity on existing road network ??=Unknown

		?? = Unknown	
7b	Are there any access constraints or opportunities?	No opportunity to connect to existing road network and/ or Significantly constrained access to the site	No opportunity to connect to existing road network and/or Significantly constrained access to the site
		- Limited opportunity/ large amount of work to connect with existing road network and/or - Constraint to access that can be mitigated	- Limited opportunity/ large amount of work to connect with existing road network and/or - Constraint to access that can be mitigated
		0 = Proposal in close proximity to utilise existing connections and access	0 = Proposal in close proximity to utilise existing connections and access
		+ Opportunity to improve local access issues	+Opportunity to improve local access issues
		+ +Opportunity to significantly improve widespread access issues	+ + Opportunity to significantly improve widespread access issues ?? = Unknown
		?? = Unknown	
8	Education Is there capacity in relevant primary school and	Primary and/or secondary school are at or over actual or forecasted capacity and there is no scope for an extension	Primary and/or secondary school are at or over actual or forecasted capacity and there is no scope for an extension
	secondary school?	- Primary and/or secondary school are at or over actual or	- Primary and/or secondary school are at or over actual or

		forecasted capacity but there is scope for an extension 0 = Primary and/or secondary school have sufficient spare capacity to accommodate additional pupils arising from development	forecasted capacity but there is scope for an extension 0 = Primary and/or secondary school have sufficient spare capacity to accommodate additional pupils arising from development
		+ Primary and/or secondary school are under capacity and/or forecasted to be under capacity and development will help to sustain school/s	+ Primary and/or secondary school are under capacity and/or forecasted to be under capacity and development will help to sustain school/s
		+ + Primary and/or secondary school are significant under capacity and/or forecasted to be significantly under capacity and development is needed to help sustain school/s	+ + Primary and/or secondary school are significant under capacity and/or forecasted to be significantly under capacity and development is needed to help sustain school/s
		X = Proposal would not directly result in school pupils ?? = Unknown	X = Proposal would not directly result in school pupils ?? = Unknown
9a	Is the site limited due to other physical constraints (e.g. electricity pylons or pipelines) and/or	Significant servicing constraints such as overhead lines, or pipe lines And/or significant "bad neighbour" constraints within or	Significant servicing constraints such as overhead lines, or pipe lines that can not or would be very costly to mitigate And/ or Significant "bad

	bad neighbour uses (e.g. quarry, wind farm, landfill site etc.)	in very close proximity to the site - Some servicing constraints and/or "Bad neighbour" nearby that is incongruous to the proposed use and could cause minor disturbance 0=proposal is unlikely to be affected by physical or bad neighbour constraints + Scale of the proposal will address some localised constraints ++ Scale of the proposal means that widespread servicing constraints will be addressed	neighbour" constraints within or in very close proximity to the site, that would be incongruous to the development and would cause significant disturbance - Some servicing constraints that could be mitigated and/or "Bad neighbour" nearby that is incongruous to the proposed use and could cause minor disturbance O=proposal is unlikely to be affected by physical or bad neighbour constraints + Scale of the proposal will address some localised constraints ++ Scale of the proposal means that widespread servicing constraints will be addressed
9b	What level of work would be required to connect to a public water supply and waste drainage system?	- No connection to the water and/or waste water network 0=Public water/waste water and mains connection available on site or within 200m of the site X – the site use proposed does	- No viable connection to the water and/or waste water network 0=Public water/waste water and mains connection available on site or within 200m of the site

		not require a connection		+by requiring proposal to connect it will contribute a minor improvement to public drainage or sewerage issues
				++ by requiring proposal to connect the scale it means that widespread drainage and sewerage issues will be addressed
				X – the site use proposed does not require a connection
9c	Is there capacity in local Water Treatment Works	No economic improvement of/connection to STW and/or WTW possible		No economic improvement of/connection to STW and/or WTW possible
_	Sewerage Treatment Works (STW)	- Insufficient capacity at local STW and/or WTW, no programmed improvement but feasible to improve and connect		- Insufficient capacity at local STW and/or WTW, no programmed improvement but feasible to improve and connect
		0 – WTW and STW have sufficient spare capacity to accommodate development		0 – WTW and STW have sufficient spare capacity to accommodate development
				0 - Insufficient capacity at local STW and/or WTW but acceptable interim solution possible and increased capacity programmed

			+ Development likely to encourage Scottish Water to add sewerage improvement scheme to its capital programme and this likely to service existing properties with a net benefit to the water environment
9d	Is the site likely to be delivered within the LDP timeframe? (this will be influenced by	Site is affected by major constraints which mean it is unlikely to be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Site is affected by major constraints which mean it is unlikely to be delivered within the LDP timeframe
	the following constraints: ownership; physical, contamination, deficit funding or	- Site is affected by minor constraints which mean it is unlikely to be delivered in the early part of the LDP timeframe	- Site is affected by minor constraints which mean it is unlikely to be delivered in the early part of the LDP timeframe
	infrastructure)	0 = The site is free from major constraints and therefore likely to be capable of being delivered within the LDP timeframe	0 = The site is free from major constraints and therefore likely to be capable of being delivered within the LDP timeframe
		+ The site is free from constraints and at an advanced planning stage (e.g. allocated in existing local plan and/or has	+ The site is free from constraints and at an advanced planning stage (e.g. allocated in existing local plan and/or has planning
		planning permission) and therefore likely to be delivered in the near future + + The site is currently under	permission) and therefore likely to be delivered in the near future + + The site is currently under construction and likely to be

		construction and likely to be completed within the LDP timeframe			completed within the LDP timeframe
9e	Is the proposal likely to result in a net economic benefit, in particular creation of employment opportunities?	significant economic detriment, for example loss of jobs - some economic detriment, for example loss of jobs 0 - no net economic benefits + some net economic benefits, including creation of permanent and/or temporary skilled or unskilled jobs ++ significant net economic benefits, including creation highly skilled, permanent jobs			significant economic detriment, for example loss of jobs - some economic detriment, for example loss of jobs 0 – no net economic benefits + some net economic benefits, including creation of permanent and/or temporary skilled or unskilled jobs ++ significant net economic benefits, including creation highly skilled, permanent jobs
		Hun	nan Health and recreat	ion	
		Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
10a	To what extent will the proposal affect the quality and quantity of open space?	 - Proposal would have a significant negative impact on quality and/or quantity of open space - Proposal would have a minor negative impact on the quality 			 - Proposal would have a significant negative impact on quality and/or quantity of open space - Proposal would have a minor negative impact on the quality

		and/or quantity of existing open space	and/or quantity of existing open space
		0 = Unlikely to have any impact on existing open space due to nature, scale or location of proposal	0 = Unlikely to have any impact on existing open space due to nature, scale or location of proposal
		+ Proposal would have minor positive impact on the quality and/or quantity of existing open space	+ Proposal would have minor positive impact on the quality and/or quantity of existing open space
		++ Proposal would have significant positive impact on quantity and/or quality of green space	+ + Proposal would have significant positive impact on quantity and/or quality of green space
		?? = Unknown	?? = Unknown
10b	To what extent will the proposal contribute to greater connectivity of open space?	The proposal would have a significant negative impact on connectivity of open space and/or Proposal is far removed from access to open space/ access networks or there and/or there is no scope for improved connectivity of open space	The proposal would have a significant negative impact on connectivity of open space and/or Proposal is far removed from access to open space/ access networks or there and/or there is no scope for improved connectivity of open space
		- Proposal would fragment key access networks or open space	- Proposal would fragment key access networks or open space

		and/or the proposal does not connect or relate well to existing access networks or green networks	and/or the proposal does not connect or relate well to existing access networks or green networks
		0 = Utilises or is in close proximity to existing connections	0 = Utilises or is in close proximity to existing connections
		+ Improves/enhances green network connectivity, or key access network and/or improved access to open space	+ Improves/enhances green network connectivity, or key access network and/or improved access to open space
		+ + Proposal would significantly contribute to greater connectivity of open space	+ + Proposal would significantly contribute to greater connectivity of open space
		?? = Unknown	?? = Unknown
10c	To what extent will the proposal facilitate active travel (walking and cycling) comprising	Proposal would result in significant adverse impacts to the existing path network, for example by resulting in the loss of a route or severing a route	Proposal would result in significant adverse impacts to the existing path network, for example by resulting in the loss of a route or severing a route
	paths, cycle paths, coastal paths and rights of way?	- Proposal would result in adverse impacts to the existing path network, for example by affecting the amenity of a route	- Proposal would result in adverse impacts to the existing path network, for example by affecting the amenity of a route
		0 = Proposal will have no impact on the existing path network due to nature, scale or location of	0 = Proposal will have no impact on the existing path network due to nature, scale or location of

		proposal + Proposal provides opportunity to link to the existing path network to reach a limited number of services and facilities + + Proposal provides significant opportunities to link the wider path network to reach a range of services and facilities			+ Proposal provides opportunity to link to the existing path network to reach a limited number of services and facilities + Proposal provides significant opportunities to link the wider path network to reach a range of services and facilities
		?? = Unknown			?? = Unknown
		Was	te and Natural Resources		
		Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
11a	Is brownfield land present onsite?	Development would create brownfield land			Development would create brownfield land
		- Site development would ignore opportunities to make use of brownfield land			- Site development would ignore opportunities to make use of brownfield land
		0 = Will not affect brownfield land due to the nature, scale or location of proposal			0 = Will not affect brownfield land due to the nature, scale or location of proposal
		+ Minor redevelopment of brownfield land			+ Minor redevelopment of brownfield land
		+ + Significant/large scale redevelopment of brownfield			+ + Significant/large scale

		land	redevelopment of brownfield land
11b	Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site and if so, will the proposal reduce contamination?	Large scale contaminated soil present onsite - Potentially contaminated land or small amount of contaminated soil identified on site 0 = No record of contaminated soils on site + Will remediate minor contamination or small scale contamination onsite + + Will remediate significant contamination or large scale contamination ?? = Unknown	Large scale contaminated soil present onsite - Potentially contaminated land or small amount of contaminated soil identified on site 0 = No record of contaminated soils on site + Will remediate minor contamination or small scale contamination onsite + Will remediate significant contamination or large scale contamination ?? = Unknown
11c	Will the proposal result in the loss of greenfield land?	 Large-scale use of Greenfield land - Small scale use of greenfield land 0 = Site is not greenfield land + Proposal will protect greenfield 	Large-scale use of Greenfield land which cannot be mitigated -Small scale use of greenfield land which cannot be mitigated 0 = Site is not greenfield land + Proposal will protect greenfield

		land on a local scale + + Proposal will enhance the amenity of greenfield land	land on a local scale + + Proposal will enhance the amenity of greenfield land
11d	Will the proposal minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover existing on-site materials/resources?	Development would result in vacant buildings - Site development would ignore opportunities to redevelop existing buildings 0 = Will not affect vacant buildings due to the nature, scale or location of proposal + Minor redevelopment of existing buildings, encouraging sustainable use of primary resources at local level + + Significant/large scale redevelopment of existing buildings, encouraging significant sustainable use of primary resources at a regional level	Development would result in vacant buildings - Site development would ignore opportunities to redevelop existing buildings 0 = Will not affect vacant buildings due to the nature, scale or location of proposal + Minor redevelopment of existing buildings + + Significant/large scale redevelopment of existing buildings ?? = Unknown
12a	Is the site located on carbon rich soils including peat/wetlands?	Most of the site (>50%) is within an area of carbon rich soils/peat/wetlands -Some of the site (<50%) is within an area of carbon rich	Will cause significant disturbance of carbon rich soils/wetlands -Minor disturbance of carbon rich

		soils/peat/wetlands 0=Site is not located within an area of known carbon rich soils/peat/wetland	soils/wetlands 0=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to effect on soil or croft land +Small area of carbon rich soil/wetlands safeguarded from disturbance ++Large area of carbon rich soil/ wetlands safeguarded from disturbance X = Site not thought to be on carbon rich soils
12b	For greenfield sites to what extent does the proposal directly affect good quality agricultural soils or locally important croft land?	Could cause a very significant loss of good agricultural land or locally important croft land -Could cause a minor loss of good agricultural land or locally important croft land 0= Site is not on high quality agricultural soils or croft land +Could give small scale/local protection to good agricultural land or locally important croft	Could cause a very significant loss of good agricultural land or locally important croft land -Could cause a minor loss of good agricultural land or locally important croft land 0= Site is not on high quality agricultural soils or croft land +Could give small scale/local protection to good agricultural land or locally important croft

		land ++Could provide significant protection to good agricultural land or locally important croft land	land ++Could provide significant protection to good agricultural land or locally important croft land
13a	Will the proposal help meet the Zero Waste Plan targets?	Could cause a significant increase the amount of waste going to landfill. - Could cause an increased amount of waste going to landfill 0 =No significant impact on the rates of recycling and amount of waste going to landfill + Could facilitate sustainable waste management at a local scale ++Could facilitate sustainable waste management at regional level	Will have a significant negative effect on a waste handling operation or will cause a significant increase the amount of waste going to landfill. - Will cause an increased amount of waste going to landfill 0 = No significant impact on the rates of recycling and amount of waste going to landfill + Will facilitate sustainable waste management at a local scale + Will facilitate sustainable waste management at regional level
13b	For sites not currently considered identified for waste is the proposal in the vicinity of a waste	Adjacent to waste management site and therefore could have a significant negative effect on a waste handling	Mitigation measures would not adequately reduce the significant effect on the waste handling operation

	management site and could it therefore compromise the waste handling operation?	operation -In close proximity to waste management site and therefore could have a minor negative effect on a waste handling operation 0 = No waste management sites nearby that could be compromised or use proposed will not affect waste management site		- Mitigation measures would not reduce all effects on the waste handling operation 0=Not applicable + Mitigation measures can be put in place to protect the existing waste handling operation ++ Mitigation measures can be put in place to support any future expansion of the waste handling operation
13c	For potential suitable sites for waste management activities (Includes allocations for employment, industrial or storage and distribution uses) to what extent will the proposal comply with the locational element of the Planning for Zero Waste section of Scottish Planning	Site is very remote from waste producers - Relatively remote from waste producers 0= Site is not potentially suitable site for waste management activities + Proposal is generally located close to waste producers ++ Proposal is located in close proximity to waste producers		Proposal is very remote from waste producers - Proposal is relatively removed from waste producers 0= Not applicable + Proposal is generally located close to waste producers ++ Proposal is located close to waste producers

	Policy?					
	Landscape					
		Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score	
14	Landscape Designated sites To what extent will any designated sites be affected — including National Scenic Areas and Special Landscape Areas?	Proposal is within or would affect a national or local designated landscape and would lead to a significant loss of or impact on the key features or qualities - Proposal is within or would affect a national or local designated landscape and would lead to a minor loss or impact on the key features or qualities 0 = Nature, scale or location of proposal is unlikely to have any effects on designated landscapes + Proposal offers minor or local enhancement to a national or local designated landscape + + Proposal significantly enhances the qualities of a national or local designated	Justincation	Willigation	Proposal is within or would affect a national or local designated landscape and would lead to a significant loss of or impact on the key features or qualities - Proposal is within or would affect a national or local designated landscape and would lead to a minor loss or impact on the key features or qualities 0 = Nature, scale or location of proposal is unlikely to have any effects on designated landscapes + Proposal offers minor or local enhancement to a national or local designated landscape + + Proposal significantly enhances the qualities of a national or local designated	

		?? = Unknown	?? = Unknown
15a	Non designated landscape features and key landscape interests	Proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a significant negative effect on qualities of landscape interest	Proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a significant negative effect on qualities of landscape interest
	To what extent will the proposal affect features of landscape interest, including the distinctive character of the landscape and the qualities of wild land and unspoiled coast	- Proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a minor negative effect on qualities of landscape interest 0 = Location, scale or nature of proposal unlikely to have any effects on qualities of landscape interest + Proposal offers minor or local enhancement to qualities of landscape interest + Proposal significantly enhances qualities of landscape interest ?? = Unknown	- Proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a minor negative effect on qualities of landscape interest 0 = Location, scale or nature of proposal unlikely to have any effects on qualities of landscape interest + Proposal offers minor or local enhancement to qualities of landscape interest + Proposal significantly enhances qualities of landscape interest ?? = Unknown
15b	To what extent is the proposal within the capacity of the	Development isolated and not in an existing settlement boundary and/ or Development	Development isolated and not in an existing settlement boundary and/ or Development of

site would land lock other sites or
impact on existing connectivity in
a settlement and/or proposal fails
to relate to current settlement
pattern and density
- Development poorly orientated
from key services or similar uses
elongates settlement and/or
Development segregated from
existing settlement by barriers
such as road, railway line river
etc., which could not be or would
be costly to mitigate and proposal
partially relates to current
settlement pattern and density
0 = Due to scale, nature or
location proposal will have a very
minimal impact on the landscape
·
+ Well connected/ appears to
round off settlement, currently
accessible with scope for further
access to improve integration and
accessibility by a variety of modes
of transport including foot/ cycle and proposal reinforces current
settlement pattern and density
+ + Well connected to existing

		reinforces current settlement pattern and density + + Well connected to existing settlement, could help address existing connectivity issues. Site accessible by a variety of modes of transport. Scope to freely permeate into existing settlement and proposal contributes strongly to the settlement's sense of place in terms of pattern and density ?? = Unknown	settlement, could help address existing connectivity issues. Site accessible by a variety of modes of transport. Scope to freely permeate into existing settlement and proposal contributes strongly to the settlement's sense of place in terms of pattern and density ?? = Unknown
15c	To what extent will the proposal be visually intrusive?	 Visually disruptive, incongruous and out of character to the surrounding landscape and/ or proposal would be visually intrusive in a valued or sensitive view Proposal would be visually intrusive in wider general scenery Unlikely to be any visual impact due to nature, scale or location of proposal 	Visually disruptive, incongruous and out of character to the surrounding landscape and/ or proposal would be visually intrusive in a valued or sensitive view - Proposal would be visually intrusive in wider general scenery O = Unlikely to be any visual impact due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would lead to an

		+ Proposal would lead to an improvement to an existing detracting feature in wider			improvement to an existing detracting feature in wider general scenery and/or Type of
		general scenery and/ or Type of			proposed development fits well
		proposed development fits well			with existing development
		with existing development			B
		+ + Proposal would lead to an improvement to an existing detracting feature in a valued or sensitive view e.g. by redevelopment of derelict /gap site and fits well into the surrounding landscape and land uses ?? = Unknown			+ + Proposal would lead to an improvement to an existing detracting feature in a valued or sensitive view e.g. by redevelopment of derelict /gap site and fits well into the surrounding landscape and land uses ?? = Unknown
		Olimiowii	Cultural Haritaga		
	T		Cultural Heritage	T	
		Pre-Mitigation Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
16a	To what extent will the proposal affect any scheduled monuments or their setting?	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a scheduled monument or its setting			Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a scheduled monument or its setting
		- Development of site would			- Development of site would have
		have a minor negative impact on a scheduled monument and/or			a minor negative impact on a scheduled monuments and/or its

		its wider setting	wider setting
		0 = Will not impact any locally important scheduled monuments due to nature, scale or location of proposal	0 = Will not impact any locally important scheduled monuments due to nature, scale or location of proposal
		+ Proposal would result in minor renovation/regeneration of a scheduled monument and/or proposal will enable better access to a scheduled monument and/or minor enhancement of the setting of a scheduled monument + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of a degraded scheduled monument and/or major enhancement of the setting of a scheduled monument ?? = Unknown	+ Proposal would result in minor renovation/regeneration of a scheduled monument and/or proposal will enable better access to a scheduled monument and/or minor enhancement of the setting of a scheduled monument + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of a degraded scheduled monument and/or major enhancement of the setting of a scheduled monument ?? = Unknown
16b	To what extent will the proposal affect any locally important archaeological sites?	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a locally important archaeological site or its setting - Development of site would	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a locally important archaeological site or its setting - Development of site would have

	To what extent will	have a minor negative impact on a locally important archaeological site and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any locally important archaeological sites due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would result in minor renovation/regeneration of locally important archaeological sites and/or proposal will enable better access to locally important archaeological sites and/or minor enhancement of the setting of a locally important archaeological site + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse a locally important archaeological site and/or enhancement of the setting of locally important archaeological site and/or enhancement of the setting of locally important archaeological site ?? = Unknown	a minor negative impact on a locally important archaeological site and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any locally important archaeological sites due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal would result in minor renovation/regeneration of locally important archaeological sites and/or proposal will enable better access to locally important archaeological sites and/or minor enhancement of the setting of a locally important archaeological site + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse a locally important archaeological site and/or enhancement of the setting of locally important archaeological site and/or enhancement of the setting of locally important archaeological site ?? = Unknown
16c	the proposal affect any listed buildings	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a listed	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a listed building

	and/or their setting?	building and/or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a listed building and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any listed buildings due to nature, scale or	and/or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a listed building and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any listed buildings due to nature, scale or
		location of proposal + Renovation/regeneration of listed buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to listed building and or minor enhancement of the setting of a listed building + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of a listed building and/or enhancement of the setting of a listed building ?? = Unknown	location of proposal + Renovation/regeneration of listed buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to listed building and or minor enhancement of the setting of a listed building + + Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of a listed building and/or enhancement of the setting of a listed building ?? = Unknown
16d	To what extent will the proposal affect any Conservation Areas?	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a conservation area or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a conservation area or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a

		a conservation area and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any conservation areas due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of a conservation area and /or will enable better access to a conservation area + + Proposal will result in large-scale regeneration or a conservation area ?? = Unknown	conservation area and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any conservation areas due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of a conservation area and /or will enable better access to a conservation area + + Proposal will result in large-scale regeneration or a conservation area ?? = Unknown
16e	To what extent will the proposal affect any Inventory Garden and Designed Landscape?	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a garden and designed landscape or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a garden or designed landscape and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any garden or designed landscape due to nature, scale or location of	 - Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a garden and designed landscape or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a garden or designed landscape and/or its wider setting 0 = Will not impact any garden or designed landscape due to nature, scale or location of

		proposal	proposal
		+ Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of a garden and designed landscape and /or will enable better access to a garden and designed landscape	+ Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of a garden and designed landscape and /or will enable better access to a garden and designed landscape
		+ + Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a garden and designed landscape and /or will significantly improve access to a garden and designed landscape ?? = Unknown	+ Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a garden and designed landscape and /or will significantly improve access to a garden and designed landscape ?? = Unknown
16f	To what extent will the proposal affect any Inventory Historic Battlefield?	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a historic battlefield or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a historic battlefield or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a
		a historic battlefield and/or its wider setting	historic battlefield and/or its wider setting
		0= Will not impact any historic battlefield due to nature, scale or location of proposal	0= Will not impact any historic battlefield due to nature, scale or location of proposal
		+ Proposal will result in minor	+ Proposal will result in minor

		renovation/regeneration of a historic battlefield and /or will enable better access to a historic battlefield + + Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a historic battlefield and /or will significantly improve access to a historic battlefield ?? = Unknown	renovation/regeneration of a historic battlefield and /or will enable better access to a historic battlefield + + Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a historic battlefield and /or will significantly improve access to a historic battlefield ?? = Unknown
16g	To what extent will the proposal affect any World Heritage Sites? (including proposed)	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a World Heritage Sites or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a World Heritage Site and/or its wider setting	 - Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a World Heritage Sites or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a World Heritage Site and/or its wider setting
		0= Will not impact any World Heritage Sites due to nature, scale or location of proposal	0= Will not impact any World Heritage Sites due to nature, scale or location of proposal
		+ Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of a World Heritage Site and /or will enable better access to a World Heritage Site	+ Proposal will result in minor renovation/regeneration of a World Heritage Site and /or will enable better access to a World Heritage Site

		+ + Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a World Heritage Sites and /or will significantly improve access to a World Heritage Site ?? = Unknown	+ + Proposal will result in large scale renovation/regeneration of a World Heritage Sites and /or will significantly improve access to a World Heritage Site ?? = Unknown
16h	To what extent will the proposal result in the opportunity to improve access to the historic environment?	Development of site would have a significant negative impact on access to historic environment features within or close by the site - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on access to historic environment features within or close by the site	Development of site would have a significant negative impact on access to historic environment features within or close by the site - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on access to historic environment features within or close by the site
		0 = Development would not affect access to the historic environment due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in minor access improvements to the historic environment features	0 = Development would not affect access to the historic environment due to nature, scale or location of proposal + Proposal will result in minor access improvements to the historic environment features
		within or close to the site + + Proposal will result in significant access improvements	within or close to the site + + Proposal will result in significant access improvements

to the historic environment
features within or close to the site
?? = Unknown