#### Appendix 4a – Site Assessment Introduction

This appendix details the assessment matrix used for the site assessments. Appendix 4b provides the assessments for the sites allocations in CaSPlan and appendix 4c provides the assessments for all of the alternative sites to which SEA was applied.

The assessment considers:

- What level of impact the site may have on each of the SEA Objectives and;
- Potential mitigation which may be required to maximise the positive effects and minimise the negative effects on the environment.

Each matrix also includes a justification of the assessment. This is intended to guide the reader through the decision making process.

Please note that all site assessments have been carried out assuming no mitigation.

The following table provides the list of criteria against which each site is assessed.

Site Name:		Origin:
Settlement:	GIS Site Reference:	
OS Grid Ref:	Site size (ha):	MIR Status:
Proposed Use:		
<b>Site History</b> (Including any previous planning applications and any existing local plans and proposals?)		
Outside Settlement Boundary? Yes/ No.		

Insert Location Plan
Insert Photographs if available

	Water/Drain age constraints	SEA Topic	Sources of info	Pre-Mitigation score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
1a	To what extent will the proposal affect existing pressures on the water environment as identified in the Scotland River Basin Management	water	Scotland's River Basin managem ent plan RBMP Interactive Map http://gis. sepa.org.u k/rbmp/	<ul> <li>- Development would have a significant negative impact on one or more water bodies identified in RBMP.</li> <li>- Development would have a minor negative impact one or more water bodies identified in RBMP.</li> <li>O= Development is unlikely to have any significant effects on any water bodies</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>- Development would have a significant negative impact on one or more water bodies identified in RBMP.</li> <li>- Development would have a minor negative impact one or more water bodies identified in RBMP.</li> <li>0= Development is unlikely to have any significant effects on any water bodies or water supply source</li> </ul>

	Plan or may have an affect on the actions being carried out by the North Highland Area Advisory Group??			or water supply source + development will have a small or local scale positive impact on water quality or water supply ++development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on water quality or water supply  ??= Unknown x-Not applicable		+ development will have a small or local scale positive impact on water quality or water supply ++development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on water quality or water supply  ??= Unknown x-Not applicable
1b	To what extent will the proposal result in a direct physical impact on the water environment or provide opportunities to address historic impacts?  Eg Forestry, culverts	water	GIS Site informatio n identify non RBMP water bodies Site visit	Development would have a widespread negative impact on the water environment and/or long term impact which mitigation can not address -Development would have a localised minor negative impact on the water environment and/or medium term which would be difficult to mitigate 0= Development is unlikely to have any significant effects on any water bodies or water supply source		Development would have a widespread negative impact on the water environment and/or long term impact which mitigation can not address -Development would have a localised minor negative impact on the water environment and/or medium term which would be difficult to mitigate  0= Development is unlikely to have any significant effects on any water bodies or water supply source

				+ development will have a small or local scale positive impact on water quality or water supply ++development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on water quality or water supply  ??= Unknown x-Not applicable		+ development will have a small or local scale positive impact on water quality or water supply ++development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on water quality or water supply  ??= Unknown x-Not applicable
1c	To what extent could the proposal affect existing water	water	Awaiting info from environm ental health	Development would have a widespread negative impact on the water environment and/or long term impact which mitigation can not address		Development would have a widespread negative impact on the water environment and/or long term impact which mitigation can not address
	supplies within 250 m of the development ?			-Development would have a localised minor negative impact on the water environment and/or medium term which would be difficult to mitigate		-Development would have a localised minor negative impact on the water environment and/or medium term which would be difficult to mitigate
				0= Development is unlikely to have any significant effects on any water bodies or water supply source		0= Development is unlikely to have any significant effects on any water bodies or water supply source

					+ development will have a small or local scale positive impact on water quality or water supply ++development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on water quality or water supply  ??= Unknown x-Not applicable			+ development will have a small or local scale positive impact on water quality or water supply ++development will have a significant or widespread positive impact on water quality or water supply  ??= Unknown x-Not applicable
		Climate Change	SEA Topic	Sources of info	Pre-Mit Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
2	2a	What is the site overall impact in terms of carbon emissions?	Climatic factors	Low Carbon Scotland  Site informatio n  HwLDP  Policy 28 and 72  Highland Wide	500+ houses or 20ha + of industrial or employment land. Proposal is significantly far from existing centres population or similar uses Proposal will cause a significant increase in use of private car (Co2 emissions) -development 50-499 houses or 3-19ha of employment/industrial land - Proposal is far from			500+ houses or 20ha + of industrial or employment land. Proposal is significantly far from existing centres population or similar uses Proposal will cause a significant increase in use of private car (Co2 emissions) - development50-499 houses or 3-19ha of employment/industrial land - Proposal is far from existing centres of population and/

	Local	existing centres of		or similar uses
	Develo	population and/ or similar		- Proposal will cause a minor increase in use of private car
		- Proposal will cause a minor increase in use of private car		0= Small Scale proposal 1-49 houses or less than 2ha of employment/industrial land
		0= Small scale proposal 1- 49 houses or less than 2ha of employment/industrial land		O= Proposal located close to existing centres of population and/or similar uses
		O= Proposal located close to existing centres of population and/or similar uses		O= Type of proposal is unlikely to have any significant impact on CO2 emissions/ increased car
		O= Type of proposal is unlikely to have any significant impact on CO2 emissions/increased car travel		travel + Mixed use proposal (e.g. housing, employment and community uses) close to existing centres of
		+ Mixed use proposal (e.g. housing, employment and community uses) close to existing centres of population and/or similar		population and/or similar uses, reducing car use and enabling use of active travel choices and use of public transport
		uses, reducing car use and enabling use of active travel choices and use of public transport ++ Proposal for footpath,		++ Proposal for footpath, cycleway or open space that will encourage a significant reduction in use of private car

				cycleway or open space that will encourage a significant reduction in use of private car		
3a	Flood Risk To what extent is the proposal likely to be affected or have a significant impact on flood risk?	Water and climatic factors	GIS (remembe r to use most up to date layer Jan 2014) Site info SEPA Site visit	Most of the site (>50%) is within an area known to flood or within an indicative area of medium to high flood risk - Some of the site (<50%)is within an area of known flooding or within an indicative area of medium to high flood risk  0=Due to scale or type of proposal there is unlikely to be any impact on existing flooding issues + Provision of SUDS or flood management plan could address local flooding issues ++ Provision of SUDS or Flood management Plan could address widespread flooding issues ??= Unknown X=Not applicable		Most of the site (>50%) is within an area known to flood or within an indicative area of medium to high flood risk  - Some of the site (<50%)is within an area of known flooding or within an indicative area of medium to high flood risk  O=Due to scale or type of proposal there is unlikely to be any impact on existing flooding issues  + Provision of SUDS or flood management plan could address local flooding issues  ++ Provision of SUDS or Flood management Plan could address widespread flooding issues  ??= Unknown  X=Not applicable
				A Not applicable		7 Not applicable

4a	To what extent will the proposal have an impact on or likely to be affected by coastal erosion or natural coastal process?	Water and climatic factors	GIS(reme mber to use Jan 2014 layer) Site info SEPA A guide to managing coastal erosion in beach/du ne systems, SNH(see map) http://ww w.snh.org. uk/publica tions/on-line/herita gemanage ment/eros ion/image s/fig-1.gif Site visit	The site is in an area of significant coastal erosion and/ or Will have a significantly negative impact on coastal erosion - Site is in an area of minor coastal erosion and/ or Will have a minor negative impact on coastal erosion  O=Due to scale or type of proposal there is unlikely to be any impact on existing Coastal erosion issues + Proposal includes mitigation to address local erosion issues ++ Proposal includes mitigation that will address widespread erosion issues ??=Unknown  X=not applicable, not in an area of coastal erosion			The site is in an area of significant coastal erosion and/ or Will have a significantly negative impact on coastal erosion - Site is in an area of minor coastal erosion and/ or Will have a minor negative impact on coastal erosion  0=Due to scale or type of proposal there is unlikely to be any impact on existing Coastal erosion issues + Proposal includes mitigation to address local erosion issues ++ Proposal includes mitigation that will address widespread erosion issues ??=Unknown  X=not applicable, not in an area of coastal erosion
	Biodiversity, Flora and	SEA Topic	Sources of info	Pre-Mit Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score

	Fauna					
5a	To what extent will the proposal impact on biodiversity, flora and fauna interests?	Bio flora and fauna	GIS Scottish Biodiversit y Forum http://ww w.biodiver sityscotlan d.gov.uk/ Consult environm ent team and SNH SNH site link http://gat eway.snh. gov.uk/sit elink/	=development of site would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated= development of site would have a minor negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated  0=Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature or scale of proposal +-= proposal will help safeguard a national, local conservation or geodiversity site ++=Proposal will improve connectivity between local,		=development of site would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated= development of site would have a minor negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated  0=Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature or scale of proposal +-= proposal will help safeguard a national, local conservation or geodiversity site ++=Proposal will improve connectivity between local, national conservation or geodiversity sites

				national conservation or geodiversity sites site X= N/A no designations apply ??= Unknown		site  X= N/A no designations apply  ??= Unknown
51	International designation – e.g. SAC/SPA	Bio flora and fauna	GIS  SNH site link details about why site is designate d http://gat eway.snh. gov.uk/sit elink/	= development of site would have a likely significantly negative effect on a Natura 2000 site  -= development of the site would have a minor negative effect on a Natura 2000 site  0=Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature or scale of proposal += proposal will contribute to a minor improve the quality of a Natura 2000 ++=Proposal will improve connectivity between local, national conservation or geodiversity sites ++= Proposal will significantly improve the		= development of site would have a likely significantly negative effect on a Natura 2000 site -= development of the site would have a minor negative effect on a Natura 2000 site  0=Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature or scale of proposal += proposal will contribute to a minor improve the quality of a Natura 2000 ++=Proposal will improve connectivity between local, national conservation or geodiversity sites ++= Proposal will significantly improve the quality of a Natura 2000 site

				quality of a Natura 2000 site  X= N/A no designations apply  ??= Unknown		X= N/A no designations apply ??= Unknown
5c	Other designation – e.g. SSSI, NNR, and locally important designations such as LNRs and LNCS	Bio flora and fauna	SNH site link details about why site is designate d http://gat eway.snh. gov.uk/sit elink/	=development of site would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated -= development of site would have a minor negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated  0=Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature or scale of proposal += proposal will help safeguard a national, local		=development of site would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated -= development of site would have a minor negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated  0=Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature or scale of proposal += proposal will help safeguard a national, local conservation or geodiversity

			conservation or geodiversity site ++=proposal will significantly help safeguard a national, local conservation or geodiversity site X= N/A no designations apply ??= Unknown		site ++=proposal will significantly help safeguard a national, local conservation or geodiversity site  X= N/A no designations apply ??= Unknown
Non designated - e.g. trees, woodland, (especially woodlands in the inventor of Ancient, Semi Natura and Long Established Plantation Woodlands) species rich grasslands	fauna	GIS  SNH Trees and Woodland Info and resources http://ww w.snh.gov. uk/planni ng-and- developm ent/advice -for- planners- and- developer s/woodlan ds/	=development of site would require loss of Ancient woodland inventoried woodland or Significant Tree removal= Tree removal /afforestation required of non protected woodland=localised and medium/short term  O=Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature or scale of proposal +-Proposal will protect Ancient Inventoried woodland		=development of site would require loss of Ancient woodland inventoried woodland or Significant Tree removal -= Tree removal /afforestation required of non protected woodland -=localised and medium/short term  0=Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature or scale of proposal +=Proposal will protect Ancient Inventoried woodland

-	Ductocated	Die flane		++=Proposal will offer significant protection to Ancient Inventoried woodland  X= N/A no designations apply  ??= Unknown		++=Proposal will offer significant protection to Ancient Inventoried woodland  X= N/A no designations apply  ??= Unknown
5e	Protected Species affected? — e.g. bats, otters, etc	Bio flora and fauna	SNH site link details about protected species http://gat eway.snh. gov.uk/sit elink/	=A protected species licence will require to be obtained in order for development to proceed=Widespread and long -=Protected Species present but licence not required due to ability to mitigate  0=Unlikely to be any impact on protected species + Proposal would lead to a minor enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat ++ Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat		=A protected species licence will require to be obtained in order for development to proceed=Protected Species present but licence not required due to ability to mitigate  0=Unlikely to be any impact on protected species + Proposal would lead to a minor enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat ++ Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for

			corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat  X= N/A no designations apply  ??= Unknown		movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat X= N/A no designations apply ??= Unknown
5f	Are there any local geodiversity sites or wider geodiversity interests that could be affected by the proposal?	Environme nt Team  Scottish geodiversi y forum  http://scottishgeodiversityfor um.org/charter/ Northwest Highlands Geopark  http://www.northwest-highlands-geopark.org.uk/	=development of site would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated= development of site would have a minor negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated  0=Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature or scale of proposal		would have a significant negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated -= development of site would have a minor negative effect on the integrity of a national, local nature conservation designation or Geodiversity site or the qualities for which it has been designated  0=Unlikely to be any impact on national or local conservation (including Geodiversity) sites due to nature or scale of proposal += proposal will help safeguard a national, local

				+= proposal will help safeguard a national, local conservation or geodiversity site ++=Proposal will improve connectivity between local, national conservation or geodiversity sites X= N/A no designations apply ??= Unknown		conservation or geodiversity site  ++=Proposal will improve connectivity between local, national conservation or geodiversity sites  X= N/A no designations apply  ??= Unknown
5g	habit	Bio flora and fauna	SNH site link details about protected species/ha bitat http://gat eway.snh. gov.uk/sit elink/ JNCC, info about BAPs http://jncc .defra.gov. uk/page- 5718	Proposal would significantly fragment a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or lead to a significant loss of BAP priority habitat - Proposal would have a minor negative effect on a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or on a BAP priority habitat 0=Unlikely to be any impact on habitat connectivity + Proposal would lead to a minor enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of		Proposal would significantly fragment a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or lead to a significant loss of BAP priority habitat - Proposal would have a minor negative effect on a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or on a BAP priority habitat  O=Unlikely to be any impact on habitat connectivity + Proposal would lead to a minor enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of

			Scottish Biodiversit y Forum http://ww w.biodiver sityscotlan d.gov.uk/	the quality of a BAP priority habitat ++ Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat  X= N/A no designations apply ??= Unknown			the quality of a BAP priority habitat ++ Proposal would lead to a significant enhancement in the connectivity of a habitat corridor or network for movement of wildlife, or of the quality of a BAP priority habitat  X= N/A no designations apply ??= Unknown
	Site Deliverability / Sustainabilit y.	SEA Topic	Sources of info	Pre-Mit Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
6a	To what extent does the proposal utilise a sheltered position and provide opportunities for solar gain	Climatic factors	HwLDP http://ww w.highlan d.gov.uk/y ourenviro nment/pla nning/dev elopment plans/High landWideL ocalDevel	Very exposed, with no shelter from landscape or vegetation, north facing slope or over shadowed site - Minor exposed site with minimal shelter from topography or vegetation. North west facing slope or flat site  O= Partially sheltered sited			Very exposed, with no shelter from landscape or vegetation, north facing slope or over shadowed site - Minor exposed site with minimal shelter from topography or vegetation. North west facing slope or flat site O= Partially sheltered sited

	slope / changes in level?	opmentPl an.htmPol icy 28 HwLDP Sustainabl e design sup guidance Site visit Site info	by topography or vegetation. East or west facing slope or flat site. Opportunity to provide shelter belts etc + Minor sheltered by topography and vegetation south west or south east facing gradual slope ++ Sheltered by topography and vegetation, south facing, gradual slope X=N/A No development proposed ??= Unknown		by topography or vegetation. East or west facing slope or flat site. Opportunity to provide shelter belts etc + Minor sheltered by topography and vegetation south west or south east facing gradual slope ++ Sheltered by topography and vegetation, south facing, gradual slope X=N/A No development proposed ??= Unknown
7a	Road network capable of accommodati ng traffic generated?	GIS TECS	No opportunity to connect to existing road network and or Existing road network cannot accommodate extra traffic generated - Proposal will put existing road network under strain O= Proposal would be easily accommodated by existing Road Network + Proposal would not generate traffic or require a		No opportunity to connect to existing road network and or Existing road network cannot accommodate extra traffic generated - Proposal will put existing road network under strain  O= Proposal would be easily accommodated by existing Road Network + Proposal would not generate traffic or require a connection

			connection ++ Proposal would improve capacity on existing road network X= no vehicular access required ??=Unknown		++ Proposal would improve capacity on existing road network  X= no vehicular access required  ??=Unknown
7b	Are there any access constraints or opportunities ?	Site visit Site info TECs	No opportunity to connect to existing road network and/ or Significantly constrained access to the site  - Limited opportunity/ large amount of work to connect with existing road network and/or -Constraint to access that can be mitigated  O= Proposal in close proximity to utilise existing connections and access +-Opportunity to improve local access issues ++Opportunity to significantly improve widespread access issues  X= no vehicular access required		No opportunity to connect to existing road network and/ or Significantly constrained access to the site  - Limited opportunity/ large amount of work to connect with existing road network and/or -Constraint to access that can be mitigated  O= Proposal in close proximity to utilise existing connections and access +-Opportunity to improve local access issues ++Opportunity to significantly improve widespread access issues  X= no vehicular access required

				??=Unknown		??=Unknown
8a	Is the site close to a range of facilities? Can these be accessed by public transport?	Climatic factors and human health	GIS Site visit	Not within walking distance of any transport connections or services with no scope for future connections - Development would be insufficient to sustain existing services or would put strain on existing services e.g. primary schools And/or Within walking distance to a public transport connection or service		Not within walking distance of any transport connections or services with no scope for future connections - Development would be insufficient to sustain existing services or would put strain on existing services e.g. primary schools And/or Within walking distance to a public transport connection or service
				O=Proposal within reasonable distance to limited local services/transport connections + Within walking distance to frequent bus services to a range of destinations and a range of small shops including a convenience store. E.g. hairdressers, hot		0=Proposal within reasonable distance to limited local services/transport connections + Within walking distance to frequent bus services to a range of destinations and a range of small shops including a convenience store. E.g. hairdressers, hot food take away (medium or

			food take away (medium or small service centre), appropriate school capacity and/or development could help sustain existing services  ++ Within walking distance of large service centre containing a wide range of large services such as supermarket, pub, restaurant, wide choice of shops, secondary school, railway station and bus services. And/ or Development will make a significant contribution to sustaining local services  X=N/A		small service centre), appropriate school capacity and/or development could help sustain existing services  ++ Within walking distance of large service centre containing a wide range of large services such as supermarket, pub, restaurant, wide choice of shops, secondary school, railway station and bus services. And/ or Development will make a significant contribution to sustaining local services  X=N/A ??=Unknown
			??=Unknown		
8b	capacity - on a secondary hur School hea Catchment or Area/ max	pulati GIS and (catchmen man t area) alth School roll forecasts aterial sets http://ww w.highlan	Not within walking distance of any transport connections or services with no scope for future connections - Development would be insufficient to sustain		Not within walking distance of any transport connections or services with no scope for future connections - Development would be insufficient to sustain

	school catchment area		d.gov.uk/y ourcouncil /highlandf actsandfig ures/scho olrollforec asts.htm NB: forecasts are done by secondary school so if looking for primary school need to no which secondary it feeds into to get info	existing services or would put strain on existing services e.g. primary schools  0= appropriate school capacity + development could help sustain existing services ++ Development will make a significant contribution to sustaining local services  X=N/A  ??=Unknown		existing services or would put strain on existing services e.g. primary schools  0= appropriate school capacity + development could help sustain existing services  ++ Development will make a significant contribution to sustaining local services  X=N/A  ??=Unknown
9a	Electricity pylons? Pipelines Bad Neighbours eg. Quarries, Windfarms,	Material assets	GIS Site info Site Visit	Significant servicing constraints such as overhead lines, or pipe lines that can not or would be very costly to mitigate And/ or Significant "bad neighbour" constraints such		Significant servicing constraints such as overhead lines, or pipe lines that can not or would be very costly to mitigate  And/ or Significant "bad neighbour" constraints such

landfill etc	as a wind farm or quarry within or very close proximity to the site, that would be incongruous to the development and would cause significant disturbance  - Some servicing constraint that could be mitigated and/or "Bad neighbour" nearby that is incongruous to the proposed use and could cause minor disturbance  0=Potential minor constraint that can be mitigated  + Scale of the proposal will address some localised constraints  ++ Scale of the proposal means that widespread servicing constraints will be addressed  ?? — unknown  X — the site use proposed does not require a connection	would be incongruous to development and would cause significant disturb.  Some servicing constrate that could be mitigated and/or "Bad neighbour" nearby that is incongruous to the proposed use and could cause minor disturbance.  O=Potential minor constitut can be mitigated.  + Scale of the proposal address some localised constraints.  ++ Scale of the proposal means that widespread servicing constraints with addressed.  P: — unknown  X — the site use proposed does not require a	at o the d bance aints  bus d traint will
--------------	--	---	---

9b	What level of work would be required to connect to a public water supply and waste drainage system?	Water/ material assets	Site info GIS Scottish Water Asset Capacity Search tool (need full post code or easting/no rthing info) links to Asset Capacity and Developm ent Plan http://ww w.scottish water.co.u k/business /our- services/n ew- connectio ns/asset- capacity- search	No viable connection to the water and/or waste water network and/or mains electricity  - Connection not available to the network but one may be viable  0=Public water/waste water and mains connection available on site or within 200m of the site  +=proposal will contribute a minor improvement to public drainage or sewerage issues  ++ Scale of the proposal means that widespread drainage and sewerage issues will be addressed  ?? — it is unknown whether a connection will be available  X — the site use proposed does not require a connection			No viable connection to the water and/or waste water network and/or mains electricity  - Connection not available to the network but one may be viable  0=Public water/waste water and mains connection available on site or within 200m of the site  +=proposal will contribute a minor improvement to public drainage or sewerage issues  ++ Scale of the proposal means that widespread drainage and sewerage issues will be addressed  ?? — it is unknown whether a connection will be available  X — the site use proposed does not require a connection
----	---	------------------------	--	---	--	--	---

	Human	SEA	Sources of	Pre-Mit Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
	Health and recreation	Topic	info				
10a	To what extent will the proposal affect the quality of open space		GIS HwLDP Policy 74 and 75 Greenspac e Scotland http://ww w.greensp acescotlan d.org.uk/a udits-and- strategies. aspx Green networks http://ww w.snh.gov. uk/land- and- sea/mana ging-the- land/spati al- ecology/ty	Proposal would have a significant negative impact on quality of open space or access networks - Proposal would have a minor negative impact on the quality of existing open space or access networks  O Unlikly to have any impact on existing open space + Improves/enhances green network connectivity, existing open space or key access network ++ Large scale increase in open space, new access network or makes significant contribution to green network or connectivity of open space X= Not applicable ??=Unknown			Proposal would have a significant negative impact on quality of open space or access networks - Proposal would have a minor negative impact on the quality of existing open space or access networks  O Unlikly to have any impact on existing open space + Improves/enhances green network connectivity, existing open space or key access network ++ Large scale increase in open space, new access network or makes significant contribution to green network or connectivity of open space X= Not applicable ??=Unknown

		pes-of- network/g reen- networks/			
10b	To what extent will the proposal affect the quantity of open space Increase?  Decrease?	Access layers HwLDP Policy 74 and 75 Greenspac e Scotland http://ww w.greensp acescotlan d.org.uk/a udits-and- strategies. aspx Green networks http://ww w.snh.gov. uk/land- and- sea/mana ging-the- land/spati	Proposal would result in significant loss of open space or access networks - Proposal would result in minor loss in open space  0 There will be no net increase in open space +Small scale increase in open space ++ Large scale increase in open space, new access network or makes significant contribution to green network or connectivity of open space  X= Not applicable ??=Unknown		Proposal would result in significant loss of open space or access networks - Proposal would result in minor loss in open space  O There will be no net increase in open space +Small scale increase in open space ++ Large scale increase in open space, new access network or makes significant contribution to green network or connectivity of open space  X= Not applicable ??=Unknown

		al- ecology/ty pes-of- network/g reen- networks/			
10c	To what extent will the proposal affect the connectivity of open space?	GIS HwLDP Policy 74 and 75 Greenspac e Scotland http://ww w.greensp acescotlan d.org.uk/a udits-and- strategies. aspx Green networks http://ww w.snh.gov. uk/land- and- sea/mana ging-the- land/spati	The proposal would have a significant negative impact on connectivity of open space and/or Proposal is far removed from access to open space/ access networks or there and or there is no scope to create open space as part of the proposal  - Proposal would fragment key access networks or open space and/or The proposal does not connect or relate well to existing open space or access networks or green networks  O Utilises or is in close proximity to existing connections  + Improves/enhances green network connectivity, existing open space or key access network and or		The proposal would have a significant negative impact on connectivity of open space and/or Proposal is far removed from access to open space/ access networks or there and or there is no scope to create open space as part of the proposal  - Proposal would fragment key access networks or open space and/or The proposal does not connect or relate well to existing open space or access networks or green networks  O Utilises or is in close proximity to existing connections  + Improves/enhances green network connectivity, existing open space or key access network and or

			al- ecology/ty pes-of- network/g reen- networks/	Improved access to open space ++ Large scale increase in open space, new access network or makes significant contribution to green network or connectivity of open space X= Not applicable ??=Unknown		Improved access to open space ++ Large scale increase in open space, new access network or makes significant contribution to green network or connectivity of open space X= Not applicable ??=Unknown
10d	To what extent will the proposal affect core path links or other key access networks such as cycle paths, coastal paths and rights of way?	Populati on and Human health or material assets	GIS Core Path Team http://ww w.highlan d.gov.uk/l eisureandt ourism/w hat-to- see/count rysideacce ss/corepat hplans.ht m	Proposal would result in significant loss of open space or access networks and or Proposal would have a significant negative impact on quality of open space or access networks - Proposal would fragment key access networks or open space and/ or Proposal would have a minor negative impact on the quality of existing open space or access networks  O Utilises or is in close proximity to existing connections + Improves/enhances		Proposal would result in significant loss of open space or access networks and or Proposal would have a significant negative impact on quality of open space or access networks  - Proposal would fragment key access networks or open space and /or Proposal would have a minor negative impact on the quality of existing open space or access networks  0 Utilises or is in close proximity to existing connections  + Improves/enhances green

			green network connectivity, existing open space or key access network ++ Creates new open space, new access network or makes significant contribution to green network X= Not applicable ??=Unknown		network connectivity, existing open space or key access network  ++ Creates new open space, new access network or makes significant contribution to green network  X= Not applicable ??=Unknown
10e	To what extent will the proposal have the opportunity to enhance the green network through for	GIS HwLDP Policy 74 and 75 Greenspac e Scotland http://ww w.greensp	Proposal is far removed from access to open space/ access networks or there and or there is no scope to create open space as part of the proposal  - The proposal does not connect or relate well to existing open space or		Proposal is far removed from access to open space/ access networks or there and or there is no scope to create open space as part of the proposal  - The proposal does not connect or relate well to existing open space or
	example the green infrastructur e on site?	acescotlan d.org.uk/a udits-and- strategies. aspx Green networks http://ww w.snh.gov.	access networks or green networks  0 Utilises or is in close proximity to existing connections  + Improves/enhances green network connectivity, existing open space or key access network		access networks or green networks  0 Utilises or is in close proximity to existing connections  + Improves/enhances green network connectivity, existing open space or key access network

			uk/land- and- sea/mana ging-the- land/spati al- ecology/ty pes-of- network/g reen- networks/	++ Creates new open space, new access network or makes significant contribution to green network X= Not applicable ??=Unknown			++ Creates new open space, new access network or makes significant contribution to green network  X= Not applicable  ??=Unknown
	Waste and natural resources	SEA Topic	Sources of info	Pre-Mit Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
11a	Is brownfield land present onsite?	Soils and material assets	GIS  Buidings At Risk Register http://ww w.building satrisk.org .uk/ SVDLS (Further info codes etc see Hamish/D ouglas)	Development would create brownfield land or vacant buildings -Site development would ignore opportunities to make use of brownfield land or redevelop existing buildings  O=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to effect brownfield land + Minor redevelopment of brownfield land and/or existing buildings			Development would create brownfield land or vacant buildings -Site development would ignore opportunities to make use of brownfield land or redevelop existing buildings  0=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to effect brownfield land + Minor redevelopment of brownfield land and/or existing buildings

			++ Significant/large scale redevelopment of brownfield land and/or existing buildings  X No opportunities to make use of brownfield land or remediate contaminated land  X= N/A no brownfield Land onsite  ??=Unknown		++ Significant/large scale redevelopment of brownfield land and/or existing buildings  X No opportunities to make use of brownfield land or remediate contaminated land  X= N/A no brownfield Land onsite  ??=Unknown
11b	Are there any contaminate d soils issues on the site and if so, will the proposal reduce contamination?	GIS  SVDLS (in Hamish, ouglas may be some in about contame tion)  Info from Environ natal head Site Info (former use coulindicate potential)	-Potentially contaminated land or small amount of contaminated soil identified on site  0=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to effect contaminated land + Will remediate minor contamination or small scale contamination onsite ++ Will remediate significant contamination or large scale contamination		Large scale contaminated soil present onsite -Potentially contaminated land or small amount of contaminated soil identified on site  0=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to effect contaminated land + Will remediate minor contamination or small scale contamination onsite ++ Will remediate significant contamination or large scale contamination X No contamination present

		contamina tion) Site Visit	??=Unknown		??=Unknown
11c	To what extent will the proposal result in the loss of Greenfield land?	Site visit GIS	Large-scale use of Greenfield land -Small scale use of greenfield land 0=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to result in loss of greenfield land + Proposal will protect greenfield land on a local scale ++ Proposal will enhance the geodiversity of greenfield land X= No Greenfield Land ??=Unknown		Large-scale use of Greenfield land -Small scale use of greenfield land 0=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to result in loss of greenfield land + Proposal will protect greenfield land on a local scale ++ Proposal will enhance the geodiversity of greenfield land X= No Greenfield Land ??=Unknown
11d	To what extent will the proposal allow for the reuse of an existing structure?	Buidings At Risk Register http://ww w.building satrisk.org .uk/ SVDLS	Development would create brownfield land or vacant buildings -Site development would ignore opportunities to make use of brownfield land or redevelop existing buildings		Development would create brownfield land or vacant buildings -Site development would ignore opportunities to make use of brownfield land or redevelop existing buildings

			(Further info codes etc see Hamish/D ouglas)	0=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to effect existing brownfield land or vacant and derelict buildings + Minor redevelopment of brownfield land and/or existing buildings ++ Significant/large scale redevelopment of brownfield land and/or existing buildings X No opportunities to make use of brownfield land or remediate contaminated land X= No Greenfield Land ??=Unknown		0=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to effect existing brownfield land or vacant and derelict buildings + Minor redevelopment of brownfield land and/or existing buildings ++ Significant/large scale redevelopment of brownfield land and/or existing buildings X No opportunities to make use of brownfield land or remediate contaminated land X= No Greenfield Land ??=Unknown
12a	To what extent does the proposal lead to a disturbance of carbon rich soils including peat/wetland s?	Soils and Climatic factors	GIS  Peatlands and climate change mitigation Wetlands Scotland's Soils http://ww	Will cause significant disturbance of carbon rich soils/wetlands - Minor disturbance of carbon rich soils/wetlands  0=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to effect on soil or croft land + Small area of carbon rich soil/wetlands safeguarded		Will cause significant disturbance of carbon rich soils/wetlands - Minor disturbance of carbon rich soils/wetlands  0=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to effect on soil or croft land + Small area of carbon rich soil/wetlands safeguarded

			w.soils- scotland.g ov.uk/dat a/soil- survey	from disturbance ++ Large area of carbon rich soil/ wetlands safeguarded from disturbance  X not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown		from disturbance ++ Large area of carbon rich soil/ wetlands safeguarded from disturbance X not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown
12b	To what extent does the proposal directly affect high quality agricultural soils or croft land?	soils	GIS, James Hutton layer Crofting layer/Unif orm Crofting Commissi on http://ww w.crofting. scotland.g ov.uk/	Will cause a very significant loss of 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or good quality croft land - Will cause a minor loss of 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or good quality croft land 0=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to effect on soil or croft land + Gives small scale/local protection to 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or high quality croft land ++ Will provide significant protection to 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or high quality croft land X not applicable to type or location of development		Will cause a very significant loss of 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or good quality croft land - Will cause a minor loss of 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or good quality croft land  O=Scale or type of proposal unlikely to effect on soil or croft land + Gives small scale/local protection to 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or high quality croft land ++ Will provide significant protection to 3.1 or 3.2 agricultural land or high quality croft land X not applicable to type or location of development

				??=Unknown		??=Unknown
13a	To what extent will the proposal help meet the Zero Waste Plan targets? Material assets		Scotland's Zero waste plan http://ww w.scotlan d.gov.uk/T opics/Envi ronment/ waste- and- pollution/ Waste- 1/wastestr ategy	Will have a significant negative effect on a waste handling operation or will cause a significant increase the amount of waste going to landfill.  - Will cause an increased amount of waste going to landfill  O No significant impact on the amount of waste going to landfill  + Will facilitate sustainable waste management at a local scale  ++Will facilitate sustainable waste management at regional level  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown		Will have a significant negative effect on a waste handling operation or will cause a significant increase the amount of waste going to landfill.  - Will cause an increased amount of waste going to landfill  O No significant impact on the amount of waste going to landfill  + Will facilitate sustainable waste management at a local scale  ++Will facilitate sustainable waste management at regional level  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown
13b	To what extent will the proposal minimise demand on primary	Material assets	Douglas is chasing this up with SNH ask for an	Will cause unsustainable use of primary resources -Will increase use of primary resources  0 Unlikely to have any		Will cause unsustainable use of primary resources -Will increase use of primary resources 0 Unlikely to have any

	resources?		update	significant impact on demand for natural resources +Will encourage sustainable use of natural resources at a local level ++Will facilitate sustainable use of natural resources at a regional level  X=Not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown		significant impact on demand for natural resources +Will encourage sustainable use of natural resources at a local level ++Will facilitate sustainable use of natural resources at a regional level X=Not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown
13c	To what extent Is the proposal in the vicinity of a waste management site and could therefore compromise the waste handling operation?	Material assets	GIS	Will have a significant negative effect on a waste handling operation or will cause a significant increase the amount of waste going to landfill.  - Will cause an increased amount of waste going to landfill  O No significant impact on the amount of waste going to landfill  + Will facilitate sustainable		Will have a significant negative effect on a waste handling operation or will cause a significant increase the amount of waste going to landfill.  - Will cause an increased amount of waste going to landfill  O No significant impact on the amount of waste going to landfill  + Will facilitate sustainable
				+ Will facilitate sustainable waste management at a local scale ++Will facilitate sustainable		<ul><li>+ Will facilitate sustainable waste management at a local scale</li><li>++Will facilitate sustainable</li></ul>

				waste management at regional level  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown		waste management at regional level  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown
13d	For potential suitable sites for waste management activities - To what extent does the `proposal comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan?	Material assets	Scotland's Zero waste plan http://ww w.scotlan d.gov.uk/T opics/Envi ronment/ waste- and- pollution/ Waste- 1/wastestr ategy	Will have a significant negative effect on a waste handling operation or will cause a significant increase the amount of waste going to landfill.  - Will cause an increased amount of waste going to landfill  O No significant impact on the amount of waste going to landfill  + Will facilitate sustainable waste management at a local scale  ++Will facilitate sustainable waste management at regional level  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown		Will have a significant negative effect on a waste handling operation or will cause a significant increase the amount of waste going to landfill.  - Will cause an increased amount of waste going to landfill  O No significant impact on the amount of waste going to landfill  + Will facilitate sustainable waste management at a local scale  ++Will facilitate sustainable waste management at regional level  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown

	Landscape	SEA Topic	Sources of info	Pre-Mit Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
14a	Landscape Designated sites To what extent will any designated sites affected – including National NSAs, local landscape designations and SLA?	Landsca	SNH National Scenic areas http://ww w.snh.gov. uk/protect ing- scotlands- nature/pr otected- areas/nati onal- designatio ns/nsa/ HwLDP Policy 57 Policy61	proposal is within or would affect a national or local designated landscape and would lead to a significant loss of or impact on the key features or qualities - proposal is within or would affect a national or local designated landscape and would lead to a minor loss or impact on the key features or qualities  O proposal is of a scale or in a location which is unlikely to have any effects on designated landscapes + Proposal offers minor or local protection to a local designated landscape or the qualities of wildness in the area/isolated cost ++ Proposal enhances a degraded landscape character area and/or offers significant or widespread protection to a			proposal is within or would affect a national or local designated landscape and would lead to a significant loss of or impact on the key features or qualities - proposal is within or would affect a national or local designated landscape and would lead to a minor loss or impact on the key features or qualities  O proposal is of a scale or in a location which is unlikely to have any effects on designated landscapes + Proposal offers minor or local protection to a local designated landscape or the qualities of wildness in the area/isolated cost ++ Proposal enhances a degraded landscape character area and/or offers significant or widespread protection to a regional,

			regional, local designated landscape ?? Unknown X = N/A		local designated landscape ?? Unknown X = N/A
14b	To what extent will the proposal effect any area with strong qualities of wildness (including isolated coast)	GIS SNH Wildland areas of search http://ww w.snh.gov. uk/protect ing- scotlands- nature/loo king-after- landscape s/landscap e-policy- and- guidance/ wild- land/map ping/	proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a significant negative effect on existing landscape character, including the wildness qualities of an area/isolated coast proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a minor negative effect on existing landscape character, including the wildness qualities of an area/isolated coast  O proposal is of a scale or in a location which is unlikely to have any effects on areas with strong qualities of wildness/isolated coast + Proposal offers minor or local protection to qualities of wildness in the area/isolated cost ++ Proposal enhances a		proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a significant negative effect on existing landscape character, including the wildness qualities of an area/isolated coast proposal is of a scale or nature that would result in a minor negative effect on existing landscape character, including the wildness qualities of an area/isolated coast  O proposal is of a scale or in a location which is unlikely to have any effects on areas with strong qualities of wildness/isolated coast + Proposal offers minor or local protection to qualities of wildness in the area/isolated cost ++ Proposal enhances a

			degraded landscape character area and/or offers significant or widespread protection the qualities of wildness within the area/isolated coast ?? Unknown X = N/A		degraded landscape character area and/or offers significant or widespread protection the qualities of wildness within the area/isolated coast ?? Unknown X = N/A
15a	Landsca	GIS Site Visit HwLDP Policy 57 Policy61 SNH Landscape Character Assessme nt http://ww w.snh.gov. uk/protect ing- scotlands- nature/loo king-after- landscape s/lca/	Development isolated and not in an existing settlement boundary and/ or Development of site would land lock other sites or impact on existing connectivity in a settlement - Development poorly orientated from key services or similar uses elongates settlement and/or Development segregated from existing settlement by barriers such as road, railway line river etc, which could not be or would be costly to mitigate 0=Due to scale, type or situation proposal will have a very minimal impact on the landscape		Development isolated and not in an existing settlement boundary and/ or Development of site would land lock other sites or impact on existing connectivity in a settlement - Development poorly orientated from key services or similar uses elongates settlement and/or Development segregated from existing settlement by barriers such as road, railway line river etc, which could not be or would be costly to mitigate  0=Due to scale, type or situation proposal will have a very minimal impact on the landscape

	character of		+ Well connected/ appears		+ Well connected/ appears
	surrounding		to round off settlement,		to round off settlement,
	area?		currently accessible with		currently accessible with
			scope for further access to		scope for further access to
			improve integration and		improve integration and
			accessibility by a variety of		accessibility by a variety of
			modes of transport		modes of transport including
			including foot/ cycle		foot/ cycle
			++ Well connected to		++ Well connected to
			existing settlement, could		existing settlement, could
			help address existing		help address existing
			connectivity issues. Site		connectivity issues. Site
			accessible by a variety of		accessible by a variety of
			modes of transport. Scope		modes of transport. Scope
			to freely permeate into		to freely permeate into
			existing settlement		existing settlement
			0 = would have no impact		0 = would have no impact on
			on landscape		landscape
			·		·
			??=Unknown		??=Unknown
15b	To what	GIS	Visually disruptive,		Visually disruptive,
	extent will	6'' 1'' ''	incongruous and out of		incongruous and out of
	the proposal	Site Visit	character to the		character to the surrounding
	be visually	HwLDP	surrounding landscape and/		landscape and/ or proposal
	intrusive?	Policy 61	or proposal would be		would be visually intrusive in
		Policy 61	visually intrusive in a valued		a valued or sensitive view
			or sensitive view		
					- proposal would be visually
			- proposal would be visually		intrusive in wider general
			intrusive in wider general		scenery
			scenery		

				O proposal would not be visually intrusive  + proposal would lead to an improvement to an existing detracting feature in wider general scenery and/ or Type of proposed development fits well with existing development  ++ proposal would lead to an improvement to an existing detracting feature in a valued or sensitive view e.g. by redevelopment of derelict /gap site and fits well into the surrounding landscape and land uses  x = would have no impact on landscape  ??=Unknown			O proposal would not be visually intrusive  + proposal would lead to an improvement to an existing detracting feature in wider general scenery and/ or Type of proposed development fits well with existing development  ++ proposal would lead to an improvement to an existing detracting feature in a valued or sensitive view e.g. by redevelopment of derelict /gap site and fits well into the surrounding landscape and land uses  x = would have no impact on landscape  ??=Unknown`
	Cultural Heritage	SEA Topic	Sources of info	Pre-Mit Score	Justification	Mitigation	Post Mitigation Score
16a	Cultural Heritage To what extent will	Cultural heritage, incl architect	GIS Historic Scotland Scheduled	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage			Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage

the proposal	ural and	monumen	designation or its setting		designation or its setting
affect any	archaeol	t			
scheduled	ogical	batton / /	- Development of site		- Development of site would
monuments	heritage	http://ww	would have a minor		have a minor negative
or their	(and	w.historic-	negative impact on a		impact on a cultural heritage
setting?	links	scotland.g	cultural heritage		designation's wider setting
Jetting.	with	ov.uk/sear	designation's wider setting		O=Development can be
	landscap	chmonum	O=Development can be		brought forward without
	e)	ents	brought forward without		altering important aspects of
		Highland	altering important aspects		the setting of cultural
		Council	of the setting of cultural		heritage sites and/or
		HER	heritage sites and/or		Development will maintain
		latter //la a u	Development will maintain		the setting of cultural
		http://her.	the setting of cultural		heritage features
		highland.g	heritage features		
		ov.uk/			+Renovation/regeneration
		Site Visit	+Renovation/regeneration		of historic buildings lying
		RCAHMS	of historic buildings lying		empty/ at risk + and or
		(Canmore)	empty/ at risk + and or		proposal will enable better
			proposal will enable better		access to the historic
		Database	access to the historic		environment and or minor
		http://ww	environment and or minor		enhancement of the setting
		w.rcahms.	enhancement of the setting		of a historic building
		gov.uk/ca	of a historic building		++ Large-scale
		nmore.ht	++ Large-scale		redevelopment and reuse of
		ml	redevelopment and reuse		historic buildings/building
			of historic		from at risk register and/or
			buildings/building from at		Enhances the setting of
			risk register and/or		cultural heritage features
			Enhances the setting of		and /or Designation of a
			cultural heritage features		new conservation area or
			and /or Designation of a		scheme of safeguarding
					Ū Ū

				new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding X=Not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown		X=Not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown
16b	To what extent will the proposal affect any locally important archaeologic al site? (www.rcahm s.gov.uk/can more.html)	Cultural Heritage , incl archaeol ogical heritage (and links with landscap e)	Highland Council HER http://her. highland.g ov.uk/  RCAHMS (Canmore) Database http://ww w.rcahms. gov.uk/ca nmore.ht ml	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage designation or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a cultural heritage designation's wider setting  O=Development can be brought forward without altering important aspects of the setting of cultural heritage sites and/or Development will maintain the setting of cultural heritage features  +Renovation/regeneration of historic buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to the historic environment and or minor		Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage designation or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a cultural heritage designation's wider setting  O=Development can be brought forward without altering important aspects of the setting of cultural heritage sites and/or Development will maintain the setting of cultural heritage features +Renovation/regeneration of historic buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to the historic environment and or minor enhancement of the setting

				enhancement of the setting of a historic building ++ Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of historic buildings/building from at risk register and/or Enhances the setting of cultural heritage features and /or Designation of a new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding X=Not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown		of a historic building  ++ Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of historic buildings/building from at risk register and/or Enhances the setting of cultural heritage features and /or Designation of a new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown
16c	To what extent will the proposal affect any listed buildings and/or their setting?	Cultural heritage, incl architect ural and archaeol ogical heritage and links with landscap e	GIS  Historic Scotland listed buildings http://ww w.historic- scotland.g ov.uk/hist oricandlist edbuilding s Pastmap	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage designation or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a cultural heritage designation's wider setting  O=Development can be brought forward without altering important aspects of the setting of cultural		Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage designation or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a cultural heritage designation's wider setting O=Development can be brought forward without altering important aspects of the setting of cultural heritage sites and/or

	To what			heritage sites and/or Development will maintain the setting of cultural heritage features  +Renovation/regeneration of historic buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to the historic environment and or minor enhancement of the setting of a historic building ++ Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of historic buildings/building from at risk register and/or Enhances the setting of cultural heritage features and /or Designation of a new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding X=Not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown		Development will maintain the setting of cultural heritage features  +Renovation/regeneration of historic buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to the historic environment and or minor enhancement of the setting of a historic building  ++ Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of historic buildings/building from at risk register and/or Enhances the setting of cultural heritage features and /or Designation of a new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown
16d	extent will the proposal affect any Conservation	Cultural heritage, incl architect	GIS Site Visit Site Info	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage		Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage

Are	eas? (e.g.	ural and	Historic	designation or its setting		designation or its setting
	ll it result	archaeol	Environme	- Development of site		- Development of site would
in t	the	ogical	nt team	would have a minor		have a minor negative
der	molition of	heritage	Highland	negative impact on a		impact on a cultural heritage
any	у	and links	Council	cultural heritage		designation's wider setting
bui	ildings)	with	Conservati	designation's wider setting		
		landscap	on areas			O=Development can be
		e		O=Development can be		brought forward without
			http://ww	brought forward without		altering important aspects of
			w.highlan	altering important aspects		the setting of cultural
			d.gov.uk/y	of the setting of cultural		heritage sites and/or
			ourenviro	heritage sites and/or		Development will maintain
			nment/co	Development will maintain		the setting of cultural
			nservation	the setting of cultural		heritage features
			/	heritage features		+Renovation/regeneration
				+Renovation/regeneration		of historic buildings lying
				of historic buildings lying		empty/ at risk + and or
				empty/ at risk + and or		proposal will enable better
				proposal will enable better		access to the historic
				access to the historic		environment and or minor
				environment and or minor		enhancement of the setting
				enhancement of the setting		of a historic building
				of a historic building		
						++ Large-scale
				++ Large-scale		redevelopment and reuse of
				redevelopment and reuse of historic		historic buildings/building
						from at risk register and/or
				buildings/building from at		Enhances the setting of
				risk register and/or		cultural heritage features
				Enhances the setting of		and /or Designation of a
				cultural heritage features		new conservation area or
				and /or Designation of a		scheme of safeguarding

				new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown		X=Not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown
16e	To what extent wll the proposal affect any Inventory Garden and Designed Landscape?	Cultural heritage, incl links with landscap e	GIS Site Visit Historic Scotland Nationally important Gardens and designed landscape s http://ww w.historic- scotland.g ov.uk/inde x/heritage /gardens. htm	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage designation or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a cultural heritage designation's wider setting  O=Development can be brought forward without altering important aspects of the setting of cultural heritage sites and/or Development will maintain the setting of cultural heritage features +Renovation/regeneration of historic buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to the historic environment and or minor		Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage designation or its setting  - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a cultural heritage designation's wider setting  O=Development can be brought forward without altering important aspects of the setting of cultural heritage sites and/or Development will maintain the setting of cultural heritage features  +Renovation/regeneration of historic buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to the historic environment and or minor enhancement of the setting

				enhancement of the setting of a historic building ++ Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of historic buildings/building from at risk register and/or Enhances the setting of cultural heritage features and /or Designation of a new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding X=Not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown		of a historic building ++ Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of historic buildings/building from at risk register and/or Enhances the setting of cultural heritage features and /or Designation of a new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding X=Not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown
16f	To what extent will the proposal affect any Inventory Historic Battlefield?	Cultural heritage, incl architect ural and archaeol ogical heritage and links with landscap e	GIS Site Visit Historic Scotland Battlefield S http://ww w.historic- scotland.g ov.uk/inde x/heritage /battlefiel ds.htm	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage designation or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a cultural heritage designation's wider setting  O=Development can be brought forward without altering important aspects of the setting of cultural		Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage designation or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a cultural heritage designation's wider setting O=Development can be brought forward without altering important aspects of the setting of cultural heritage sites and/or

16g	To what Cultura	I GIS	heritage sites and/or Development will maintain the setting of cultural heritage features  +Renovation/regeneration of historic buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to the historic environment and or minor enhancement of the setting of a historic building  ++ Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of historic buildings/building from at risk register and/or Enhances the setting of cultural heritage features and /or Designation of a new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding  X=Not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown	Development will maintain the setting of cultural heritage features  +Renovation/regeneration of historic buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to the historic environment and or minor enhancement of the setting of a historic building  ++ Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of historic buildings/building from at risk register and/or Enhances the setting of cultural heritage features and /or Designation of a new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown
TOR	extent will heritage incl		would lead to loss or major alteration of components of	lead to loss or major alteration of components of

affect any archite	ct UNESCOFI	a cultural heritage		a cultural heritage
World ural an		designation or its setting		designation or its setting
Heritage archae				
Sites? ogical	Country	- Development of site		- Development of site would
(including heritag	http://wh	would have a minor		have a minor negative
	L C.unesco.o	negative impact on a		impact on a cultural heritage
	rg/en/tent	cultural heritage		designation's wider setting
0	ativelists/	designation's wider setting		O=Development can be
	<sup>ip</sup>   5679/	O=Development can be		brought forward without
Country) e		brought forward without		altering important aspects of
		altering important aspects		the setting of cultural
		of the setting of cultural		heritage sites and/or
		heritage sites and/or		Development will maintain
		Development will maintain		the setting of cultural
		the setting of cultural		heritage features
		heritage features		
				+Renovation/regeneration
		+Renovation/regeneration		of historic buildings lying
		of historic buildings lying		empty/ at risk + and or
		empty/ at risk + and or		proposal will enable better
		proposal will enable better		access to the historic
		access to the historic		environment and or minor
		environment and or minor		enhancement of the setting
		enhancement of the setting		of a historic building
		of a historic building		++ Large-scale
		++ Large-scale		redevelopment and reuse of
		redevelopment and reuse		historic buildings/building
		of historic		from at risk register and/or
		buildings/building from at		Enhances the setting of
		risk register and/or		cultural heritage features
		Enhances the setting of		and /or Designation of a
		cultural heritage features		new conservation area or

				and /or Designation of a new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown		scheme of safeguarding  X=Not applicable to type or location of development  ??=Unknown
16h	To what extent will the proposal result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment?	Cultural heritage, incl architect ural and archaeol ogical heritage and links with landscap e	GIS Site Visit	Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage designation or its setting - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a cultural heritage designation's wider setting  O=Development can be brought forward without altering important aspects of the setting of cultural heritage sites and/or Development will maintain the setting of cultural heritage features  +Renovation/regeneration of historic buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to the historic		Development of site would lead to loss or major alteration of components of a cultural heritage designation or its setting  - Development of site would have a minor negative impact on a cultural heritage designation's wider setting  O=Development can be brought forward without altering important aspects of the setting of cultural heritage sites and/or Development will maintain the setting of cultural heritage features  +Renovation/regeneration of historic buildings lying empty/ at risk + and or proposal will enable better access to the historic environment and or minor

	environment and or minor enhancement of the setting of a historic building  ++ Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of historic buildings/building from at risk register and/or Enhances the setting of cultural heritage features and /or Designation of a new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding  X=Not applicable to type or location of development	enhancement of the setting of a historic building ++ Large-scale redevelopment and reuse of historic buildings/building from at risk register and/or Enhances the setting of cultural heritage features and /or Designation of a new conservation area or scheme of safeguarding X=Not applicable to type or location of development ??=Unknown
	??=Unknown	