Appropriate Assessment of the Deposit Draft Sutherland Local <u>Plan</u>

Examination Draft VERSION 2 (December 2009)



Foreword

This document has been prepared under the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive and has applied the requirements set out by Scottish Government Policy.

It is the Highland Council's responsibility to consider whether the policies and proposals within the Sutherland Local Plan are likely to have any significant effect on Special Protection Areas (including proposed SPAs), Special Areas of Conservation (including proposed SACs) and Ramsar sites, having regard to the qualifying interests and conservation objectives of those sites.

Where a likely significant effect has been identified, appropriate assessment has been undertaken in order to try to ascertain whether the policies and proposals will not adversely affect the integrity of the sites protected by the EU Directive and Scottish Government Policy ("the sites"). Mitigation measures have been provided where necessary in order to avoid adversely affecting the integrity of the sites. This has involved making changes to the Local Plan where necessary, or commending such changes to the Reporter undertaking the Examination. The intent of this process is that the Local Plan will only go forward for adoption with policies and proposals for which it has been ascertained that they will not adversely affect the integrity of the sites.

During the preparation of this document and the consideration of relevant representations on the Local Plan the Highland Council has had discussions with and input from Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) and the Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) in particular which have helped identify and address any potential shortcomings. In addition, data provided by SNH have been referred to in order to identify the need for and inform the definition of mitigation measures. Mitigation measures and relevant changes have been developed in conjunction with SNH or SEPA where appropriate.

This document acknowledges the relevant changes that have previously been made to the emerging policies and proposals of the Plan, which have improved the safeguarding of protected habitats and species.

At present the Sutherland Local Plan (Deposit Draft 2008) and representations to it went to the Council's Planning, Environment and Development Committee for consideration on 18th March 2009. Plan changes were subsequently commended to the Reporter undertaking the Examination and depending on the outcome there may be a requirement for the Highland Council to re-visit the appropriate assessment process.

An earlier version of this 'Appropriate Assessment' document dated June 2009 was submitted by the Council for the purposes of the Examination. This updated version (Examination Draft VERSION 2 dated December 2009), which supersedes the June version, has now been prepared and submitted by the Council for the purposes of the Examination.

CONTENTS

Page

Introduction and Context	4
Aim and Objectives of the Sutherland Local Plan	5
List of Natura sites within or close to the Sutherland Local Plan Area	6
Methodology for Assessment	7
Proposed Policy Modifications	8
Screening Process	14
Assessment of Likely Significant Effect on Natura Sites	19
Safeguarding Policies	25
Conclusions	27

Appropriate Assessment Deposit Draft Sutherland Local Plan

1. Introduction and Context

In October 2005 the European Court of Justice* ruled that all Development Plans in the United Kingdom likely to have a significant effect on European sites (Natura sites), either Special Protection Areas (SPAs) or Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), can only be approved after an appropriate assessment of the policies and proposals has been undertaken, under the provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 1992**. The Directive states that 'any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessments of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives'. The directive goes on to say that the plan shall only be agreed if there is no adverse impact after mitigation is considered.

Scottish Ministers have extended the requirement for assessment to Ramsar sites, listed under the International Convention on the Conservation of Wetlands of International Importance, and proposed SPAs and SACs, before they are fully classified. Hereafter in this assessment, the term 'Natura site' should be taken as not only referring to SPAs and SACs but also to potential SPAs and SACs and Ramsar sites for the purposes of this assessment.

The purposes of this document are therefore firstly to consider whether the policies and proposals of the Sutherland Local Plan are likely to have any significant effects on Natura sites, having regard to 'in combination' effects, and secondly if there are any likely significant effects then to try to ascertain whether the Plan would or would not adversely affect the integrity of these sites. In doing this, reference must be had to the qualifying interests and conservation objectives of the Natura sites. Where there is the possibility of such adverse effects on site integrity, it may be possible to avoid those through mitigation. In such cases this document will seek to identify the mitigation that would be required, including any changes that would be needed to the Plan in order to give effect to such mitigation.

The assessment concludes that, subject to appropriate safeguarding and mitigation including making certain commended changes to the Plan, the Sutherland Local Plan will not adversely affect the integrity of any Natura site.

It must be advised that this appropriate assessment has been compiled using the best available information and any subsequent planning applications may require further assessment to ensure that the integrity of Natura sites are not adversely affected.

* Commission of the European Communities v United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Case C. 6/04 in the second chamber of the European Court of Justice, judgment 20th October 2005

** Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and wild fauna and flora.

2. Aim and Objectives of the Sutherland Local Plan

The Highland Structure Plan constitutes the Council's strategic land use planning framework and incorporates the overall strategic objectives and policies applicable to the Sutherland area. This together with the Local Plan comprises the statutory development plan. The Local Plan provides detail and site specific proposals within the overall strategic framework provided by the Structure Plan. If the Council's proposal was for a number of Local Plans for Sutherland, for example each dealing with one particular subject such as housing or industry, then it would be necessary in this document to consider the likelihood of any significant 'in combination' effects of those Local Plans on Natura sites. However, the Council's proposal is for a single Local Plan for Sutherland which deals with policies and proposals for housing, industry and other types of development together. Therefore, in looking for likely significant 'in combination' effects, the *main focus* for this document is on the combination of proposals that are *within* the Plan.

The Local Plan comprises a written statement and a proposals map (with insets) which both need to be consulted when deciding development proposals.

The appropriate assessment in this document is necessarily limited in its scope to the policies and proposals set out in the Local Plan. Where a planning application for development gives rise, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects, to likely significant effects on a Natura site beyond the scope of that considered in this appropriate assessment, an appropriate assessment will be required to be undertaken as set out in policy 4 of the Local Plan. This could include development proposals on sites allocated in the Local Plan (giving rise to likely significant effects that were not foreseen in this appropriate assessment) and development proposals on sites not allocated in the Local Plan (giving rise to likely significant effects beyond those considered for the policy framework in this appropriate assessment).

The over-arching aim of the Local Plan is for Sutherland to grow its population, compete in the global economy and sustain the highest standard of services while maintaining and enhancing the outstanding quality of the natural, built and cultural heritage of the area. This aim includes amendments to the version that appeared in the earlier draft of the Local Plan to refer specifically to the heritage (including Natural heritage) of the area which has the effect of safeguarding the heritage interests, including Natura sites.

The strategic themes of the Structure Plan are:

- Conserving and promoting the Highland identity.
- Adopting a proactive approach to the wise use of the natural environment.
- Taking an integrated approach to improving accessibility to goods, services and markets.
- Consolidating the settlement hierarchy.
- Creating an improved business environment.
- Addressing the need for quality living environments.
- Working in partnership with the community and other agencies.

Within these strategic themes are nested the 24 objectives of the Local Plan, which have been subject of Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) as described within the Revised Environmental Report. The objectives as previously set out in the Pre-Deposit Draft have been strengthened in their reference to natural heritage assets.

3. List of Natura sites within or close to the Sutherland Local Plan Area*

* The Council acknowledges that if a proposal is capable of affecting a Natura site it must be considered, no matter how distant from the site and that there is no specified maximum distance from a site boundary beyond which proposals can be dismissed as having no effect. Having regard to the location of Natura sites and the local scale, nature and content of policies and proposals in the Local Plan, the Council considers that the Local Plan is unlikely to have a significant effect on any Natura sites (as currently designated or proposed) that are not included on the following list. For those Natura sites that are indicated below, Table 4 under section 6 indicates whether the Local Plan is likely to have a significant effect on each site.

Special Area of Conservation (SAC)	
Abhainn Clais an Eas and Alt a' Mhuilinn	Invernaver
Altnaharra	Inverpolly
Amat Woods	Ledmore Wood
Ardvar & Loch a' Mhuilinn Woodlands	Loch Laxford
Beinn Dearg	Moray Firth
Berriedale & Langwell Waters	Mound Alderwoods
Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands	Oldshoremore & Sandwood
Cape Wrath	Rhidorroch Woods
Dornoch Firth and Morrich More	River Borgie
Durness	River Evelix
Foinaven	River Naver
Inchnadamph	River Oykel
•	Strathy Point
<u>Ramsar Site</u>	
Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands	
Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet	
Special Protection Area (SPA)	
Assynt Lochs	Inverpolly, Loch Urigill and Nearby Lochs
Beinn Dearg	Lairg & Strathbrora Lochs
Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands	Morangie Forest
Cape Wrath**	North Caithness Cliffs**
Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet	North Sutherland Coastal Islands
Handa**	Strath Carnaig and Strath Fleet Moors
Proposed Special Area of Conservation	(nSAC)
i ioposeu Special Area or Conservatio	
(None)	
Proposed Special Protection Area (pS	<u>SPA)</u>
Foinaven***	

^{**}For those SPA sites indicated, marine extensions were classified on 25 September 2009. ***Foinaven SPA Proposed 1 December 2009 for Golden Eagles.

4. Methodology for Assessment

After consulting the Interim Guidance - Assessing Development Plans in Terms of the Need for Appropriate Assessment¹ - provided by the Scottish Government the following methodology was established.

Highland Council has engaged closely with Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) to carry out this assessment, gaining background information regarding qualifying interests and conservation objectives of Natura sites and their sensitivities, required to conduct an effective appropriate assessment. Potential mitigation has also been discussed with SNH and/or SEPA where appropriate.

All Natura sites wholly or partly within the Sutherland Local Plan area have been identified and mapped. The mapping is available within the Local Plan Map Booklet and will be checked and factually updated or corrected before adoption of the Plan, for example to include the classified Marine Extensions to SPAs and the Proposed SPA at Foinaven referred to in footnotes to the table in Section 3 of this document. This is commended to the Reporter in general terms as a change under Examination Issue 102. For the purposes of this assessment the Council has also checked for Natura sites within the vicinity of, but outside the Plan area; the location of these is available in the Council's GIS and also via the SNH website.

All Local Plan policies have been screened both individually and cumulatively to determine whether it is likely that any significant effects on Natura sites may arise due to their implementation. Policies which have been identified as likely to have no, or only insignificant, effects have been detailed and reasons for this have been given. Remaining policies, being those likely to have a significant effect have been identified as requiring an appropriate assessment which has been undertaken in order to try to establish whether or not there would be an adverse affect on the integrity of Natura sites.

Likely significant effect is defined as any effect that may reasonably be predicted as a consequence of a plan or project that may affect the conservation objectives of the features for which the site was designated.

Similarly, specific settlement and site proposals in the Local Plan that are likely to have no, or only insignificant, effects when considered alone and in combination have been progressed without further assessment. Where it has been concluded that there is likely to be a significant effect then an appropriate assessment has been undertaken to consider each relevant proposal further against the conservation objectives for the designated site and sensitivities of the relevant habitats/species, in order to try to establish whether or not there would be an adverse affect on the integrity of the Natura site.

¹ Link to Interim Guidance: <u>http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2006/06/02093425/17</u>

5. Proposed Policy Modifications

During drafting of the Plan, policy modifications have been made or are now commended to the Reporter at Examination to ensure that policies within the Sutherland Local Plan can be effectively implemented without adversely affecting the integrity of Natura sites in the area. Local Plan policies identified by Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) have been changed as a direct result of SNH's concerns of potential adverse impact on Natura sites and some of the changes in response to concerns of the Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) are also relevant; they have been detailed below in Table 1. The full Deposit Draft of the Sutherland Local Plan, all other responses in relation to the Local Plan and the material relevant to the Issues for Examination can be found at:

http://www.highland.gov.uk/yourenvironment/planning/developmentplans/localplans/s utherland-local-plan.htm

Policy	Reason for Change	Change to Plan (from Pre-Deposit Draft to Deposit Draft 2008) and note of any further commended changes
Policy - 4 Natural, Built and Cultural Heritage	To safeguard Natura sites and reflect legal requirements, including that an appropriate assessment be carried out where it is likely that proposed development subject of a planning application will have a significant effect on a Natura site.	Replace existing policy with: Policy 4: Natural, Built and Cultural Heritage When making decisions on development proposals we will take account of the level of importance, and the effect on natural, built and cultural heritage features. This will include where appropriate, not just the feature itself but the wider setting and impacts which must be taken into account. This policy must be read in conjunction with the Background Maps and the policy frameworks identified in Appendix 1.
	Further changes, commended to the Reporter at Examination:The effect of all these changes is to reflect the strong legal protection to Natura sites and process of consideration of development proposals. There are also relevant changes commended to other parts of the Plan, such as certain Settlement Development Factors, Site Developer Requirements and	 For features of local/regional importance we will allow developments if we believe they will not have an unacceptable impact on the amenity and heritage resource. For features of national importance we will allow developments that can be shown not to compromise the amenity and heritage resource. Where there may be any significant adverse effects, these must be clearly outweighed by social or economic benefits of national importance. It must also be shown that the development will support communities in fragile areas who are having difficulties in keeping their population and services. For features of international importance (Natura 2000 (SPA, SAC) and Ramsar sites), developments likely to have a significant effect on the integrity of a site, will be subject to an appropriate assessment. Where we are unable to conclude that a proposal will not adversely

Table 1. Policy Modifications

-		
	Mapping, which are referred to elsewhere.	effect the integrity of a site, we will allow development, but only where there was no alternative solution and there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social, environmental or economic nature. Where a priority habitat or species (as defined in Annex 1 of the Habitats Directive) would be affected, prior consultation with the European Commission (via Scottish Ministers) is required unless the proposal is necessary for public health or safety reasons or will have beneficial consequences of primary importance to the environment.
		NB. Further to the Deposit Draft 2008, the Council in its response to issues of objection has commended further changes to Policy 4. These will be considered by the Reporter at Examination as part of the Council's case. See Issue 86 in: <u>http://www.highland.gov.uk/NR/rdonlyres/9EB6C6F</u> <u>E-ECA3-4AA2-A241-</u> <u>CBF11836D128/0/SULP_Sch4s_GI.pdf</u>
		In particular, paragraph numbered 3 in Policy 4 would be reworded as follows:
		"3. For features of international importance (Natura 2000 (SPA, SAC) and Ramsar sites), developments likely to have a significant effect on a site will be subject to an appropriate assessment. Where we are unable to ascertain that a proposal will not adversely affect the integrity of a site, we will allow development, provided there is no alternative solution and there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature. Where a priority habitat or species (as defined in Annex 1 of the Habitats Directive) would be affected, development in such circumstances will be allowed provided that the reasons for overriding public interest relate to human health, public safety, beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment, or other reasons subject to the opinion of the European Commission (via Scottish Ministers)."
		Following discussion with SNH about the emerging outcomes of this Appropriate Assessment, a further rewording of paragraph numbered 3 in Policy 4 is now commended to the Examination Reporter in the Council's response to the Reporter's request for further information in respect of issues arising from Appropriate Assessment. The Council's response will be made available on the Examination webpages. Paragraph numbered 3 in Policy 4

		would be reworded as follows:
		 Solution be reworded as follows: Solution of the property of a site of the property of a site of the property of a site, build be provided as follows: For features of international importance (Natura 2000 (SPA, SAC) and Ramsar sites), developments likely to have a significant effect on a site, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects, and which are not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site for nature conservation will be subject to an appropriate assessment. Where we are unable to ascertain that a proposal will not adversely affect the integrity of a site, we will only allow development if there is no alternative solution and there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature. Where a priority habitat or species (as defined in Annex 1 of the Habitats Directive) would be affected, development in such circumstances will only be allowed if the reasons for overriding public interest relate to human health, public safety, beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment, or other reasons subject to the opinion of the European Commission (via Scottish Ministers). Where we are unable to ascertain that a proposal will not adversely affect the integrity of a site, the proposal will not be in accordance with the development plan within the meaning of Section 25(1) of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 as amended.
Policy 7 - Waste Water Management	To require connection to the public sewer in most cases and where private systems are used then to state preference that they should discharge to land rather than water, thereby protecting the integrity of Natura sites and reducing the risk of water contamination. <u>Further changes, commended to the</u> <u>Reporter at</u> <u>Examination:</u> The effect of these changes is to be clearer about the requirement for	 Replace existing policy with: Policy 7: Waste Water Management Connection to the public sewer as defined in the Sewerage (Scotland) Act 1968 is required for all new development proposals Either in settlements identified in the plan with a population equivalent of more than 2000 (SEPA can advise on this); or Wherever single developments of 25 or more units are proposed In all other cases a connection to the public sewer will be required, unless the applicant can demonstrate that: 1. The development is unable to connect to public sewer for technical or economic reasons; or 2. the receiving waste water treatment plant is at capacity and Scottish Water has no programmed investment to increase the capacity;

c s c c t t c s s g a a e h p p b b t t s r c c r s S C c t t c c t t c c t t c c t t c c s s c c c t t c c s s c c c t t c c s s c c c t t f c c c c c t t f c c c c c c	development to connect to the public sever in all but certain exceptional circumstances and hat in such circumstances there is clear consideration given, and protection afforded, to the environment. This has the effect in boarticular of protecting water bodies, including hose that are Natura sites. There are also relevant changes commended to other barts of the Plan, such as certain Settlement Development Factors, Site Developer Requirements and Mapping, which are efferred to elsewhere.	 And that the proposal is not likely to result in or add to significant environmental or health problems. The Council's preference is that any private system should discharge to land rather than water. Within or adjacent to the public sewer, where connection to the public sewer is not permitted because there is no capacity but Scottish Water has confirmed that investment to address this constraint has been specifically allocated within its investment programme, a temporary private system would only be supported if: The system would be designed and built to a standard which will allow adoption by Scottish Water. The system is designed such that it can be easily connected to a public sewer in the future. Typically this will mean providing a drainage line up to a likely point of connection. The developer must provide Scottish Water to complete the connection once the sewerage system has been upgraded. NB. Further to the Deposit Draft 2008, the Council in its response to issues of objection has commended further changes to Policy 7. These will be considered by the Reporter at Examination as part of the Council's case. See Issue 88 in: http://www.highland.gov.uk/NR/rdonlyres/9EB6C6F E=ECA3-4AA2-A241-CBF11830D128/0/SULP_Sch4s_GI.pdf Policy 7 would be reworded as follows: Connection to the public sewer as defined in the Sewerage (Scottand) Act 1968 is required for all new development proposals: either in settlements identified in the plan with a population equivalent of more than 2000; or wherever single developments of 25 or more units are proposed. In all other cases a connection to the public sewer will be required, unless the applicant can demonstrate that: 1) the development is unable to connect to public sewer for technical or economic reasons; and 2) that the proposal is not likely to result in or add to significant environmental or health problems.
		should discharge to land rather than water.

		For all proposals where connection to the public sewer is not currently feasible and Scottish Water has confirmed public sewer improvements or first time public sewerage within its investment programme that would enable the development to connect, a private system would only be supported if:
		-the system is designed and built to a standard which will allow adoption by Scottish Water; -the system is designed such that it can be easily connected to a public sewer in the future.
		Typically this will mean providing a drainage line up to a likely point of connection. The developer must provide Scottish Water with the funds which will allow Scottish Water to complete the connection once the sewerage system has been upgraded.
Policy - 11	To safeguard	Replace existing policy with:
Protected Species	European Protected Species and, where appropriate, ensure a	Policy 11: Protected Species
	survey is carried out to determine whether there are any protected species present on site.	Where there is good reason to believe that a protected species is present on site or may be affected by a proposed development, we will require a survey to be carried out to establish any such presence before determining the application.
		Development that is likely to have an adverse effect on European Protected Species (see Glossary) will only be permitted where:
		 There is no satisfactory alternative; and Development is required for public health or public safety or other imperative reasons of overriding public interest including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment, and Development will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of the species concerned at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.
		Development that is likely to have an adverse effect on protected bird species (see Glossary) will only be permitted where:
		 There is no other satisfactory solution; and Development is required in the interests of public health or public safety.
		Development that is likely to have an adverse effect on other protected animals and plants (see Glossary) will only be permitted where the development is required for preserving public health or public safety.
Policy 12 -	To safeguard other	Replace existing policy with:
		· · · · · ·

Other	increase and an exist	
Other Important Species	important species that are not in all cases protected by	Policy 12: Other Important Species
	other legislation or by designated nature conservation sites.	Development proposals should avoid adverse effects on the following species if not protected by other legislation or by nature conservation site designations:
		 Species listed in Annexes II and V of the EC Habitats Directive. Priority species listed in the UK and Local Biodiversity Action Plans. Species of principal importance included on the Scottish Biodiversity List. Badgers under the Protection of Badgers Act 1992. We will use conditions and agreements to ensure
		that harm to these species is avoided.
Policy 13 -	To safeguard	Replace existing policy with:
Important Habitats	important habitats and take particular care to avoid damage	Policy 13: Important Habitats
	to habitats protected under the European Directives.	We will seek to safeguard the integrity of features of the landscape which are of major importance because of their linear and continuous structure or combination as habitat "stepping stones" for the movement of wild fauna and flora. (Article 10 features).
		We will consider the value of the following Other Important Habitats, where not protected by nature conservation site designations, in the assessment of any development proposal which may affect them.
		 Habitats listed in Annex I of the EC Habitats Directive. Habitats of protected bird species (see Glossary). Priority habitats listed in the UK and Local Biodiversity Action Plans. Habitats of principal importance included on the Scottish Biodiversity List.
		We will use conditions and agreements to ensure that significant harm to the ecological function and integrity of Article 10 Features and Other Habitats is avoided. Where we judge that the reasons in favour of a development clearly outweigh the desirability of retaining those important habitats, we will seek satisfactory mitigation measures including compensatory habitat creation or enhancement of retained habitat and which, in the case of Article 10 Features, allows for continued movement of wild fauna and flora. The Council will also support, where appropriate, opportunities to create new habitat areas through development.

6. <u>Screening Process</u>

Table 2 shows at a glance those Local Plan policies which, after consultation with Scottish Natural Heritage, the Council has identified as being likely to have a significant effect on Natura sites. Where it has been identified that Local Plan policies are likely to have a significant effect on Natura sites, appropriate assessment will be undertaken. Policies identified as unlikely to have a significant effect on Natura sites will not require an appropriate assessment to be carried out. This is explained and explored further in Tables 5 - 8. Table 3 and Table 4 list settlements and Natura sites respectively and together indicate for which Settlement Development Area proposals (and possibly therefore individual Site Allocation proposals within them) appropriate assessment will be required and, for those, which Natura sites would be likely to be significant affected. When screening the Local Plan policies and settlement proposals the Council has also assessed the cumulative effects ('in combination' effects) that they may have and whether or not there is likely to be a significant effect on Natura sites.

Cumulative Effects ('In Combination' Effects)

During the preparation of this document the Highland Council has been mindful of potential for cumulative effects ('in combination' effects) of the Plan's policies and proposals. In particular the Council, along with SNH, has looked at where proposals are located and whether or not they are upstream of a watercourse which may result in the effects of multiple proposals on watercourse(s) feeding together and although not having an impact individually, combined may have a significant effect on Natura sites.

There are a number of settlements that lie within the River Oykel catchment, the River Oykel being an SAC and which leads into the Dornoch Firth and Morrich More SAC. The latter SAC itself has a number of SACs directly in its catchment. By way of example, therefore, the Council has been mindful of potential for cumulative effects in these cases given the particular sensitivities of the qualifying interests.

After attending meetings with Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) regarding the cumulative effects that policies and proposals, implemented through the Local Plan, may have on the Natura sites it was agreed that although we can acknowledge any likely significant effect it is not always practical to meaningfully assess and to give an accurate account of the future impact at plan-making stage. Potential pollution levels will be dependent on specifics of the proposed developments not known until planning application stage.

Additionally it may be observed that although there are some local concentrations of development proposals in the Plan, based around settlement locations, Sutherland's settlements are relatively small as is the scale of growth planned and they are to an extent dispersed across a large geographic area involving more than one river catchment. This means that the potential for likely significant effects, including cumulative effects, on Natura sites is generally less than would be the case if a number of larger scale developments were closely concentrated near, or upstream, of a particular Natura site. In the case of the Moray Firth SAC, whilst there are a number of settlements directly in its catchment the body of tidal water is substantial and distance between major settlements is significant, thus reducing the likelihood of significant effects.

Relevant policy modifications (Section 5 of the report), responding to the concerns of SNH and SEPA, have been made or are commended to the existing policy framework which have the effect of further safeguarding Natura sites from individual and cumulative effects of development. The effectiveness of these policies and their implementation is seen as very important in addressing potential Natura concerns. These policy modifications have been agreed by SNH or SEPA as relevant and we have concluded that subject to making the particular further changes that have been commended to the Examination Reporter, implementation of the policies and proposals within the Sutherland Local Plan are unlikely to result in significant cumulative ('in combination') effects on Natura sites beyond any discussed and addressed in the individual appropriate assessments later in this document. This is, however, subject to the possible need for specific planning application proposals to be assessed for likely significant effects, alone or in combination, and appropriate assessment of the proposals in detail if required.

Policy	Policy Title	Appropriate Assessment Required?
1.	Settlement Development Area	No
2.	Land Allocations – Development Factors and Developer Requirements	No
3.	Wider Countryside	Yes
4.	Natural, Built and Cultural Heritage	No
5.	Affordable Housing	No
6.	Designing for Sustainability	No
7.	Waste Water Treatment	Yes
8.	Waste Management	Yes
9.	Flood Risk	Yes
10.	Other Development Considerations: Physical Constraints	No
11.	Protected Species	No
12.	Other Important Species	No
13.	Important Habitats	No
14.	Surface Water Drainage	No
15.	Developer Contributions	No
16.	Housing in the Countryside	Yes
17.	Commerce	No
18.	Design Quality and Place-Making	No
19.	Travel	Yes

Table 2. Policies (Sutherland Local Plan Deposit Draft November 2008)

Table 3. Settlements

Settlement	Appropriate Assessment	Natura Site(s)
	Required?	
Dornoch	Yes	Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet (SPA),
		Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet (RAMSAR),
		Dornoch Firth and Morrich More (SAC),
Embo	Yes	Moray Firth (SAC).
Embo	res	Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet (SPA), Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet (RAMSAR),
		Moray Firth (SAC).
Evelix	Yes	River Evelix (SAC).
Golspie	Yes	Moray Firth (SAC).
Brora	Yes	Moray Firth (SAC).
Helmsdale	Yes	Moray Firth (SAC).
Edderton	Yes	Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet (SPA),
Eddenon	103	Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet (RAMSAR),
		Dornoch Firth and Morrich More (SAC),
		Moray Firth (SAC).
Pittentrail	?	Strath Carnaig and Strath Fleet Moors
	·	(SPA),
		Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet (SPA),
		Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet (RAMSAR),
		Mound Alderwoods (SAC)
Ardgay	Yes	Dornoch Firth and Morrich More (SAC).
Bonar Bridge	Yes	Dornoch Firth and Morrich More (SAC).
		River Oykel (SAC).
South Bonar Industrial	Yes	Dornoch Firth and Morrich More (SAC).
Estate		River Oykel (SAC).
Culrain	Yes	River Oykel (SAC).
Rosehall	Yes	River Oykel (SAC).
Invershin	Yes	River Oykel (SAC).
Lairg	?	Strath Carnaig and Strath Fleet Moors (SPA),
		Lairg and Strathbrora Lochs (SPA),
		River Oykel (SAC).
Lochinver	No	Not likely to have a significant effect.
Point of Stoer	?	Abhainn Clais an Eas and Allt a'Mhuilinn (SAC).
Scourie	?	Handa (SPA) as extended.
Kinlochbervie	No	Not likely to have a significant effect.
Durness	Yes	Durness (SAC).
Tongue	No	Not likely to have a significant effect.
Melness	No	Not likely to have a significant effect.
Bettyhill	?	Invernaver (SAC).
Strathy	No	Not likely to have a significant effect
Portskerra	?	North Caithness Cliffs (SPA) as extended.
Melvich	?	North Caithness Cliffs (SPA) as extended.

Table 3 provides a first screening of the settlements with an indication of whether appropriate assessment will be required (i.e. whether there are likely to be significant effects on Natura sites) and if so then in respect of which Natura sites. In undertaking this screening, the Council has had regard to such matters as:

- the location of settlements relative to Natura sites in terms of distance, topography and relationship within water catchments and with regard to tides where relevant;
- the scale, nature and content of proposed developments;
- the particular qualifying interests and sensitivities of the Natura sites.

In this first screening there are a number of settlements for which it is uncertain whether appropriate assessment will be required (indicated "?"). On closer inspection of those (second screening) the following has been determined:

Settlement	Appropriate Assessment Required?	Reason (and Natura Site(s) if AA required)
Pittentrail	No	On closer inspection of the matters indicated above, the settlement proposals are not likely to have a significant effect.
Lairg	Yes	On closer inspection of the matters indicated above, the settlement proposals are not likely to have a significant effect on Strath Carnaig and Strath Fleet Moors (SPA) and Lairg and Strathbrora Lochs (SPA). However, they are likely to have a significant effect on River Oykel (SAC).
Point of Stoer	No	On closer inspection of the matters indicated above, the settlement proposals are not likely to have a significant effect.
Scourie	No	On closer inspection of the matters indicated above, the settlement proposals are not likely to have a significant effect.
Bettyhill	No	On closer inspection of the matters indicated above, the settlement proposals are not likely to have a significant effect.
Portskerra	No	On closer inspection of the matters indicated above, the settlement proposals are not likely to have a significant effect.
Melvich	No	On closer inspection of the matters indicated above, the settlement proposals are not likely to have a significant effect.

Table 4, below, then summarises in respect of each Natura site whether an Appropriate Assessment is required of certain sets of the Local Plan's settlement proposals and indicates on which page of this document each of those assessments begins.

Table 4. Natura Sites

Natura Site	SAC	Ramsar	SPA	Appropriate Assessment Required?	Pg No:
Abhainn Clais an Eas & Alt a'Mhuilinn	*			No	-
Altnaharra	*			No	-
Amat Woods	*			No	-
Ardvar & Loch a' Mhuilinn Woodlands	*			No	-
Beinn Dearg	*			No	-
Berriedale & Langwell Waters	*			No	-
Caithness and Sutherland Peatlands	*			No	-
Cape Wrath	*			No	-
Dornoch Firth & Morrich More	*			Yes	40
Durness	*			Yes	69
Foinaven	*			No	-
Inchnadamph	*			No	-
Invernaver	*			No	-
Inverpolly	*			No	-
Ledmore Wood	*			No	-
Loch Laxford	*			No	-
Moray Firth	*			Yes	51
Mound Alderwoods	*			No	-
Oldshoremore and Sandwood	*			No	-
Rhidorroch Woods	*			No	-
River Borgie	*			No	-
River Evelix	*			Yes	59
River Naver	*			No	-
River Oykel	*			Yes	61
Strathy Point	*			No	-
Caithness and Sutherland Peatlands		*		No	-
Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet		*		Yes	32
Assynt Lochs			*	No	-
Beinn Dearg			*	No	-
Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands			*	No	-
Cape Wrath			*	No	-
Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet			*	Yes	35
Foinaven (proposed)			*	No	-
Handa			*	No	-
Inverpolly, Loch Urigill and Nearby Lochs			*	No	-
Lairg and Strathbrora Lochs			*	No	-
Morangie Forest			*	No	-
North Caithness Cliffs			*	No	-
North Sutherland Coastal Islands			*	No	-
Strath Carnaig and Strath Fleet Moors			*	No	-

7. Assessment of Likely Significant Effect on Natura Sites

Tables 5, 6 and 7 reflect Table 2; they summarise and highlight which policies are likely or unlikely to have a significant effect on Natura sites. Reasoning has been provided by the Council why these decisions have been made and have been recorded in table 8. Where a policy has been highlighted as likely to have a significant effect on a Natura site, further detail and examination will be required against the conservation objectives of the site and the sensitivities of the habitat/species. As part of the assessment of these sites we have used the Sutherland Local Plan Revised Environmental Report (November 2008) to allow a more coherent analysis of the potential adverse impacts.

Key to Tables 5, 6 and 7

No Likely Significant Effect	
Likely Significant Effect	
Site Specific (Discussed Later in Text)	-

Table 5. Special Area of Conservation (SAC)

SAC									Po	licy									
	1 Settlement Development Areas	2 Development Factors and Developer Requirements	3 Wider Countryside	4 Natural, Built and Cultural Heritage	5 Affordable Housing	6 Designing for Sustainability	7 Waste Water Treatment	8 Waste Management	9 Flood Risk	10 Physical Constraints	11 Protected Species	12 Other Important Species	13 Important Habitats	14 Surface Water Drainage	15 Developer Contributions	16 Housing in the Countryside	17 Commerce	18 Design Quality and Place- Making	19 Travel
Oldshoremore &																			
Sandwood	-	-															-	-	
Cape Wrath	-	-															-	-	
Durness	-	-															-	-	
Caithness &																			
Sutherland																			
Peatlands	-	-															-	-	
Invernaver Otrethus Deint	-	-															-	-	
Strathy Point	-	-															-	-	
River Borgie	-	-															-	-	
Loch Laxford	-	-															-	-	
Foinaven	-	-															-	-	
River Naver Ardvar & Loch	-	-															-	-	
a'Mhuilinn																			
Woodlands	-	-															-	_	
Altnaharra		-															-	-	
Abhainn Clais an																	-	_	
Eas & Alt a'																			
Mhuilinn	-	-			—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	
Berriedale &	1																		
Langwell Waters	-	-															-	-	
Inverpolly	-	-															-	-	
Inchnadamph	-	-															-	-	
River Oykel	-	-															-	-	
Mound																			
Alderwoods	-	-															-	-	
River Evelix	-	-															-	-	
Rhidorroch Woods	-	-															-	-	
Amat Woods	-	-															-	-	
Ledmore Wood	-	-															-	-	
Moray Firth	-	-															-	-	
Beinn Dearg	-	-															-	-	
Dornoch Firth &																			
Morrich More	-	-															-	-	

Table 6. Ramsar Sites

Ramsar									Po	licy									
	1 Settlement Development Areas	2 Development Factors and Developer Requirements	3 Wider Countryside	4 Natural, Built and Cultural Heritage	5 Affordable Housing	6 Designing for Sustainability	7 Waste Water Treatment	8 Waste Management	9 Flood Risk	10 Physical Constraints	11 Protected Species	12 Other Important Species	13 Important Habitats	14 Surface Water Drainage	15 Developer Contributions	16 Housing in the Countryside	17 Commerce	18 Design Quality and Place- Making	19 Travel
Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands	_	_															_	_	
Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet	-	-	_				_									_	-	-	

Table 7. Special Protection Area (SPA)

SPA		Policy																	
	1 Settlement Development Areas	2 Development Factors and Developer Requirements	3 Wider Countryside	4 Natural, Built and Cultural Heritage	5 Affordable Housing	6 Designing for Sustainability	7 Waste Water Treatment	8 Waste Management	9 Flood Risk	10 Physical Constraints	11 Protected Species	12 Other Important Species	13 Important Habitats	14 Surface Water Drainage	15 Developer Contributions	16 Housing in the Countryside	17 Commerce	18 Design Quality and Place- Making	19 Travel
Cape Wrath	-	-															-	-	
North Sutherland Coastal Islands	-	-															-	-	
Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands	_	_				_											-	_	_
North Caithness Cliffs	-	-															-	-	
Foinaven (proposed)	-	-															-	-	
Handa	-	-															-	-	
Assynt Lochs	-	-															-	-	
Lairg and Strathbrora Lochs	-	-	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	—
Inverpolly, Loch Urigill and Nearby Lochs	-	-															-	-	
Strath Carnaig and Strath Fleet Moors	-	-			—				_				—		—		-	-	—
Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet	-	-															-	-	
Morangie Forest	-	-															-	-	
Beinn Dearg	-	-															-	-	

Table 8. Matrices Explanations

Whilst tables 5, 6 and 7 specify individual Natura sites, for the purposes of this stage of the assessment the policies have been assessed in a manner which has not differentiated between their likely effects on different Natura sites.

Policy	Reasoning
1. Settlement	This policy has not been included within the matrices as it is
Development Areas	picked up on a settlement specific basis later in the document.
	NB. The Council has commended changes to this policy and its
	conclusions in respect of this part of the assessment remain
	unchanged.
2. Land Allocations:	This policy has not been included within the matrices as it is
Development	picked up on a site specific basis later in the document.
Factors and	
Developer	
Requirements	
3. Wider Countryside	Appropriate Assessment
	All SDAs have been defined and amended where necessary in order that they exclude Natura sites, which are therefore in the wider countryside. Whilst this policy provides scope for some development, and such development could possibly have a significant effect, this policy cannot be considered in isolation from the other general policies and Structure Plan policies which have a balancing and protective effect. In particular, the supporting text to this policy specifically refers to the need to read the policy together with Policy 4. Subject to that, no adverse effect on Natura site integrity.
	NB. The Council has commended changes to this policy and its conclusions in respect of this part of the assessment remain unchanged. Additionally it may be noted that the Council has commended a change (under Issue 102) to add a development factor for SDAs to reference any adjacent Natura sites.
4. Natural, Built and Cultural Heritage	Policy has been adapted to ensure there is more strength to ensure safeguarding of Natura sites. Therefore unlikely to have significant effect, subject to the changes commended in Section 5 of this document also being incorporated
5. Affordable Housing	This policy is primarily about allocated sites which are within SDAs and also covers windfall sites. Any sites outwith the SDA would be judged against Wider Countryside and where relevant Housing in the Countryside Policies which are assessed in this table. Therefore Policy 5 itself is not independent and is unlikely to have significant effect.
6. Designing for Sustainability	This policy is closely linked to Structure Plan policy G2 via the Development Plan Policy Guideline which flags habitats and species. Therefore unlikely to have significant effect.
	NB. The Council has commended changes to this policy and its conclusions in respect of this part of the assessment remain unchanged.
7. Waste Water	Appropriate Assessment
Treatment	This policy has been strengthened via amendments. Some Natura sites are unlikely to be affected significantly as we

8. Waste Management	anticipate little or no development near them, however to fully consider the effects of this policy certain Natura sites are looked at individually, later in this document. Subject to that, to application in combination with other relevant policies of the Plan and to the changes commended in Section 5 of this document also being incorporated, no adverse effect on Natura site integrity. <u>Appropriate Assessment</u>
hanagement	This policy reduces the need for landfill sites and looks to put waste management facilities onto business or industrial land. Links to waste plans and strategies are listed in policy. Alterations have been made to policy to reduce risk of adverse impacts but it has been recorded that there is a possibility of likely having a significant impact. Subject to application in combination with other relevant policies of the Plan, including Policy 4, no adverse effect on Natura site integrity. NB. The Council has commended changes to this policy and its
	conclusions in respect of this part of the assessment remain unchanged.
9. Flood Risk	Appropriate Assessment
	The impacts of flooding could be significant in terms of water contamination and has therefore, been recorded as likely to have a significant impact. However, policy positively manages flood risk by reducing excessive run-off. Link to SUDS policy to ensure policies are working together to safeguard. Subject to application in combination with other relevant policies of the Plan, no adverse effect on Natura site integrity.
	NB. The Council has commended changes to this policy and its conclusions in respect of this part of the assessment remain unchanged.
10. Other Development Considerations: Physical Constraints	This policy does not specify Natura sites or how developer should deal with issue, however if issue is raised then it will be dealt with using Policies 4, 11, 12 and 13. Therefore unlikely to have significant effect. There may though be a positive result from the policy as it deals with contamination via developments.
	NB. The Council has commended changes to this policy and its conclusions in respect of this part of the assessment remain unchanged.
11. Protected Species	Policy outlines measures to protect and maintain natural interests. Therefore unlikely to have significant effect.
12. Other Important	Policy outlines measures to protect and maintain natural interests.
Species.	Therefore unlikely to have significant effect.
13. Important Habitats	Policy outlines measures to protect and maintain natural interests. Therefore unlikely to have significant effect.
14. Surface Water Drainage	Policy manages change but relies heavily on SUDs Manual (CIRIA), PAN 69 and Sewers for Scotland which secure environmental principles. Additionally the policy can not be viewed in isolation from the other policies. Therefore unlikely to have significant effect.
15. Developer Contributions	This policy is subsidiary and therefore unlikely to have significant effect.
	NB. The Council has commended changes to this policy and its conclusions in respect of this part of the assessment remain unchanged.

16. Housing in the Countryside	Appropriate Assessment
Countryside	See Policy 3, it is land outwith SDA and Natura sites lie within area. Referenced in Policy link to others e.g. Policy 4, G2. All proposals must accord with General Policies and avoid conflict with Natural and Cultural Heritage. Subject to application in combination with other relevant policies of the Plan, no adverse effect on Natura site integrity.
17. Commerce	Encouraging development within SDA centres. Similar to policies 1 and 2 and will be assessed later in the text.
18. Design Quality and Place-Making	This policy does not deal directly with location or type of development but with detail of its design primarily in aesthetic and functional terms. It does not deal with aspects of design impacting on Natura interests. Specific development proposals of the Plan are promoted through policies 1 and 2 and will be assessed later in the text.
19. Travel	Appropriate Assessment The intention of this policy is for a positive outcome for sustainability. It is not envisaged to have a significant effect on Natura sites but taking a precautionary approach we have considered potential effects. Subject to application in combination with other relevant policies of the Plan, no adverse effect on Natura site integrity.

The preamble to the General Policies chapter of the Plan explains that each planning application will be assessed against all policies and legislation relevant to the particular proposal and location, and that conformity with a single policy will not necessarily indicate that a proposed development is acceptable. Under Examination Issue 83 the Council commends a change that would retain this message and add reference to each development proposal being assessed on its individual planning merits. As can be seen from the above assessment, the principle of having regard to and applying all relevant policies will be important in implementing the Plan, particularly bearing in mind Natura considerations.

8. <u>Safeguarding Policies</u>

Both the Highland Structure Plan and Sutherland Local Plan contain safeguarding policies that protect Natura sites. Detailed below is a summary of each of these policies and the protection they provide:

Structure Plan

Policy G2 – Design for Sustainability

Proposed developments will be assessed on the extent to which they impact on a number of issues, including impact on the following resources particularly within designated areas: habitats and species.

Policy G3 Impact Assessments

Where environmental and/or socio-economic impacts of a proposed development are likely to be significant by virtue of nature, size or location, The Council will require the preparation by developers of appropriate impact assessments.

Policy N1 - Nature Conservation

States that the Council will seek to protect all sites and species of:

- International Importance
- National Importance
- Local Importance.

Policy N4 – Local Biodiversity Action Plans

In respect of habitats and species, The Council will have regard to Local Biodiversity Action Plans, where available, in addition to Strategic Policy G6, in the consideration of development proposals.

Local Plan

Policy 4 – Natural, Built and Cultural Heritage

The Council will take account of the level of importance of, and the effect on, the natural, built and cultural heritage in areas of:

- Local/regional importance
- National importance
- International importance (Natura 2000 (SPA, SAC) and Ramsar sites)

Policy 11 – Protected Species

Where there is good reason to believe that a protected species is present on site or may be affected by a proposed development, the Council will require a survey to be carried out to establish any such presence before determining the application.

Policy 12 – Other Important Species

Development proposals should avoid adverse effects on species that are not protected by other legislation or by nature conservation site designations; the Council will use conditions and agreements to ensure harm to these species is avoided.

Policy 13 – Important Habitats

The Council will seek to safeguard the integrity of the features of the landscape which are of major importance for the movement of wild fauna and flora. Consideration will be given to the value of Other Important Habitats which are not protected by nature conservation designations in the assessment of any development proposal. Conditions and agreements will be used to ensure that significant harm to the ecological function and integrity of Article 10 Features and Other Habitats is avoided; satisfactory mitigation measures will be used when development has been approved.

9. Conclusions

The Council has considered in Section 7 of this document the likely significant effects of the General Policies of the Plan. It has concluded that subject to the mitigation identified, including changes commended to the Plan, the General Policies will not adversely affect the integrity of Natura sites. In respect of Settlement and Site Proposals of the Plan, the Council identified that they do not require appropriate assessment in respect of the Natura sites identified in the list below. In respect of the remaining Natura sites, the Council has undertaken appropriate assessments of the likely significant effect of the relevant Settlement and Site Proposals of the Plan. Those assessments are set out at the end of this document. The Council has concluded that subject to the mitigation identified, including changes commended to the Plan, the Settlement and Site Proposals will not adversely affect the integrity of Natura sites. The overall conclusion is that subject to the mitigation identified, including changes commended to the Plan, the Local Plan will not adversely affect the integrity of Natura sites. Furthermore the Council concludes that the Local Plan incorporating all its commended changes will not adversely affect the integrity of Natura sites.

Abhainn Clais an Eas and Alt a' Mhuilinn	Invernaver
Altnaharra	Inverpolly
Amat Woods	Ledmore Wood
Ardvar & Loch a' Mhuilinn Woodlands	Loch Laxford
Beinn Dearg	Mound Alderwoods
Berriedale & Langwell Waters	Oldshoremore & Sandwood
Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands	Rhidorroch Woods
Cape Wrath	River Borgie
Foinaven	River Naver
Inchnadamph	Strathy Point
Special Protection Area	
Accurate Looks	Inversely, Leeh Urigill and Nearby Leeha
	Inverpolly, Loch Urigill and Nearby Lochs
Beinn Dearg	Lairg & Strathbrora Lochs
Beinn Dearg Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands	Lairg & Strathbrora Lochs Morangie Forest
Beinn Dearg Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands Cape Wrath	Lairg & Strathbrora Lochs Morangie Forest North Caithness Cliffs
Beinn Dearg Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands Cape Wrath	Lairg & Strathbrora Lochs Morangie Forest North Caithness Cliffs North Sutherland Coastal Islands
Beinn Dearg Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands Cape Wrath	Lairg & Strathbrora Lochs Morangie Forest North Caithness Cliffs
Beinn Dearg Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands Cape Wrath Handa	Lairg & Strathbrora Lochs Morangie Forest North Caithness Cliffs North Sutherland Coastal Islands Strath Carnaig and Strath Fleet Moors
Beinn Dearg Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands Cape Wrath Handa Proposed Special Area of Conservati	Lairg & Strathbrora Lochs Morangie Forest North Caithness Cliffs North Sutherland Coastal Islands Strath Carnaig and Strath Fleet Moors
Beinn Dearg Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands Cape Wrath Handa	Lairg & Strathbrora Lochs Morangie Forest North Caithness Cliffs North Sutherland Coastal Islands Strath Carnaig and Strath Fleet Moors
Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands Cape Wrath Handa Proposed Special Area of Conservati	Lairg & Strathbrora Lochs Morangie Forest North Caithness Cliffs North Sutherland Coastal Islands Strath Carnaig and Strath Fleet Moors

About the Assessments

Mitigation measures have been detailed to show the way in which the Local Plan policies will be used to safeguard the Natura sites.

For Natura sites likely to be significantly affected, regard has been had to the most up-to-date information on the condition of the qualifying interests that was available to the Council at the time of assessment and to the particular "Sensitivities" of those interests (or features) i.e. likely significant effects. This enables identification and consideration of potential adverse effects on site integrity that the Local Plan proposals may have. These are set out in the assessments towards the end of this document. For simplicity they refer to "Potential Impact", "Mitigation" and "Residual Impact".

Features are assessed by SNH as being in favourable or unfavourable condition, against a standard set in the Guidance for that feature type and applied to the situation on that site. Listed below are the categories used in the assessment process:

- *Favourable maintained* The ongoing management is keeping the feature in good condition.
- **Favourable recovered** Action has been taken to rectify the problem identified during the last round of monitoring and the feature is now back in line to standards set in the guidance.
- **Unfavourable recovering** When a feature at present falls below the set standards but the appropriate management measures are in place to secure favourable condition given time.
- **Unfavourable no change** When feature falls below the set standards and there are no signs of it improving or getting any worse.
- **Unfavourable declining** When feature falls below the set standards and are continuing to decline.
- **Destroyed partially destroyed** When there is a significant loss of the feature and this cannot be rectified by changes in management.
- **Destroyed completely destroyed** There are none of the qualifying features left which had previously been protected and this cannot be rectified by changes in management.

SNH agreed with the Scottish Government that their key target (bring 80% of the special features on Scotland's nationally important nature sites into favourable condition by March 2008) would include those features in unfavourable recovering condition.

The results have been detailed within the relevant tables below for each Natura site.

Mitigation Measures

During the preparation of this document, mitigation measures have been implemented through Local Plan policy modifications (Section 5 of this document). Policies have been adapted and in some cases are now commended for further change to reflect the views and concerns of both Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) and Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) to safeguard Natura sites. As a result of the consultation responses received from both SEPA and SNH the following statements have been prepared to provide mitigation for potential impacts that Local Plan proposals may have on Natura sites, and are referred to by reference number in the site assessments that follow:

- 9.1 It is stated within the Local Plan Policy 7 Waste Water Treatment that it is "the Council's preference that any private system should discharge to land rather than water" which helps manage discharge and minimise the potential adverse impact on the water environment. It was also identified during consultations with SEPA, the regulative body, that they would look at development proposals on a site specific basis as and when they are submitted for consents. Additionally, the Council has commended changes to the Plan under Examination Issue 102 to include a "drainage to land" development factor for those SDAs where water bodies could otherwise be affected, thus preventing in those cases further proliferation of private outfalls. For avoidance of doubt, it has been agreed with SNH that this should include those SDAs draining to Natura water bodies where no public sewerage solution exists and this is now commended to the Reporter. This should in particular include, but may not be limited to, qualifying settlements likely to significantly affect the River Oykel SAC and/or the Dornoch Firth and Morrich More SAC or the Durness SAC. For other settlements, on consideration of Natura sites involved, of likely significant effects (sensitivities) and of developer requirements prevailing for Site Allocations, it may be determined that the SDA development factor will not be required for Natura purposes (although it may be required for the purposes of protecting water bodies).
- 9.2 It is stated within the Local Plan Policy 7 Waste Water Treatment that "Connection to the public sewer as defined in the Sewerage (Scotland) Act 1968 is required for all new development proposals" except under the circumstances stated within the Policy. Within the text 5.7 Waste Water Treatment it states that "it is necessary to allow other private sewage treatment options in certain circumstances, in particular, where settlements are smaller, more dispersed in pattern and often not served by adequate existing or programmed existing public sewage systems. Developers should refer to SEPA's Policy on the Provision of Waste Water Drainage in Settlements, for information". These Policy provisions help manage discharge and minimise the potential adverse impact on the water environment. Additionally, the Council has commended changes to the Plan under Examination Issue 102 to include certain developer requirements in respect of site allocations, for public sewer connection where technically/ economically feasible to connect and where not feasible then allowing for interim private arrangements as an alternative only where they will be compatible with and make a future public connection/ scheme more likely (but note that for certain sites the Council has provided an alternative response under the relevant Examination Issues dealing with those sites).
- 9.3 For certain sites it is the Council's preference that any new development should drain to sea loch rather than freshwater catchment.
- 9.4 It is stated within the Local Plan Policy 9 Flood Risk Assessment that "Development proposals within or bordering areas susceptible to flooding, being areas with a medium to high flood risk (1:200 or greater annual probability of flooding), will need to demonstrate that the probability of flooding and any required mitigation has been assessed; a flood risk assessment will need to be submitted with the planning application. Development proposals outwith the medium to high flood risk areas may be acceptable unless local circumstances dictate otherwise, and a Flood Risk Assessment may be required". Further

changes to Policy 9 have been commended to the Examination Reporter with reference to Scottish Planning Policy 7 (SPP7) which will help clarify when a flood risk assessment is required. In addition the Council has commended to the Examination Reporter under Examination Issue 102 and under other, settlement and site-specific Issues the inclusion of particular developer requirements for certain sites. Further to those commended changes, and for the avoidance of doubt, the Council as a consequence of this appropriate assessment now commends to the Reporter as follows: that for both Invershin SDA and South Bonar Industrial Estate SDA a development factor should be included which refers to the need for the design of waste water arrangements in development proposals to have regard to the risk of, and seek to avoid, flooding leading to contamination of the Natura site.

- 9.5 General policies have been modified to alleviate the concerns that development proposals and policies may have an impact on Natura sites. Modifications including commended changes to the policies provide greater protection to these sites. (Additionally it may be noted that the Council has commended a change (under Examination Issue 102) to add a development factor for SDAs to reference any adjacent Natura sites.)
- 9.6 Open Space areas are safeguarded within Settlement Development Area (SDA) through Policy 1 Settlement Development Areas and outwith SDAs by Policy 3 Wider Countryside. Policy 2 Land Allocations relates to the allocation of Open Space and within the glossary states that the Local Plan "specifically allocates (green space) in order to safeguard them from development". This reduces the likelihood of open spaces being developed for other uses which might more significantly affect Natura sites than does the open space use.
- 9.7 The Local Plan's proposals do not promote vehicle access to sandbanks at Dornoch and coastal protection schemes can help protect sediment issues. However, whilst the policies and proposals offer some safeguarding, there remains a likely significant effect that could possibly lead to adverse effect on the integrity of the Natura sites. This is due to pressure for recreational access that may involve vehicular access as far as a parking point and access on foot thereafter. This is particularly of concern given the likely significant effect arising from proposals in combination with one another and the high level of use already existing. Therefore the following mitigation measures are now commended to the Reporter by the Council:
 - That for Dornoch SDA, this issue be explained in the supporting text and a development factor be included which states that any new development proposals on sites allocated for development in Dornoch should be accompanied by a recreational management plan which examines any likely increased pressures from recreational accessing of the sandbanks arising from the development (with appropriate assessment to be undertaken if the Natura site interests are likely to be significantly affected) and which sets out a plan of management, avoidance or mitigation measures where necessary;
 - That the Council engage with SNH and key local interests to prepare a framework which coordinates and draws together these recreational management plans and which will assist assessment of "in combination effects", and that the Local Plan text includes a commitment to this being in the Action Programme for the Local Plan.
- 9.8 Where appropriate, new development proposals may be required to carry out an otter survey and any other appropriate surveys to ensure no significant effect on

qualifying features caused by disturbance, pollution or any other impact. Policy 11 – Protected Species – states "where there is good reason to believe that a protected species is present on site or may be affected by a proposed development, we will require a survey to be carried out to establish any such presence before determining the application". For relevant SDAs the following development factor has been agreed with SNH and is now commended to the Reporter for inclusion in the Plan: *"Adjacent SAC qualifying features include otter. A survey to determine the presence or absence of otters should accompany any planning applications, except for modest extensions and alterations to existing buildings, within 250m of a watercourse, coast, loch or pond."*

Appropriate Assessment on Ramsar: Dornoch Firth & Loch Fleet

ABOUT THE RAMSAR

Description of Natura Site and Location:

Dornoch Firth & Loch Fleet Ramsar site is a large area covering the two northernmost estuaries in the Moray Basin ecosystem. The Dornoch Firth extends eastwards for 25 km from Newton Point to the point of Tarbat Ness. It is relatively unaffected by industrial development and supports large areas of sandflats and mudflats, heath and sand dunes, saltmarsh and a stretch of rocky shore. Loch Fleet is a narrow-mouthed estuary containing extensive sandflats which are bordered by dunes, pinewood and alderwood. The dunes at Dornoch Links and Morrich More are of international importance for their flora and geomorphology. The boundaries of the site follow those of Dornoch Firth SSSI, Morrich More SSSI, Tarbat Ness SSSI, Loch Fleet SSSI and Mound Alderwoods SSSI except for the exclusion of a thin corridor of land on Morrich More SSSI.

(Ref:<u>http://gateway.snh.gov.uk/pls/portal/Sitelink.Show_Site_Document?p_pa_code=8420&p</u>_Doc_Type_ID=20)

Conservation Objectives and Qualifying Interest (Species/ Habitats):

The Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet Ramsar site qualifies under Criterion 1 by virtue of supporting a variety of important wetland features. Mound Alderwoods at the head of Loch Fleet is the largest estuarine alderwood in Britain. The Dornoch Firth is a particularly good example of an east coast firth and, unlike other nearby firths, has been relatively unaffected by industrial developments. Morrich More is one of the most outstanding coastal sites in Britain. It is especially noteworthy for the development of an extensive low-level sandy plain on which a set of parabolic dunes are superimposed.

The Ramsar site qualifies under Criterion 2a by regularly supporting rare plants and animals. The site supports at least five Nationally Scarce wetland plants: Baltic rush, seaside centaury, dwarf eelgrass, narrow-leaved eelgrass and curved sedge. The invertebrate fauna includes at least two wetland Red Data Book species and the mammal fauna includes common seal and otter.

The Ramsar site qualifies under Criterion 3a by regularly supporting in winter over 20,000 waterfowl. In the five-year period 1989/90 to 1993/94 a winter peak mean of approximately 34,500 waterfowl was recorded, comprising 22,000 wildfowl and 12,500 waders.

The Ramsar site also qualifies under Criterion 3c by regularly supporting internationally important wintering populations (1989/90-93/94 winter peak means) of Icelandic greylag goose (1,146, 1% of total world population, all of which winters in Britain), wigeon (15,304, 5% of GB, 2% of NW Europe) and bar-tailed godwit (1,184, 2% of GB, 1% of Western Europe).

The diverse assemblage of wintering waterfowl includes nationally important wintering populations of teal, scaup, curlew and redshank. The site is also a nationally important feeding area for ospreys.

This citation is accompanied by the citation for the entire Moray Basin Firths and Bays Ramsar site which explains the overall international importance of the Moray Basin as a wetland.

Site Condition:

Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) site condition:											
Visit Date	Feature Description	Feature Category	Condition	Likely significant effect (Sensitivity)							
11/02/2001	Waterfowl assemblage, non-breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.							
11/02/2001	Bar-tailed godwit (Limosa Iapponica), non-breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.							
11/02/2001	Wigeon (Anas penelope), non-breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.							
06/03/2001	Saltmarsh	Littoral sediment (Coast)	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.							
28/08/2003	Greylag goose (Anser anser), non- breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.							
03/06/2004	Wet woodland	Broad- leaved, mixed and yew woodland	Favourable Maintained	Destruction and disturbance of habitats through construction methods.							
18/06/2004	Sand dune	Supralittoral sediment (Coast)	Unfavourable Declining	Changes in dune dynamics due to construction methods and recreational activities							
09/09/1996	Mudflat	Littoral sediment (Marine)	Favourable Maintained	Direct impacts from disturbance of sediment from recreation or works in the intertidal zone or pollution through changes in the water quality. Indirect impacts as a result of changes in sediment movement from coastal development, climate change, sea defences, dredging, dumping at sea. Also large scale engineering works in the catchment which might							

Scottish Natu	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) site condition:									
Visit Date	Feature Description	Feature Category	Condition	Likely significant effect (Sensitivity)						
				result in large scale deposition downstream.						
09/09/1996	Reefs	Littoral rock (Marine)	Favourable Maintained	As for <i>Mudflat</i> above.						

LOCAL PLAN PROPOSALS

For the Appropriate Assessment of the Local Plan Proposals likely to significantly affect the Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet Ramsar site, see Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet SPA on next page.

Appropriate Assessment on Special Protection Area (SPA): Dornoch Firth & Loch Fleet

ABOUT THE SPA

Description of Natura Site and Location:

The Dornoch Firth is located in north-eastern Scotland and is one of the two northernmost estuaries in the Moray Basin ecosystem. The Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet SPA is one of the best examples in northwest Europe of a large complex estuary which has been relatively unaffected by industrial development, whilst Loch Fleet itself is an example of a shallow, barbuilt estuary. Extensive sand-flats and mud-flats are backed by saltmarsh and sand dunes with transitions to dune heath and Alder *Alnus glutinosa* woodland. The tidal flats support internationally important numbers of waterbirds on migration and in winter, and are the most northerly and substantial extent of intertidal habitat for wintering waterbirds in the UK, as well as Europe. The Firth is also of importance as a feeding area for locally breeding Osprey *Pandion haliaetus*.

Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet SPA forms an integral ecological component of Moray Basin Firths and Bays of which it forms the most northerly component area.

(Ref: http://www.jncc.gov.uk/default.aspx?page=1877)

Conservation Objectives and Qualifying Interest (Species/ Habitats):

To avoid deterioration of the habitats of the qualifying species (listed below) or significant disturbance to the qualifying species, thus ensuring that the integrity of the site is maintained and the site makes and appropriate contribution to achieving favourable conservation status for each of the qualifying features; and

To ensure for the qualifying species that the following are maintained in the long term:

- Population of the species as a viable component of the site.
- Distribution of the species within site.
- Distribution and extent of habitats supporting the species.
- Structure, function and supporting processes of habitats supporting the species.
- No significant disturbance of the species.

Qualifying Species:

- Bar-tailed godwit
- Curlew
- Dunlin
- Greylag goose
- Osprey*
- Oystercatcher
- Teal
- Wigeon
- Waterfowl assemblage

* Indicates that qualifying feature is particularly vulnerable to disturbance from development and recreational activities.

The site overlaps with Dornoch Firth and Morrich More Special Area of Conservation, Moray Firth Special Area of Conservation and Mound Alderwoods Special Area of Conservation.

Site Condition:

Scottish Natu	ral Heritage (SI			-
Visit Date	Feature	Feature	Condition	Likely significant effect
11/02/2001	Description	Category	Favourable	(Sensitivity)
11/02/2001	Curlew (Numenius arquata), non-breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.
11/02/2001	Dunlin (Calidris alpina alpina), non- breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.
11/02/2001	Oystercatche r (Haematopus ostralegus), non-breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.
11/02/2001	Teal (Anas crecca), non- breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.
11/02/2001	Waterfowl assemblage, non-breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.
11/02/2001	Bar-tailed godwit (Limosa Iapponica), non-breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.
11/02/2001	Wigeon (Anas penelope), non-breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.
31/07/2003	Osprey (Pandion haliaetus), breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.
13/09/2003	Greylag goose (Anser anser), non- breeding	Birds - aggregations of non- breeding birds	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, domestic pets.

LOCAL PLAN PROPOSALS

DORNOCH

Location in relation to Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet SPA and Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet Ramsar

The SDA which contains the Site Allocations lies close to the SPA and the Ramsar site.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Open Space sites identified as maintaining and protecting the existing value of the land.

Settlement	Plan Version		Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development	Deposit	Deposit	
Area and Site	Draft	Draft	
Allocations	2007?	2008?	
Dornoch SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to amend SDA to remove flood risk area to the south of settlement, reducing risk of potential flooding and contamination issues; also to adjust the boundary to the north.
H1 – Bishopsfield	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation and extend it to the west.
H2 – Earl's Cross	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
H3 – Sutherland Road	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
H4 – Meadows Park Road	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
MU1 – Dornoch North	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation with some adjustments to its boundary.
LT – Dornoch North Expansion	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain the long term site with some adjustments to its boundary.
C1 – Academy fields	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain site but redesignate as Open Space (OS – Academy fields).
OS – Meadows Park	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain site but reallocate for community uses (C1 – Meadows Park).
OS - Station Wood and Earl's Cross	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
B1 – Dornoch Business Park	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation but reduce extent to remove flood risk area, reducing risk of potential flooding and contamination issues.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).
- Open Space areas are safeguarded through policy (9.6).

Residual Impacts

EMBO

Location in relation to Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet SPA and Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet Ramsar

The SDA which contains the Site Allocations lies adjacent to the SPA and the Ramsar site.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Open Space sites identified as maintaining and protecting the existing value of the land.

Settlement	Plan \	/ersion	Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Embo SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to extend SDA boundary to include caravan park at Grannies Heilan Hame and football field.
H1 – North of Station House	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain site.
MU1 – West Embo	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain site.
OS – Football Field	No	Yes	Decision was taken to introduce as new allocation.
B1 – Holiday Park	No	Yes	Decision was taken to introduce as new allocation.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).
- Open Space areas are safeguarded through policy (9.6).

Residual Impacts

EDDERTON

Location in relation to Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet SPA and Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet Ramsar

The SDA which contains the Site Allocations lies close to the SPA and the Ramsar site.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.

Settlement	Plan Version		Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Edderton SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain SDA with minor enlargement.
H1 – West of Station Road	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain site allocation.
MU1 – Adjacent Glebe Cottage	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain site allocation.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).

Residual Impacts

<u>Appropriate Assessment on Special Area of Conservation (SAC):</u> <u>Dornoch Firth & Morrich More</u>

ABOUT THE SAC

Description of Natura Site and Location:

Dornoch Firth is the most northerly large, complex **estuary** in the UK. The estuary is fed by the Kyle of Sutherland and is virtually unaffected by industrial development. There is a complete transition from riverine to fully marine conditions and associated communities. Inland, and in sheltered bays, sediments are generally muddy. Gravelly patches occur in the central section of the Firth. Wide sandy beaches dominate the large bays at the mouth of the Firth, and areas of saltmarsh occur around the shores. Sublittoral sediments are predominantly medium sands with a low organic content. Several of the associated coastal habitats have been proposed as Annex I interests in their own right.

The Dornoch Firth is the most northerly complex **estuary** in the UK. Situated on the Scottish east coast, the estuary contains extensive areas of **mudflats and sandflats**. The flats extend in a wide belt along the northern and southern shores and are characteristic of a range of environmental conditions. There is a continuous gradient in the physical structure of the flats, from medium-sand beaches on the open coast to stable, fine-sediment mudflats and muddy sands further inland. This results in a high diversity of animal and plant communities supporting polychaetes, oligochaetes, amphipods, gastropods and bivalves. The sheltered bays provide a habitat for communities of algae, eelgrass *Zostera* spp. and the pioneer saltmarsh plant glasswort *Salicornia* spp.

Dornoch Firth and Morrich More has the most extensive area of pioneer glasswort *Salicornia* spp. saltmarsh in Scotland. It is the most northerly site selected for this Annex I type, and represents the habitat type in the northern part of its range in the UK. It forms part of a complete transition from pioneer to upper saltmeadow and important sand dune habitats.

Dornoch Firth and Morrich More is the most northerly site selected for **Atlantic salt meadows** and represents this habitat type in the northern part of its UK range. The site supports a wide variety of community types, with the characteristic zonation from pioneer to upper marsh vegetation. At Morrich More the saltmarshes lie adjacent to sand dunes and there are important transitions between these habitats.

There are well-marked lyme-grass *Leymus arenarius*-dominated areas of **Embryonic shifting dunes** fronting the prograding sections of this site. Dornoch Firth and Morrich More is one of three sites representing **Embryonic shifting dunes** on the east coast of Scotland and is the most northerly example of the habitat type in the SAC series. The process of continued progradation is central to the conservation of this habitat type at this site, which has the largest, most complete area of sand dune in the UK, in part owing to the exceptionally high rate of progradation.

This large dune system on the east coast of Scotland is physically diverse, with areas of active accretion, areas of marine erosion and areas of internal instability. There are well-formed parabolic dunes in one area. All of these formations provide opportunities for **Shifting dunes along the shoreline with** *Ammophila arenaria* to develop. As a result this habitat type is relatively extensive within the site. The vegetation is representative of northern mobile dune vegetation, with lyme-grass *Leymus arenarius* prominent in some stands. The site is largely undisturbed, resulting in a natural habitat structure.

This extensive complex dune system lies in the inner Moray Firth in north-east Scotland. It consists of a low dune plain which is still developing in its outer part. The dune system consists of a series of ridges with heath and juniper scrub on the older ridges which grade into the fixed dune vegetation of maritime grassland in the mid and outer parts.

In this part of the Moray Firth, north-east Scotland, dune vegetation has developed on a coastline that has been generally rising relative to sea level in the 7,000 years since the last glaciation. A combination of leaching, stabilisation and the decreased influence of salt water has produced a sequence of dry, stable dune ridges, interspersed with wet dune hollows. There is a large area of **Decalcified fixed dunes with** *Empetrum nigrum* vegetation on this site, occurring in a complicated mosaic of acidic fixed dune vegetation types, principally **2150 Atlantic decalcified fixed dunes** (*Calluno-Ulicetea*). Within this complex of habitats there are examples of dune, saltmarsh and transitional communities that include large populations of several northern dune species, such as Baltic rush *Juncus balticus*. This is the most important acidic dune site in Scotland because of its size and the exceptional diversity of habitats within it. Despite some localised industrial development, structure and function are well-conserved at this site and accretion is continuing.

Dornoch Firth and Morrich More represents **Atlantic decalcified fixed dunes** (*Calluno-Ulicetea*) in north-east Scotland, with dune heath containing heather *Calluna vulgaris* and sand sedge *Carex arenaria*. At this site, dune vegetation has developed on a coastline that has been generally rising relative to sea level in the 7,000 years since the last glaciation. A combination of leaching, stabilisation and the decreased influence of saltwater has produced a sequence of dry, stable dune ridges, interspersed with wet dune hollows. The **Atlantic decalcified fixed dunes** vegetation covers a large area on this site and forms part of a complex mosaic of fixed dune vegetation types, principally **2140 Decalcified fixed dunes** with *Empetrum nigrum*, together with saltmarsh and transitional communities that include large populations of several northern dune species, such as Baltic rush *Juncus balticus*. This is the most important acidic dune site in Scotland, owing to its size and the exceptional diversity of habitats within it. Despite some localised industrial development, structure and function are well-conserved at this site and accretion is continuing.

Morrich More in north-east Scotland is one of the largest acidic dune sites in the UK. The sequence of development has resulted in the formation of extensive humid slack communities of an acidic character which lie as parallel hollows between the dune ridges and form part of a complex mosaic of dune habitats, several of which have been proposed as Annex I habitat types in their own right. This is the most important acidic dune system in Scotland, owing to its size and the exceptional diversity of the habitats within it.

Morrich More is the most important site in the UK for juniper *Juniperus* spp. stands on dune. Stands of juniper cover approximately 10 ha, with scattered individuals over a larger area. The juniper is extremely well-developed on the dry ridges and transitions to dune slacks. The best stands occur in grasslands in the southern sector, but prostrate individuals also extend into wet heath and slack habitats within the site.

(Ref: http://www.jncc.gov.uk/protectedsites/sacselection/sac.asp?EUCode=UK0019806)

Conservation Objectives and Qualifying Interest (Species/ Habitats):

To avoid deterioration of the qualifying habitats (listed below) thus ensuring that the integrity of the site is maintained and the site makes an appropriate contribution to achieving favourable conservation status for each of the qualifying features; and

To ensure for the qualifying habitats that the following are maintained in the long term:

- Extent of the habitat on site.
- Distribution of the habitat within site.
- Structure and function of the habitat.
- Processes supporting the habitat.
- Distribution of typical species of the habitat.
- Viability of typical species as components of the habitat.
- No significant disturbance of typical species of the habitat.

Qualifying Habitats:

- Atlantic salt meadows.
- Coastal dune heathland.
- Dune grassland.
- Dunes with juniper thickets.
- Estuaries.*
- Glasswort and other annuals colonising mud and sand.*
- Humid dune slacks.
- Intertidal mudflats and sandflats.*
- Lime-deficient dune heathland with crowberry.
- Reefs.*
- Shifting dunes.
- Shifting dunes with marram.
- Subtidal sandbanks.*

* Indicates that these features are particularly vulnerable to changes in water quality, however, Local Plan policies safeguard these from likely significant impacts.

To avoid deterioration of the habitats of the qualifying species (listed below) or significant disturbance to the qualifying species, thus ensuring that the integrity of the site is maintained and the site makes and appropriate contribution to achieving favourable conservation status for each of the qualifying features; and

To ensure for the qualifying species that the following are maintained in the long term:

- Population of the species as a viable component of the site.
- Distribution of the species within site.
- Distribution and extent of habitats supporting the species.
- Structure, function and supporting processes of habitats supporting the species.
- No significant disturbance of the species.

Qualifying Species:

- Common seal.
- Otter.

Regulation 33(2), of *The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended)*, gives Scottish Natural Heritage a statutory responsibility to advise other relevant authorities as to the conservation objectives for marine SACs in Scotland, and any operations which may cause deterioration of natural habitats or the habitats of species, or disturbance of species for which the site has been designated.

Site Condition:

Scottish Natu	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) site condition:								
Visit Date	Feature Description	Feature Category	Condition	Likely significant effect (Sensitivity)					
10/08/2001	Shifting	Supralittoral	Favourable	Changes in dune dynamics					
	dunes	sediment	Maintained	due to construction					
		(Coast)		methods.					
		. ,							
10/08/2001	Dune grassland	Supralittoral sediment	Unfavourable No change	Changes in dune dynamics due to construction methods and recreational					
		(Coast)		activities					
10/08/2001	Glasswort	Littoral	Favourable	Destruction and					
	and other	sediment	Maintained	disturbance of habitat due					
	annuals	(Coast)		to construction methods					

	ural Heritage (Sl	NH) site conditi Feature	on: Condition	Likoly significant offert
Visit Date	Feature Description	Category	Condition	Likely significant effect (Sensitivity)
	colonising mud and sand			
10/08/2001	Atlantic salt meadows	Littoral sediment (Coast)	Favourable Maintained	Destruction and disturbance of habitat due to construction methods
10/08/2001	Humid dune slacks	Supralittoral sediment (Coast)	Favourable Maintained	Changes in dune dynamics due to construction methods and recreational activities
10/08/2001	Coastal dune heathland	Supralittoral sediment (Coast)	Unfavourable No change	Changes in dune dynamics due to construction methods and recreational activities
10/08/2001	Lime- deficient dune heathland with crowberry	Supralittoral sediment (Coast)	Unfavourable No change	Destruction and disturbance of habitat due to construction methods
10/08/2001	Shifting dunes with marram	Supralittoral sediment (Coast)	Favourable Maintained	Changes in dune dynamics due to construction methods and recreational activities
06/08/2004	Otter (Lutra lutra)	Mammals	Favourable Maintained	Loss of water, riparian and/or wetland habitats.
				Direct disturbance of habitats.
				Disturbance from construction.
13/08/2004	Common seal (Phoca vitulina – Likely along	Mammals (Marine)	Unfavourable Recovering	Direct disturbance of habitats – Close to water- based recreation.
	shore and coastal			Grosse pollution
	areas)			Disturbance from construction.
				Pollution and disturbance to food source – Water quality.
10/11/2004	Dunes with juniper thickets	Supralittoral sediment (Coast)	Unfavourable No Change	As other dune habitats. Direct impacts from development. Indirect impacts from increased recreational pressure,

Visit Date	ural Heritage (S Feature	Feature	Condition	Likely significant affect
visit Date	Description	Category	Condition	Likely significant effect (Sensitivity)
				coastal erosion.
09/09/1996	Intertidal mudflats and sandflats	Littoral sediment (Marine)	Favourable Maintained	Direct impacts from disturbance of sediment from recreation or works in the intertidal zone or pollution through changes in the water quality. Indirect impacts as a result of changes in sediment movement from coastal development, climate change, sea defences, dredging, dumping at sea. Also large scale engineering works in the catchment which might result in large scale deposition downstream.
09/09/1996	Reefs	Inshore sublittoral rock (Marine)	Favourable Maintained	As Intertidal mudlats and sandflats above.
09/09/1996	Subtidal sandbanks	Inshore sublittoral sediment (Marine)	Favourable Maintained	As Intertidal mudlats and sandflats above.

LOCAL PLAN PROPOSALS

BONAR BRIDGE

Location in relation to Dornoch Firth and Morrich More SAC

The Settlement Development Area which includes the Site Allocations is located close to the SAC and part lies immediately adjacent to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Open Space sites identified as maintaining and protecting the existing value of the land.
- Disturbance to otters and their habitats.

Settlement	Plan \	/ersion	Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Bonar Bridge SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to reduce SDA boundary to exclude Site H1 previously allocated for housing use. This reduces the risk of significant adverse impacts on the adjacent SAC site.
H1 – Swordale	Yes	No	Decision was taken to de-allocate site, partly to reduce the risk of impact on the adjacent SAC site. A small part of the original site area remains within the SDA.
MU1 – Cherry Grove	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain proposal.
LT1 – South of Cherry Grove	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain proposal.
OS – Opposite school	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain proposal.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).
- Open Space areas are safeguarded through policy (9.6).
- SDA development factor setting out circumstances in which otter surveys will be required (9.8).

Residual Impacts

SOUTH BONAR INDUSTRIAL ESTATE

Location in relation to Dornoch Firth and Morrich More SAC

The SDA which includes the Site Allocation lies close to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Contamination from waste water in event of flooding.
- Disturbance to otters and their habitats.

Settlement	Plan Version		Actions Taken between Plan Drafts	
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?		
South Bonar Industrial Estate SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to reduce the extent of the SDA to the south. No adverse effects on site integrity as a result of this decision.	
I1 – South Bonar Industrial Estate	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to reduce the extent of the site to the south. Requirement clarified that flood risk assessment to be submitted with any planning application.	

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- SDA development factor to refer to the need for the design of waste water arrangements in development proposals to have regard to the risk of, and seek to avoid, flooding leading to contamination of the Natura site (9.4).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).
- SDA development factor setting out circumstances in which otter surveys will be required (9.8).

Residual Impacts

ARDGAY

Location in relation to Dornoch Firth and Morrich More SAC

The Settlement Development Area which includes the Site Allocations is located close to the SAC and part lies immediately adjacent to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Disturbance to otters and their habitats.

Settlement	Plan \	/ersion	Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Ardgay SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to reduce SDA boundary to exclude land previously allocated H1 South of Oakwood Place and to exclude the deallocated part of site H2 Adjacent to primary school and north of Church Street.
H1 – South of Oakwood Place	Yes	No	Decision was taken to deallocate the site.
H2 – Adjacent to primary school and north of Church Street	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation but reduce its extent to the east.
LT1 – North of Manse Road	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain site but bring forward now as new housing allocation H1.
B1 - Ardgay railway station yard north	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation but reduce the boundary of the allocated site.
B2 - Ardgay railway station yard south	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).
- SDA development factor setting out circumstances in which otter surveys will be required (9.8).

Residual Impacts

EDDERTON

Location in relation to Dornoch Firth and Morrich More SAC

The Settlement Development Area which includes the Site Allocations is located close to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Disturbance to otters and their habitats.

Settlement	Plan Version		Actions Taken between Plan Drafts	
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?		
Edderton SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain SDA with minor enlargement.	
H1 – West of Station Road	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain site allocation.	
MU1 – Adjacent Glebe Cottage	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain site allocation.	

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).
- SDA development factor setting out circumstances in which otter surveys will be required (9.8).

Residual Impacts

DORNOCH

Location in relation to Dornoch Firth and Morrich More SAC

The Settlement Development Area which includes the Site Allocations is located close to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Open Space sites identified as maintaining and protecting the existing value of the land.
- Pressure on sand dunes from recreational activities.
- Disturbance to otters and their habitats.

Settlement	Plan V	/ersion	Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development	Deposit	Deposit	
Area and Site	Draft	Draft	
Allocations	2007?	2008?	
Dornoch SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to amend SDA to remove flood risk area to the south of settlement, reducing risk of potential flooding and contamination issues; also to adjust the boundary to the north.
H1 – Bishopsfield	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation and extend it to the west.
H2 – Earl's Cross	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
H3 – Sutherland Road	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
H4 – Meadows Park Road	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
MU1 – Dornoch North	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation with some adjustments to its boundary.
LT – Dornoch North Expansion	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain the long term site with some adjustments to its boundary.
C1 – Academy fields	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain site but redesignate as Open Space (OS – Academy fields).
OS – Meadows Park	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain site but reallocate for community uses (C1 – Meadows Park).
OS - Station Wood and Earl's Cross	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
B1 – Dornoch Business Park	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation but reduce extent to remove flood risk area, reducing risk of potential flooding and contamination issues.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).
- Open Space areas are safeguarded through policy (9.6).
- SDA development factor requiring recreational management plans to accompany proposals on sites allocated for development, covering potential effects arising on sandbanks (9.7).
- SDA development factor setting out circumstances in which otter surveys will be required

(9.8).

Residual Impacts

Appropriate Assessment on Special Area of Conservation (SAC): Morav Firth

ABOUT THE SAC

Description of Natura Site and Location:

The Moray Firth in north-east Scotland supports the only known resident population of **bottlenose dolphin** *Tursiops truncatus* in the North Sea. The population is estimated to be around 130 individuals (Wilson *et al.* 1999). Dolphins are present all year round, and, while they range widely in the Moray Firth, they appear to favour particular areas.

(Ref: http://www.jncc.gov.uk/protectedsites/sacselection/sac.asp?EUCode=UK0019808)

Conservation Objectives and Qualifying Interest (Species/ Habitats):

To avoid deterioration of the qualifying habitats (listed below) thus ensuring that the integrity of the site is maintained and the site makes an appropriate contribution to achieving favourable conservation status for each of the qualifying features; and

To ensure for the qualifying habitats that the following are maintained in the long term:

- Extent of the habitat on site.
- Distribution of the habitat within site.
- Structure and function of the habitat.
- Processes supporting the habitat.
- Distribution of typical species of the habitat.
- Viability of typical species as components of the habitat.
- No significant disturbance of typical species of the habitat.

Qualifying Habitats:

Sub-tidal sandbanks.

To avoid deterioration of the habitats of the qualifying species (listed below) or significant disturbance to the qualifying species, thus ensuring that the integrity of the site is maintained and the site makes and appropriate contribution to achieving favourable conservation status for each of the qualifying features; and

To ensure for the qualifying species that the following are maintained in the long term:

- Population of the species as a viable component of the site.
- Distribution of the species within site.
- Distribution and extent of habitats supporting the species.
- Structure, function and supporting processes of habitats supporting the species.
- No significant disturbance of the species.

Qualifying Species:

Bottlenose dolphin

The site overlaps with Cromarty Firth, and Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet SPAs.

Regulation 33(2), of *the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended)*, gives Scottish Natural Heritage a statutory responsibility to advise other relevant authorities as to the conservation objectives for marine SACs in Scotland, and any operations which may

cause deterioration of natural habitats or the habitats of species, or disturbance of species for which the site has been designated.

After consulting "The Moray Firth candidate Special Area of Conservation Management Scheme" file the following likely significant impacts were decided.

Site Condition:

Scottish Natu	ıral Heritage (SI	NH) site conditi	on:	
Visit Date	Feature Description	Feature Category	Condition	Likely significant effect (Sensitivity)
12/08/2004	Subtidal sandbanks	Inshore sublittoral sediment (Marine)	Favourable Maintained	Disturbance to habitat through construction methods.
31/03/2005	Bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus)	Mammals (Marine)	Unfavourable Recovering	Direct disturbance of habitats within SAC. Direct loss of open water, reparion and/or wetland habitats in the catchment. Disturbance to qualifying interests from construction, access and recreation, including water based, and domestic pets. Abstraction from river system resulting in lower flows.

LOCAL PLAN PROPOSALS

EDDERTON

Location in relation to *Moray Firth* **SAC** The SDA lies close to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.

Settlement	Plan \	/ersion	Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Edderton SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain SDA and make slight extension.

NB. On consideration of the likely significant effects (sensitivities), not all allocations in this settlement have required to be included in this appropriate assessment.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).

Residual Impacts

DORNOCH

Location in relation to Moray Firth SAC

The SDA which contains the Site Allocations lies close to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.

Plan Version		Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to amend SDA to remove flood risk area to the south of settlement. Reducing risk of potential flooding and contamination issues.
Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation and text amended to state "flood risk assessment will be required". Assessment will reduce the risk of flooding and water contamination.
Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation but amend boundary to remove flood risk area and removal from SDA. Reducing risk of potential flooding and contamination issues
	Deposit Draft 2007? Yes Yes	Deposit Draft 2007?Deposit Draft 2008?YesYesYesYes

NB. On consideration of the likely significant effects (sensitivities), not all allocations in this settlement have required to be included in this appropriate assessment.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).

Residual Impacts

EMBO

Location in relation to Moray Firth SAC

The SDA which contains the Site Allocations lies adjacent to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Open Space sites identified as maintaining and protecting the existing value of the land.

Settlement	Plan \	/ersion	Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Embo SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to extend SDA boundary to include caravan park at Grannies Heilan Hame and football field.
B1 - Holiday Park	No	Yes	Decision was taken to introduce as new allocation.
OS – Football Field	No	Yes	Decision was taken to introduce as new allocation.
			cant effects (sensitivities), not all allocations in this in this appropriate assessment.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).
- Open Space areas are safeguarded through policy (9.6).

Residual Impacts

GOLSPIE

Location in relation to Moray Firth SAC

The SDA which contains the Site Allocations lies adjacent to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Open Space sites identified as maintaining and protecting the existing value of the land.

Settlement	Plan V	/ersion	Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Golspie SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to amend SDA to exclude MU3 allocation to west of settlement and include Open Space to south of settlement.
OS - various	No	Yes	Decision was taken to introduce as new allocations.

NB. On consideration of the likely significant effects (sensitivities), not all allocations in this settlement have required to be included in this appropriate assessment.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).
- Open Space areas are safeguarded through policy (9.6).

Residual Impacts

BRORA

Location in relation to Moray Firth SAC

The SDA which contains the Site Allocations lies close to the SAC and part is immediately adjacent the SAC (and contained part of SAC until SDA changed to exclude SAC).

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.

Settlement	Plan \	/ersion	Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Brora SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to reduce the SDA and omit Inverbrora SSSI and The Moray Firth SSSI and SAC site from SDA to reduce the risk of adverse impacts.
H4 – Rosslyn Street/ former Mackay's yard	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation but split site and allow for new Mixed Use allocation on eastern part, being MU4 – Former Mackay's Garage.
MU1 – Former radio station	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
MU2 – Scotia House	No	Yes	Decision was taken to introduce as a new allocation.
MU3 – Carrol House	No	Yes	Decision was taken to introduce as a new allocation.

NB. On consideration of the likely significant effects (sensitivities), not all allocations in this settlement have required to be included in this appropriate assessment.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).

Residual Impacts

HELMSDALE

Location in relation to Moray Firth SAC

The SDA contains the Site Allocations and lies immediately adjacent to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Open Space sites identified as maintaining and protecting the existing value of the land.

Settlement	Plan Version		Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Helmsdale SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to extend SDA to allow extension of I1 allocation.
OS – Adjacent river	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation; this allocation and existing policy safeguards the existing value of the land
MU1 – Shore Street	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation; add to text requirement to assess flood risks to reduce the risk of water contamination.

NB. On consideration of the likely significant effects (sensitivities), not all allocations in this settlement have required to be included in this appropriate assessment.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).
- Open Space areas are safeguarded through policy (9.6).

Residual Impacts

<u>Appropriate Assessment on Special Area of Conservation (SAC):</u> River Evelix

ABOUT THE SAC

Description of Natura Site and Location:

The Evelix is the only remaining small East Coast River in Scotland that supports a surviving functional **freshwater pearl mussel** *Margaritifera margaritifera* population, particularly within the upper reaches of the river. Mussels have also occurred in the lower stretches of the river, but in lower numbers than upstream and with fewer juveniles were present. Pearl-fishing is thought to be the principal reason for the decreased numbers in the lower stretches, but the presence of juveniles in the upper sections indicates that despite losses, the population is successfully recruiting.

(Ref: http://www.jncc.gov.uk/protectedsites/sacselection/sac.asp?EUCode=UK0030254)

Conservation Objectives and Qualifying Interest (Species/ Habitats):

Conservation Objectives

To avoid deterioration of the habitats of the qualifying species (listed below) or significant disturbance to the qualifying species, thus ensuring that the integrity of the site is maintained and the site makes and appropriate contribution to achieving favourable conservation status for each of the qualifying features; and

To ensure for the qualifying species that the following are maintained in the long term:

- Population of the species as a viable component of the site.
- Distribution of the species within site.
- Distribution and extent of habitats supporting the species.
- Structure, function and supporting processes of habitats supporting the species.
- No significant disturbance of the species.

Qualifying Species:

• Freshwater pearl mussel.

Site Condition:

Scottish Natu	ural Heritage (SI	NH) site conditi	on:	
Visit Date	Feature Description	Feature Category	Condition	Likely significant effect (Sensitivity)
27/03/2008	Freshwater pearl mussel (Margaritifera margaritifera)	Other invertebrates	Unfavourable No change	Direct disturbance of habitats. Disturbance from construction, access and recreation. Increased siltation of watercourses especially during construction. Discharges/changes in water quality. Abstraction from river system resulting in lower flows.

LOCAL PLAN PROPOSALS

EVELIX

Location in relation to *River Evelix SAC* SDA lies in close proximity to SAC site.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.

Settlement	Plan Version		Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Evelix SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain SDA.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).

Residual Impacts

<u>Appropriate Assessment on Special Area of Conservation (SAC):</u> River Oykel

ABOUT THE SAC

Description of Natura Site and Location:

The Oykel is a long, meandering river in the northern Highlands of Scotland that flows into the Kyle of Sutherland on the east coast. The river supports an excellent, high-quality **freshwater pearl mussel** *Margaritifera margaritifera* population with high densities recorded at some locations, including a bed numbering several thousand individuals. Surveys have also recorded high percentages of juveniles within the population, indicating that there has been recent successful recruitment. There is also evidence of unsurveyed pearl mussel populations in deep water that may increase the conservation importance of the river.

(Ref: http://www.jncc.gov.uk/protectedsites/sacselection/sac.asp?EUCode=UK0030261)

Conservation Objectives and Qualifying Interest (Species/ Habitats):

Conservation Objectives

To avoid deterioration of the habitats of the qualifying species (listed below) or significant disturbance to the qualifying species, thus ensuring that the integrity of the site is maintained and the site makes and appropriate contribution to achieving favourable conservation status for each of the qualifying features; and

To ensure for the qualifying species that the following are maintained in the long term:

- Population of the species, including range of genetic types of salmon, as a viable component of the site.
- Distribution of the species within site.
- Distribution and extent of habitats supporting the species.
- Structure, function and supporting processes of habitats supporting the species.
- No significant disturbance of the species.
- Distribution and viability of freshwater pearl mussel host species.
- Structure, function and supporting processes of habitats supporting freshwater pearl mussel host species.

Qualifying Species:

- Atlantic Salmon
- Freshwater pearl mussel.

The site overlaps with Inverpolly, Loch Urigill and nearby Lochs (SPA).

Site Condition:

Scottish Natu	ural Heritage (SI	NH) site conditi	on:	
Visit Date	Feature	Feature	Condition	Likely significant effect
	Description	Category		(Sensitivity)
20/07/2003	Freshwater pearl mussel (Margaritifera	Other invertebrates	Unfavourable Recovering	Direct disturbance of habitats.
4.4/00/0004	margaritifera)			Loss of water, riparian and/or wetland habitats.
14/08/2004	Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar)	Fish	Unfavourable Recovering	Disturbance from construction.
				Increased siltation of watercourses especially during construction of developments.
				Discharges/Changes to water quality.
				Abstraction from river system resulting in lower flows.

LOCAL PLAN PROPOSALS

ROSEHALL

Location in relation to *River Oykel SAC* SDA lies immediately adjacent to SAC site.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.

Settlement	Plan \	/ersion	Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Rosehall SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to amend SDA at North East of village to exclude the area of land between the River and the A837. No adverse effects on site integrity as a result of this decision.
H1 – Rear of the post office	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
H2 – Opposite the post office	Yes	No	Decision was taken to de-allocate due to a number of reasons including; the boundary of the site lying immediately adjacent the SAC site and the possible water contamination development may have caused. No adverse effects on site integrity as a result of this decision.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).

Residual Impacts

LAIRG

Location in relation to River Oykel SAC

The SDA which contains the Site Allocations lies adjacent Little Loch Shin which flows via the River Shin into the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.

Settlement	Plan Version		Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Lairg SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to extend SDA and include new allocations.
H1 – South West of Main Street	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
H2 – North of Manse Road	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
H3 – East of Manse Road	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
H4 – Ord Place	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
LT1 – North of Milnclarin	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain long term site.
LT2 – North-west of Lochside	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain long term site.
B1 – Former laundry	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
B2 – West of Church Hill Road	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
MU1 – Former Hotel/Outbuildings.	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
OS – Near Ferrycroft Centre	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain allocation.
H5 – Opposite the Fire Station	No	Yes	Decision was taken to include new allocation.
B3 – South-west of Ord Place	No	Yes	Decision was taken to include new allocation.
C1 – North-west of Ferrycroft	No	Yes	Decision was taken to include new allocation.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

• SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).

• Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).

Residual Impacts

CULRAIN

Location in relation to *River Oykel SAC* The SDA lies close to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.

Settlement	Plan Version		Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Culrain SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain SDA.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

• SDA development factor as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1).

• Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).

Residual Impacts

INVERSHIN

Location in relation to River Oykel SAC

The SDA which contains the Site Allocation lies immediately adjacent to the SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Contamination from waste water in event of flooding.

Settlement Plan Version		/ersion	Actions Taken between Plan Drafts	
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?		
Invershin SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to enlarge SDA to North but retain allocation H1 within SDA.	
Invershin H1 – Former Balblair workings	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to Retain allocation H1 but enlarge allocation to South East with no additional units. Requirement clarified that flood risk assessment to be submitted with any planning application. Requirement for housing to be set back from the river.	

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- SDA development factor to refer to the need for the design of waste water arrangements in development proposals to have regard to the risk of, and seek to avoid, flooding leading to contamination of the Natura site (9.4).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).

Residual Impacts

BONAR BRIDGE

Location in relation to River Oykel SAC

The SDA which contains the Site Allocations lies adjacent the SAC where the SAC flows into the Dornoch Firth and Morrich More SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.

Settlement	Plan Version		Actions Taken between Plan Drafts	
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?		
Bonar Bridge SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to reduce SDA boundary to exclude Site H1 previously allocated for housing use. This reduces the risk of significant adverse impacts on the adjacent SAC site.	
H1 – Swordale	Yes	No	Decision was taken to de-allocate site, partly to reduce the risk of impact on the adjacent SAC site. A small part of the original site area remains within the SDA.	
MU1 – Cherry Grove	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain proposal.	
LT1 – South of Cherry Grove	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain proposal.	
OS – Opposite school	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to retain proposal.	

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

• SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).

• Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).

Residual Impacts

SOUTH BONAR INDUSTRIAL ESTATE

Location in relation to River Oykel SAC

The SDA which contains the Site Allocations lies close to the SAC where the SAC flows into the Dornoch Firth and Morrich More SAC.

Potential Impacts

- Development may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.
- Contamination from waste water in event of flooding.

Settlement	Plan Version		Actions Taken between Plan Drafts	
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?		
South Bonar Industrial Estate SDA	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to reduce the extent of the SDA to the south. No adverse effects on site integrity as a result of this decision.	
I1 – South Bonar Industrial Estate	Yes	Yes	Decision was taken to reduce the extent of the site to the south. Requirement clarified that flood risk assessment to be submitted with any planning application.	

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- SDA development factor to refer to the need for the design of waste water arrangements in development proposals to have regard to the risk of, and seek to avoid, flooding leading to contamination of the Natura site (9.4).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).

Residual Impacts

Appropriate Assessment on Special Area of Conservation (SAC):

Durness

ABOUT THE SAC

Description of Natura Site and Location:

Durness contains one of the largest sand dune systems in the north of Scotland. It is an example of an extreme northern variant of **fixed dunes with herbaceous vegetation**. The site is maintained by very active physical and biological processes. Fixed dune vegetation at this site occurs on an extensive and diverse sequence of dunes and on soils covered with blown sand. A rich variety of calcareous dune grassland species grow here in association with arctic-alpine plants such as mountain avens *Dryas octopetala*.

Durness contains a cluster of three marl lochs (Croispol, Borralie and Caladail) on Dalradian Durness limestone in the extreme north-west of Scotland. These are the northernmost examples of marl lakes in the UK and one of the few high-quality occurrences of the habitat type in Scotland. The water is very clear, low in nutrients and with little phytoplankton production. There are extensive growths of submerged macrophytes, including seven species of pondweed *Potamogeton* and three species of stonewort *Chara*. In addition, Borralie is the only marl lake in the UK with a population of Arctic charr *Salvelinus alpinus*.

Durness is one of four sites representing the low-altitude **Alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands** that are restricted to the north-west mainland of Scotland. Durness contains the largest stands of CG13 *Dryas octopetala* – *Carex flacca* heath in the UK, developed on dolomitic limestone at 0–60 m. The site has an outstanding representation of characteristic species, including wild thyme *Thymus polytrichus*, ribwort plantain *Plantago lanceolata*, sea plantain *Plantago maritima*, purging flax *Linum catharticum* and common bird's-foot-trefoil *Lotus corniculatus*. The endemic Scottish primrose *Primula scotica* is present, and other uncommon species include mountain everlasting *Antennaria dioica*, hair sedge *Carex capillaris*, lesser meadow-rue *Thalictrum minus*, frog orchid *Coeloglossum viride* and hart'stongue *Phyllitis scolopendrium*. Locally, the calcifuge species crowberry *Empetrum nigrum* occurs, giving rise to an unusual sub-type of *Dryas* heath. There are transitions to a wide range of other communities, including coastal dunes, other types of base-rich grasslands, and a range of dwarf-shrub heaths.

This site on Cambro–Ordovician Durness limestone is the most north-westerly occurrence of **Limestone pavements** in the UK. It is one of five sites representing the northern variant of this habitat type. Because of its location it supports a diverse flora rich in northern and arcticalpine species. The site supports communities with a maritime element, similar to those on Strath, with burnet rose *Rosa pimpinellifolia*, stone bramble *Rubus saxatilis*, mountain avens *Dryas octopetala*, dark-red helleborine *Epipactis atrorubens* and black spleenwort *Asplenium adiantum-nigrum*. Both lesser meadow-rue *Thalictrum minus* and alpine meadow-rue *Thalictrum alpinum* occur here. Further inland, brittle bladder-fern *Cystopteris fragilis* and hard shield-fern *Polystichum aculeatum* flourish.

(Ref: http://www.jncc.gov.uk/ProtectedSites/SACselection/sac.asp?EUCode=UK0012786)

Conservation Objectives and Qualifying Interest (Species/ Habitats):

Conservation Objectives

To avoid deterioration of the qualifying habitats (listed below) thus ensuring that the integrity of the site is maintained and the site makes an appropriate contribution to achieving favourable conservation status for each of the qualifying features; and

To ensure for the qualifying habitats that the following are maintained in the long term:

• Extent of the habitat on site.

- Distribution of the habitat within site.
- Structure and function of the habitat.
- Processes supporting the habitat.
- Distribution of typical species of the habitat.
- Viability of typical species as components of the habitat.
- No significant disturbance of typical species of the habitat.

Qualifying Habitats:

- Alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands.
- Base-rich fens.
- Calcium-rich nutrient-poor lakes, lochs and pools.
- Dry heaths.
- Dune grassland.
- Humid dune slacks.
- Limestone pavements.
- Shifting dunes with marram.
- Tall herb communities.
- Wet heathland with cross-leaved heath.

To avoid deterioration of the habitats of the qualifying species (listed below) or significant disturbance to the qualifying species, thus ensuring that the integrity of the site is maintained and the site makes an appropriate contribution to achieving favourable conservation status for each of the qualifying features; and

To ensure for the qualifying species that the following are maintained in the long term:

- Population of the species as a viable component of the site.
- Distribution of the species within site.
- Distribution and extent of habitats supporting the species.
- Structure, function and supporting processes of habitats supporting the species.
- No significant disturbance of the species.

Qualifying Species:

• Otter.

Site Condition:

Scottish Natu	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) site condition:					
Visit Date	Feature Description	Feature Category	Condition	Likely significant effect (Sensitivity)		
30/09/2004	Alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands	Montane habitats	Unfavourable No change	Direct impact from development which could result in the loss of habitat and/or species through construction including the provision of services.		
30/09/2004	Base-rich fens	Fen, marsh and swamp (Upland)	Unfavourable No change	As Alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands above. Additionally, indirect impacts from disruption to, or changes, in ground water movements. Also, enrichment or pollution, particularly of the		

	ural Heritage (S			
Visit Date	Feature Description	Feature Category	Condition	Likely significant effect (Sensitivity)
	•			groundwater which could include discharges.
29/07/2004	Calcium-rich nutrient-poor lakes, lochs and pools	Standing open water and canals	Favourable Maintained	Discharges / water quality including surface water run-off. Significant increase in the water supply beyond licenced levels which currently comes from one of the SAC lochs.
30/09/2004	Dry heaths	Dwarf shrub heath (Upland)	Unfavourable No change	As Alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands above.
30/09/2004	Dune grassland	Supralittoral sediment (Coast)	Unfavourable No change	As Alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands above. Additionally, indirect impacts as a result of changes in sediment movement from coastal development, climate change and increased recreational pressure.
30/09/2004	Humid dune slacks	Supralittoral sediment (Coast)	Unfavourable No change	As Dune grassland above.
30/09/2004	Limestone pavements	Inland rock	Unfavourable Declining	As Alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands above.
30/10/2004	Otter (Lutra lutra)	Mammals	Favourable Maintained	Direct impact from development through construction including the provision of services. Disturbance from development and recreational pressure. Impacts on water quality from pollution and discharges which could affect food supply.
30/09/2004	Shifting dunes with marram	Supralittoral sediment (Coast)	Favourable Maintained	As <i>Dune grassland</i> above.
30/09/2004	Tall herb communities	Inland rock	Favourable Maintained	As Alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands above.
30/09/2004	Wet heathland with cross- leaved heath	Dwarf shrub heath (Upland)	Unfavourable No change	As Alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands above.

LOCAL PLAN PROPOSALS

DURNESS

Location in relation to Durness SAC

The SDA which contains the Site Allocations lies close to the SAC and part is immediately adjacent the SAC (and contained part of the SAC until SDA was changed to exclude SAC).

Potential Impacts

- Development within may result in the loss of habitats and/or species due to the creation of additional noise, pollution and disturbance through construction and provision of any additional services and facilities required.
- Open Space sites identified as maintaining and protecting the existing value of the land.
- Discharge from new developments could have a significant impact on water quality.

Settlement Plan Versi		/ersion	Actions Taken between Plan Drafts
Development Area and Site Allocations	Deposit Draft 2007?	Deposit Draft 2008?	
Durness SDA	Yes	Yes	SDA reduced to exclude the SAC and enlarged to include additional land in MU1.
H1 - School Road	Yes	Yes	Decision taken to retain site.
MU1 - Adjacent to the war memorial	Yes	Yes	Decision taken to retain site. Allocation MU1 expanded to include land formerly identified as MU2 and additional land. Now MU1 - Adjacent to the shop and across road adjacent to the War Memorial.
MU2 - Adjacent to the village shop	Yes	Yes	Site enlarged to east and incorporated into MU1 – Adjacent to the shop and across road adjacent to the War Memorial.
OS – School	Yes	Yes	Decision taken to retain site.
OS – East of school	Yes	Yes	Decision taken to retain site.

NB. On consideration of the likely significant effects (sensitivities), not all allocations in this settlement have required to be included in this appropriate assessment.

Mitigation (See Mitigation Measures, page 28)

- SDA development factor and/or Site Allocation developer requirements as required, for waste water arrangements that accord with Policy 7 and are designed to mitigate likely significant effects on Natura sites (9.1/9.2).
- Apply Local Plan's General Policies to ensure consideration of and strongly protect Natura site interests (9.5).
- Open Space areas are safeguarded through policy (9.6).
- SDA development factor setting out circumstances in which otter surveys will be required (9.8).

Residual Impacts