The Highland Council	Agenda Item	12
Resources Committee – 28 May 2014	Report No	RES/10/14

Internal Audit Reports

Report by Director of Finance

Summary

This report informs Members as to the objectives and findings of four Audit Reports presented to the Audit and Scrutiny Committee on 27 March 2014, and updates them on the good progress against each of the resulting Action Plans.

1. Background

- 1.1 Reports are as follows:-
 - Access and Authorisation Controls (Pecos and Accounts payable)
 - Travel and Subsistence Travel Desk arrangements
 - Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit Payments
 - Debtors
- 1.2 The Management Summaries and relevant Action Plans for each of the Audit Reports are set out in the four Appendices to this Report.
- 1.3 All Reports, together with the Action Plans, have been considered by Audit and Scrutiny Committee at its meeting on 27 March 2014, and are presented now to the Resources Committee for their interest.

2. Report 1: Access and Authorisation Controls

- 2.1 The overall audit opinion is that there is Substantial Assurance that there is generally a sound system, although 6 recommendations have been made, all of Medium Priority.
- 2.2 All recommendations have been accepted by management and the three due to be completed by this time, have been.

3. Report 2: Travel & Subsistence – Travel Desk Arrangements

3.1 The overall opinion is that there is again Substantial Assurance that there is a sound system, although 6 recommendations have been made, 5 at Medium Priority and 1 at Low Priority.

3.2 Again all recommendations have been accepted by management and all due to be completed, have been with the exception that the review of Travel and Subsistence Policy is ongoing.

4. Report 3: Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit Payments

- 4.1 Once more there is Substantial Assurance that there is a sound system. 4 recommendations have been made, 1 at high priority, 2 at medium priority and 1 at low priority.
- 4.2 All recommendations have been accepted by management, with all high and medium actions completed.

5. Report 4: Debtors

- 5.1 The Systems Audit of Debtors has concluded that there is Reasonable Assurance that the system is broadly reliable, with no High Priority recommendations being made. There were however 4 Medium and 3 Low Priority recommendations.
- 5.2 Management have accepted all recommendations and all have been implemented.

6. Implications

6.1 There are no Legal, Equalities, Climate Change/Carbon Clever, Risk or Gaelic implications arising from this Report.

Recommendation

Members are invited to note the findings of the Audit Reports presented to the Audit and Scrutiny Committee on 27 March 2014 and the actions being taken to implement the recommendations.

Designation: Director of Finance

Date: 13 May 2014

Author: WDD Lamont, Head of Exchequer & Revenues

Background Papers: None

AUDIT REPORT SUMMARY

Report Title

Finance Service - Access and Authorisation Controls (Pecos and Accounts Payable)

Report No.	Type of Audit		Issue Date
HK07/012	Computer	Draft Report	12/12/13
		Final Report	19/02/14

1. Introduction

- 1.1 This final report records the findings of a computer audit review on access and authorisation controls across two financial systems: -
 - Pecos, for ordering goods and services, which has an interface to the Corporate Financial System (CFS) to record payments in the ledger
 - Accounts Payable (CFS-AP) which records payments.
- 1.2 Both systems have their own system access control policies and different user access groups and authorisers. Therefore, this review compared access and authorisation controls across the two systems, to confirm the guidance in the Council's Financial Regulations is consistently applied.
- 1.3 Both Pecos and CFS systems have automated workflow processes for approval and authorisation stages using email. Therefore, the review includes the controls on email accounts.
- 1.4 Pecos is the Council's web-based solution for ordering goods and services using agreed contracts with suppliers; the current version 13 is provided with Scottish Government support through a third party. CFS is an Oracle database solution version 11i; there is a planned target date for replacing this by March 2015 with a new Financial Information System.
- 1.5 The audit was undertaken as part of the annual audit plan.

2. Review Objectives

The objectives of the review were to ensure that:

- 2.1 Appropriate corporate and systems-specific policies are in place which guide users and define the levels of access controls
- 2.2 Access control procedures are in accordance with the requirements of IT Security Standard ISO27002 guidance on access controls and the Council's Financial Regulations. The procedures in place ensure that access to information and information processing is controlled on the basis of business and security requirements
- 2.3 Authorisation controls ensure that transactions are only approved by officers who have been granted authorisation rights
- 2.4 An appropriate audit trail is retained that records authorisations as required.

3. Main Findings

The main findings of the review, referenced to the above review objectives, are as follows:

- 3.1 The first objective was substantially achieved in all but one of the areas reviewed. Good practice areas are identified as follows:
 - o There are access control policies in place for both financial systems reviewed
 - Access controls are documented at a higher level in the corporate ICT User and Network Access Control Policy dated 2013 for management of HC network and email accounts in compliance to ISO27002 guidance
 - o Guidance for users is also available at corporate level in the high level ICT Acceptable Use Policy. This includes reference to the use of delegated authority for management to access email accounts in specific circumstances at the lower 'read only' delegate access

for business continuity purposes.

One area was identified for improvement.

- The guidance for users for delegating access to their mailbox in the ICT Portal does not include detail of security and risk implications for the different levels of delegated access permission levels; for example from the lower 'Reviewer' level with limited permissions to high level 'Editor' permissions. Access provided at the highest permission level presents the highest risk and may not comply with the minimum need rule in the ISO27002.
- 3.2 The second objective was partially achieved. Areas of good practice are as follows:
 - o Procedures for managing user accounts for Pecos and CFS are in place with separated access levels for Input Clerk and Budget Holder (BH) approver for both systems
 - The corporate ICT User Management Guide dated 2013 provides guidance on management of users' email accounts and network folders
 - Processes for approving requests to set up users for Pecos and CFS financial systems have been aligned so that approval of access in both systems requires authorisation by a Service Finance Manager

Three areas were identified for improvement of which one had corrective action underway during the audit review period:

- The review found a higher number of BH approvers in CFS-AP than in Pecos across a sample period. This inconsistency in approach for providing Budget Holder approver across the two systems was under review for re-alignment during the audit.
- o It was identified in an earlier Internal Audit Report on the General Ledger dated March 2012 that no formal process for the regular review of users' access rights by management was in place. The review found that five users had both Input Clerk and Budget Holder responsibilities with unrestricted access to all ledger codes. Six users with super-user access also had input clerk access rights which did not comply with the separation of duties rule in the Financial Regulations. As a consequence, this dual access could allow system controls to be by-passed. In view of this, it was agreed that the details of all users with live access to CFS would be distributed to all Service Finance Managers for verification. This was actioned by 31st March 2012 and was to be undertaken annually thereafter. However, this current audit review established that the agreed check had not been performed for the year ended 31st March 2013. There is therefore a risk of potential non-compliance of users' access to the segregation of duties control being undetected during this period. To compensate for this, it was reported that a review was underway in the second quarter of 2013-14
- Managing network and email user account changes (for example, movers and leavers) is a three stage process, completed by Line Managers in Services, Business Support Administration Teams and Fujitsu Services. If accounts are not disabled timeously, there is a risk of potential unauthorised access to email accounts for tasks including authorising financial transactions. A test on the time taken to close a sample of five users' accounts or delegate their business email to a Line Manager once they had left found that closure time varied between immediate closure on same day as the request to 25 working days after. No monitoring of accounts closure times was found to confirm changes are processed in reasonable time in line with ISO27002 good practice.
- 3.3 The third objective was substantially achieved. Areas of good practice are as follows:
 - o For both systems, there are primary and secondary approvers so that if the primary approver is unable to authorise a transaction within a specified period, the request to approve is transferred to a secondary approver to complete
 - Workflow systems are automated in both Pecos and CFS-AP with email alerts to assist in the completion of input and authorisation process of requisitions, orders and to ensure payment of invoices
 - The latest release of Pecos v13 allows a System Administrator to act upon a specific request from an alternative approver, or a Service Finance Team, to manually reassign a requisition or invoice to an alternative approver in a timely manner
 - CFS-AP has primary and substitute or secondary BH approvers for financial codes for authorisation of payments. Regular monthly reports of BH approvers are provided by Finance Systems Administration Team.

One area was identified for improvement:

- There is potential for error in the workflow authorisation processes if users are not fully disabled on leaving. In a test on invoice authorisation referred to in the separate audit review "Matters arising from the Annual Statement on Internal Control for 2012-13" still to be issued, two out of thirty payments sampled were not authorised by the relevant Service Budget Holder. This was due to two consecutive errors; firstly, a system administrator error of not completing the process to disable a user, which resulted in the workflow process sending an approval email to another Budget Holder of an unrelated Service. This event was compounded by a second error; a Budget Holder acted on the email alert sent to their Inbox and approved a purchase for payment that was not for their Service. Following the discovery of this, the Finance Systems Administration Team took immediate steps to improve administrative process checking.
- 3.4 The fourth objective was substantially achieved:
 - o There is substantial audit trail detail in the Pecos system at all stages of processing.
 - o Audit detail in CFS-AP can be found for secondary approvers for financial transactions under Payment Batch detail.
 - o To preserve audit trail detail, users are not deleted when they no longer use both systems, but their status is changed from active to inactive

One area was identified where improvement is recommended:

The workflow process in CFS – AP does not include a forced login to the system for approval process as a control. An approver can complete authorisation through Outlook email. The CFS workflow has limited detail in the email sent to a Budget Holder to identify who authorised transactions; the code or string to identify a payment being approved does not include username detail.

4. Conclusion

- 4.1 Controls tested for areas relating to policies, procedures and audit trail were all substantially achieved.
- 4.2 The review made a total of 6 recommendations, all at medium priority grade. One of these recommendations has already been completed, two are due to be completed by the end of March 2014, two to be completed by the end of August 2014 and the final recommendation will be implemented by the end of March 2015 with the planned replacement of CFS with a new Financial Information System.
- 4.3 The importance of system administration tasks using higher privileges to manage access and authorisation on behalf of system owners is illustrated in the findings, for example ensuring management of workflow processes and maintenance of user profiles with delegated access.
- 4.4 It was reassuring to find that an alignment review of processes across the two systems Pecos and CFS was being progressed for improved consistency of access and authorisation across financial systems for financial transactions.

5. Audit Opinion

5.1 The opinion is based upon, and limited to, the work performed in respect of the subject under review. Internal Audit cannot provide total assurance that control weaknesses or irregularities do not exist. It is the opinion that **Substantial Assurance** can be given in that whilst there is a generally a sound system, there are areas of weakness which put some of the system objectives at risk and/ or there is evidence that the level of non-compliance with some of the controls may put some of the system objectives at risk.

Report Title Report No.

Finance Service - Access and Authorisation Controls (Pecos and Accounts Payable)	HK07/012
	- ,

The Action Plan contains **6** recommendations as follows:

Description	Priority	Number
Major issues that managers need to address as a matter of urgency.	High	0
Important issues that managers should address and will benefit the Organisation if implemented.	Medium	6
Minor issues that are not critical but managers should address.	Low	0
Total recommendations		6

					IMPLEMENTATION	
REPORT				MANAGEMENT AGREED	RESPONSIBLE	TARGET
REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	ACTION	OFFICER	DATE
		Guidance on delegated access to mailbox accounts				
3.1.2	Medium	There is guidance in the ICT Portal for users on how to delegate access to their mailbox but this does not include detail of security implication for the different permission levels or refer to the 'minimum need' security rule of good practice, referred to in ISO27002 section 9.1.1. ICT Acceptable Use Policy v5 includes reference to the use of delegated authority for management to access email accounts in specific circumstances at the lower 'read only' delegate access to email for business continuity purposes	In addition to the guidance / solution in the ICT portal on email and access to others' mailbox folders there should be advice on security and risk when delegating access to another user with consideration of the 'minimum need' good practice rule.			31/08/14
		Authorisation level Budget Holder				
		approver across two systems				
3.2.2	Medium	A review on users provided with BH				31/07/14
		approver access across the two	across Pecos and CFS-AP should	Administration Team		
		systems Pecos and Accounts Payable	be completed to improve	(FSAT) have setup	Manager,	

Report Title Report No.

Finance Service - Access and Authorisation Controls (Pecos and Accounts Payable) HK07/012

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	MANAGEMENT AGREED ACTION	RESPONSIBLE OFFICER	TARGET
KEF.	GRADE	in the Corporate Financial System (CFS-AP) in a sample week found more users with Budget Holder (BH) approver settings in CFS- AP than in Pecos. During the review, it was reported that with changes in Business Support and amendments being made to Pecos, the task of aligning Pecos and CFS-AP processes was also being progressed.	consistency of financial processes	users in both systems as requested, however an exercise had already commenced prior to this audit to align approvers on both systems wherever possible. This is a substantial task and is in progress.	Finance Service	DATE
3.2.3	Medium	User access settings and separation of duties rule No annual review was carried out in 2012-13 on user access and users with combinations of more than one access settings, including super-user privileged access and compliance to the separation of duties rule. When this omission was identified, the Finance Systems Administration Team reported that a review would be carried out in 2013-14 with immediate effect.	It important to monitor users with more than one access setting especially privileged 'super-user' access, in the event that combined access may compromise the separation of duties rule in the Financial Regulations and ISO27002. Therefore a check should be completed at least once a year and available for audit review.	Super user checks are a regular occurrence and carried out by the Head of Business Support. These were completed during 2012/13. The annual review for 2013/14 commenced in Autumn 2013 and responses are being actioned.	Systems Administration Manager, Finance Service	31/03/14
3.2.4	Medium	Management of User accounts and updating accounts for change A sample test on the times taken to close a sample of five users' accounts	It is recommended that time taken to close accounts is monitored to	FSAT already run a monthly check against an		31/03/14

Report Title Report No.

Finance Service - Access and Authorisation Controls (Pecos and Accounts Payable)

HK07/012

					IMPLEMENTATION	
REPORT REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	MANAGEMENT AGREED ACTION	RESPONSIBLE OFFICER	TARGET DATE
		or delegate their business email to a Line Manager once they had left found that closure time varied between immediate closure on same day as the request to 25 working days after. No monitoring of accounts closure times to confirm changes are processed in reasonable time was found. During the review, steps were taken to reduce the extent of risk of accounts remaining active when no longer needed for business use.	ensure this is completed in good time and users are reminded of the importance of following up change, in line with the level of risk, as described in ISO 27002 section 9.2.6 - Removal or adjustment of access rights.	extract from HC's Payroll system. This output is checked against all Finance systems. FSAT are also actively contributing into the build of new SharePoint forms with advantages of a single user management route, tied in with new employee setup, and leaver alerts.		
3.3.2	Medium	Approval by budget holders of a different service and workflow Testing found 2 events in a sample of 30 of an invoice being released for payment through an email request from the workflow process by a budget holder name of a Service unrelated to the goods and services invoiced. This was through two errors, first by a system administrative error processing the closure of the user account, then another Authoriser receiving and responding to a request to authorise an invoice that was not related to their service area. FSAT took immediate steps to improve administrative process when	Evidence of monitoring by FSAT of the reliability of the CFS workflow should be available to ensure continued compliance to the Financial Regulations and Guidance as required. If further recurrence of this workflow incident is found, then the information should be passed to the relevant budget holder	Workflow monitoring carried out in FSAT weekly as part of scheduled activity. The Systems Administration Manager will alert the Head of Business Support of relevant issues.	Administration Manager, Finance Service Systems Administration	Complete

Report Title Report No.

Finance Service - Access and Authorisation Controls (Pecos and Accounts Payable) HK07/012

					IMPLEMENT	TATION
REPORT REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	MANAGEMENT AGREED ACTION	RESPONSIBLE OFFICER	TARGET DATE
		this was discovered by setting up a new query report to identify a similar incident re-occurring.				
		Forced login to the system and audit trail detail				
3.4.2	Medium	In Pecos, the email alert requires users to login to the Pecos system as part of the approval process. This preserves audit trail detail by username and transaction within the Pecos system. In CFS, the workflow email alert to approvers allows users to approve a payment transaction in email without having to log into CFS. That is, there is no forced login to the CFS system for approval. If the first budget holder does not respond and the email alert is forwarded to a substitute approver, this shows in the audit trail. When approval is made, the approval string detail sent by email to budget holders by the CFS workflow process does not include username detail of who approved an invoice. This is reported in CFS.	As part of the procurement for a new Financial Information System to replace CFS, it is in recommended that workflow processes which maintain audit trail detail, such as a 'forced login' are preferable for completeness and reliability	new Financial	Information System Project Board Chair	01/04/15

Report Title

Finance Service - Travel & Subsistence -	Travel Desk Arrangements
--	--------------------------

Report No.	Type of Audit	Issue Date

HK24/004.bf.bf

Systems

Draft Report	14/01/14
Final Report	14/03/14

1. Introduction

- 1.1 An audit review was undertaken to examine the Council's system for procuring travel and accommodation. Since 31/05/11, all Council Services and Members have been required to book travel and accommodation through the Council's Travel Desk, run by the Council's Business Support section.
- 1.2 In the 2012/13 financial year the Council spent over £4.5 million on travel and accommodation. In the same period Council officers travelled over 11 million miles, producing over 3,500 tonnes of carbon dioxide.
- 1.3 Since the audit took place, the Travel Desk has migrated from a system which used Excel based travel request forms to one using the SharePoint system for travel requests by the majority of Council officers. SharePoint allows greater control over the completion of the travel request form prior to this being submitted.

2. Review Objectives

The objectives of the review were to ensure that:

- 2.1 Travel Desk procedures are available for all staff and promote consistent arrangements.
- 2.2 There is documentary evidence to support expenditure, the most cost-effective options have been selected where possible, and assess the benefit, or absence, of formal contracts in place. Where the most cost-effective option has not been used, the reasons for doing so have been recorded.
- 2.3 The Travel Desk services are used by all Council Services and Members.
- 2.4 The Travel Desk is delivering its intended benefits.

3. Main Findings

The main findings of the review, referenced to the above review objectives, are as follows:

3.1 The objective was partly achieved. Travel Desk procedures are available for all staff and are a good basis for operations, however these procedures should to be updated. Separate SharePoint guidance exists and is now being consolidated with the existing Travel Desk procedures.

There were differences found between the Travel Desk procedures and the Council's Travel and Subsistence policy:

- The Travel Desk procedures allow Budget Holders to request Travel Desk staff to procure travel and accommodation above the stated travel and subsistence limits, without any exemption provided in the Travel & Subsistence policy. Also, where travel and accommodation costs exceed the stated Travel and Subsistence levels, the Travel Desk staff obtain approval from the traveller's manager to exceed the limits. However, the manager's authorising email is not being held to record this approval.
- The Council's Travel & Subsistence policy has not been updated to fully reference the Travel Desk.

Travel Desk procedures allow Council officers to request Travel Desk staff to book travel and accommodation before Budget Holder authorisation which contradicts the requirements of Financial Regulations. These bookings are rare: of the 1615 SharePoint

travel requests in quarter 3 of 13/14 only 8 required retrospective approval. The Travel and Subsistence Policy does not include any reference as to the circumstances when travel can be booked above the Travel and Subsistence rates, or any reference as to the authorisation process for such circumstances.

- 3.2 This objective was partly achieved. Of a sample of 30 travel bookings examined:
 - 3 did not have an authorising officer who was listed on the Travel Desk list of authorising officers.
 - The emailed budget holder authorisation is not being held along with the travel request on SharePoint to complete the audit trail.
 - 5 invoices for separate travel requests could not initially be located, however subsequently they all were located by staff in the Travel Desk files.
 - The following findings have now been addressed following the migration to SharePoint in June 2013 for travel bookings:
 - 7 travel request forms did not have the travel hierarchy option completed. This section requires travellers to acknowledge that their travel was necessary. This is now a mandatory section in SharePoint.
 - 12 travel forms did not have evidence of authorisation held on file. Travel forms on SharePoint require an authoriser's name to be entered before the form can be submitted.
 - 1 travel form had a return date listed as a month after the initial departure date; however the actual return date was 2 days after the departure date.

SharePoint is now used for submitting travel requests and this information is automatically held on a server backed up by Fujitsu. However, there remains a minority of users (including some Education, Culture and Sport Service staff, Members and Health & Social Care staff using National Health Service (NHS) equipment) who continue to use the previous Excel form. The retention period required for storage of these Excel forms is not currently known. Also, the required retention period of emails, from budget holders approving travel and accommodation, is also not currently known.

Expected mileage is recorded at the application stage and is then checked to the invoice by the Travel Desk managers when approving car hire payments. However, this process is not detailed in the Travel Desk procedures.

- 3.3 This objective was substantially achieved. Using data extracted from Oracle for 3 months in 2012 (April, May and June), the majority of the travel listed was booked through the Travel Desk. A small sample of bookings was taken from the entries not booked through the Travel Desk and this exercise found:
 - 2 were processed for urgent travel;

The remaining findings were not Travel Desk areas of responsibility:

- 3 were for non-Council travel (e.g. payments for speaker's travel);
- 1 was for a school, which were not covered by the Travel Desk at the time of the booking; and
- 2 were miscodes by the Service.
- 3.4 This objective was achieved. While the Travel Desk only facilitates the procurement of travel and the greatest effect on the travel budget has been reduction in the Grey Fleet use (where Council officers use their own vehicle for business travel) there has been a drop in the Council's overall travel expenditure of £675,000 in the financial year 2012/13, achieving the Travel Desk target of a £300,000 reduction in each of the 2 financial years up to 2012/13. Also, the overall mileage has decreased by 1.2 million miles and CO_2 has decreased by 400 tonnes. The single point of control and compliance of policies and procedures help the Travel Desk achieve its benefits.

4. Conclusion

4.1 The move to SharePoint for processing travel requests for the majority of Council officers should provide even greater control over the travel request procedure. Some travel requests will still use the older Excel based forms due to technical (IT) issues, and where the move to SharePoint has resolved the issues found during the audit, the Travel Desk should be mindful of the findings in this report when processing older Excel based travel request forms.

- 4.2 There has also been a reduction in the costs and amount of travelling undertaken and an improvement in the single point of control that the Travel Desk has created.
- 4.3 There are six recommendations in this report; 5 are classified medium priority and 1 as low priority. All recommendations are to be completed by May 2014.

5. Audit Opinion

5.1 The opinion is based upon, and limited to, the work performed in respect of the subject under review. Internal Audit cannot provide total assurance that control weaknesses or irregularities do not exist. It is the opinion that **Substantial Assurance** can be given in that while there is generally a sound system, there are minor areas of weakness which put some of the system objectives at risk, and/ or there is evidence that the level of non-compliance with some of the controls may put some of the system objectives at risk.

Report Title Report No.

Finance Service - Travel & Subsistence - Travel Desk Arrangements	HK24/004.bf.bf
	1

The Action Plan contains **6** recommendations as follows:

Description	Priority	Number
Major issues that managers need to address as a matter of urgency.	High	0
Important issues that managers should address and will benefit the Organisation if implemented.	Medium	5
Minor issues that are not critical but managers should address.	Low	1
Total recommendations		6

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT				MANAGEMENT AGREED	RESPONSIBLE	TARGET
REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	ACTION	OFFICER	DATE
3.1.1	Medium	(1) The Travel Desk procedures allow budget holders to request breaches of the Council's Travel & Subsistence limits.	(1) The Travel & Subsistence policy should be amended to include an exception allowing travel to be booked above the Travel & Subsistence rates if the necessary explanation and approval is received. The Policy should detail at what level this departure from the Travel & Subsistence policy limits can be authorised.	Travel and Subsistence Policy will be amended accordingly.	Head of People and Performance	30/04/14
		(2) When travel has to be booked at short notice, the Travel Desk's procedures allow budget holders to request Travel Desk staff to process these requests without an authorised travel form, contrary to Financial Regulations authorisation and access control guidance.	(2) The Travel Desk procedures should be amended to ensure they are consistent with Financial Regulations and reflect recent changes, such as the introduction of SharePoint.	Travel Desk procedures will be updated.	Business Support Operations Manager (HQ)	30/04/14

Report Title

Report No.

Finance Service - Travel & Subsistence - Travel Desk Arrangements

HK24/004.bf.bf

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	MANAGEMENT AGREED ACTION	RESPONSIBLE OFFICER	TARGET DATE
3.2.1	Medium	From a sample of 30 travel requests examined: (1) 3 forms listed authorisers who could not be found on the authorisation lists held by the Travel Desk.	(1) The Travel Desk should ensure their staff have a list of approvers that can either be used to restrict the choice of approvers on the SharePoint form, or is available to Travel Desk staff to check forms that have been completed with the correct approver.	Approvers will be checked, updated as appropriate and maintained.	Business Support Operations Manager (HQ)	30/04/14
		(2) The emailed budget holder authorisation is not being held along with the travel request on SharePoint.	(2) The authorisation emails should be held for all travel requests to ensure the audit trail is complete.	These can be attached to the SharePoint forms/system.	Business Support Operations Manager (HQ)	30/04/14
3.2.2	Medium	From a sample of 30 travel bookings examined 5 invoices could not be found during the audit, but were all subsequently found.	The system of invoice retention should be reviewed to ensure that invoices are held as necessary and not misfiled or lost.	Ensure invoice filing system is maintained.	Business Support Operations Manager (HQ)	30/04/14
3.2.3	Medium	The retention period for applications using the Excel request form is not known. Also the retention period of the emails from budget holders used to authorise these application is also not known.	The Travel Desk should agree with Records Management Team an appropriate retention period.	This matter will be discussed with Records Management Team and agreed retention period identified and implemented.	Business Support Operations Manager (HQ)	30/04/14

Report Title Report No.

Finance Service - Travel & Subsistence - Travel Desk Arrangements HK24/004.bf.bf

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	MANAGEMENT AGREED ACTION	RESPONSIBLE OFFICER	TARGET DATE
3.2.4	Low	The check of car hire mileage	The Travel Desk procedures should	Travel Desk procedures	Business	30/04/14
		between the estimate entered on the travel request form and the actual mileage detailed on the invoice is not included in the Travel Desk procedures.	include the instruction for the Travel Desk managers to check the hire car invoice mileage against the estimate mileage on the travel request form.	will be updated.	Support Operations Manager (HQ)	33,33,72
3.3	Medium	The majority of the travel listed in April to June 2012 was booked through the Travel Desk. The reasons given for a small sample of 7 entries not booked by the Travel Desk were: • 2 were processing urgent travel, The remaining findings were not Travel Desk areas of responsibility: • 3 were for non-Council travel (e.g. payments for speaker's travel), • 1 for Schools which were not covered by the Travel Desk at the time of the audit, • 2 were miscodes.	should work with Corporate Finance to create a report which could be run regularly and which would identify travel and accommodation not booked through the Travel Desk. The results of this report should	Head of Business Support will contact key service contact in the appropriate Services.	Head of Business Support	31/05/14

AUDIT REPORT SUMMARY

Report Title

Finance Service - Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit Payments 2012/13

Report No.	Type of Audit		Issue Date

HK12/011		System
----------	--	--------

Draft Report	22/11/13
Re-Draft Report	21/01/14
Final Report	17/03/14

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The review of the award and payment of Housing and Council Tax Benefits is undertaken on an annual basis on behalf of Audit Scotland. The review used module 3 of the process called HB COUNT (count once, use numerous times) to test the awards. This process was designed by the Accounts Commission and has a specific module developed for use by auditors in Scotland.
- 1.2 At the request of Audit Scotland, the audit work also included review of the system reconciliations for 2012/13 and the uprating of the system (Revs & Bens) parameters for 2013/14.
- 1.3 Audit Scotland will place reliance on the findings of the review as part of their audit of the Financial Statements of the Council for the year 2012/13.

2. Review Objectives

The objectives of the review were to ensure that:

- 2.1 Housing and Council Tax Benefits have been awarded to claimants in compliance with their entitlements for the year 2012/13.
- 2.2 Benefit awards are accurately recorded in the appropriate system and the financial ledger.
- 2.3 The system parameters in relation to Housing Benefits for 2013/14 have been correctly uprated in accordance with DWP circular HB/CTB A2/2013 (Revised).

3. Main Findings

The main findings of the review, referenced to the above review objectives, are as follows:

3.1 This objective was not fully achieved as the procedures and guidance relating to the awarding of benefit and processing through the Revs & Bens system are stored on an electronic shared drive available to all relevant staff. There is a main guidance document for each topic but updates can be in the form of emails, PDFs, word documents, excel documents and it is unclear if the main document is updated to provide a complete and current guidance document.

Accuracy testing is carried out in each of the Areas and should be undertaken on a daily basis covering 4% of the benefit decisions made. Monthly Area schedules are completed which cover a number of different supervisory checks, including benefit accuracy. The information from the benefit accuracy checks is then carried forward onto a single monthly summary by the Exchequer Support Team which shows the overall position for the Council including percentage of claims checked and the associated error rate, whether these affect the first and subsequent benefit payments and the type of error identified. Comparison between the Area and Exchequer Support records identified differences whereby the Area ones had a greater number of errors recorded. Details were provided to the Assistant Operations Manager who reviewed these and established that a significant number of the errors were incorrectly recorded as such by the Areas as the benefit had been accurately calculated, and so these should not have been included. However, whilst it was agreed

that the Exchequer Support figures were correct, there is no trail to show why claims initially recorded as errors were not.

Samples of 10 cases each of awards for Rent Rebates, Rent Allowances and Council Tax Benefit were selected by Audit Scotland for testing by Internal Audit and reviewed using the HB COUNT process. All benefit awards had been calculated correctly and recorded correctly on the subsidy claim except for 1 Council Tax Benefit claim. This arose following a data matching exercise when it was subsequently discovered that the claimant failed to provide details of an occupational pension which meant that they were not entitled to any benefit. A small overpayment of £79.47 resulted before this was identified and corrected. The overpayment was wrongly classified on the subsidy claim which resulted in the sum due being under-claimed. This was notified to Audit Scotland who amended the subsidy claim before it was authorised and submitted to the DWP

3.2 This objective was not fully achieved as a result of the following points:

The system parameters are uprated annually to reflect the increases in benefits as detailed in the relevant DWP Circular and the uprating of benefits is achieved by increasing the amounts held in the system by the appropriate percentages.

The outturn figures from the annual benefit uprating exercise are reported on the Revs & Bens system report RBE134 which should be produced, checked against the DWP Circular and signed off immediately after the uprating is complete and before the processing for the new financial year is started. When the report for the 2013/14 uprating was requested on 8th August, this highlighted that the report had not been produced but this was addressed immediately and it was run, checked and signed off.

As part of the annual uprating exercise the Policy & Development Team take a sample of live claims with every type of benefit and test to ensure that the amount awarded has been correctly calculated. If there is no live claim in the system for a particular benefit, a claim is created in the test system to verify the accuracy of the award. 10 of the cases considered in the sample (8 live cases and 2 test cases) were checked. Small rounding differences were found which resulted in minor differences occurring. However, there were no significant differences identified that would have resulted in an incorrect award being made.

3.3 This objective was fully achieved. All reconciliations between the Financial Ledger, Revs & Bens system, Housing Rents and Accounts Payable were completed and supported by the relevant documentation.

4. Conclusion

- 4.1 The Benefits team is faced with diverse and onerous challenges as a result of the need to make complex benefit determinations on a daily basis and deal with constantly evolving legislation. However, the audit findings show that the benefit claims examined were calculated correctly and the one error identified above related to the classification of the overpayment on the subsidy claim rather than an error in the calculation of benefit entitlement. This error was corrected in time and there has been no financial loss to the Council as a result
- 4.2 There are a total of 4 recommendations in this report consisting of 1 high, 2 medium and 1 low grades. Three of these actions have already been completed with the low grade action due to be addressed by 31/03/14.

5. Audit Opinion

The opinion is based upon, and limited to, the work performed in respect of the subject under review. Internal Audit cannot provide total assurance that control weaknesses or irregularities do not exist. It is the opinion that **Substantial Assurance** in that while there is a generally a sound system, there are minor areas of weakness which put some of the system objectives at risk, and/ or there is evidence that the level of non-compliance with some of the controls may put some of the system objectives at risk.

Report Title Report No.

Finance Service - Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit Payments 2012/13	HK12/011
--	----------

The Action Plan contains 4 recommendations as follows:

Description	Priority	Number
Major issues that managers need to address as a matter of urgency.	High	1
Important issues that managers should address and will benefit the Organisation if implemented.	Medium	2
Minor issues that are not critical but managers should address.	Low	1
Total recommendations		4

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT				MANAGEMENT AGREED	RESPONSIBLE	TARGET
REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	ACTION	OFFICER	DATE
3.1.1	Medium	The benefits guidance documents held on the shared drive 'ctaxben' should be updated with all supplementary information to ensure that it is comprehensive and current.	finding information as it is currently presented, all guidance	recommended approach was adopted by the team for new procedures and will continue to be the case as existing procedures are reviewed and as new ones are produced.	Assistant Manager, Policy and Development	Complete

Report Title Report No.

Finance Service – Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit Payments 2012/13 HK12/011

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	MANAGEMENT AGREED ACTION	RESPONSIBLE OFFICER	TARGET DATE
3.1.2	Medium	Monthly checks should be undertaken by each Area upon a sample of benefit claims to ensure that these were accurately calculated. Comparison between the monthly Area records and the annual summary record identified a large number of differences. Subsequent investigation revealed that the lower figures recorded on the annual summary were correct as Area staff had incorrectly recorded the number of errors. However, there is no trail which explains the reason for the differences between the two sets of records.	 (1) All Area staff who undertake accuracy checks should be reminded of the criteria to be followed when recording the results of their checks and in particular, what constitutes an accuracy error. (2) Where it is found that recording errors have been made by Area staff, there should be a full trail maintained to explain the error identified and the reason for its exclusion from the annual summary. 	A separate session was held with those involved in the checking process - each Local Office was represented – and all the points raised from this action were addressed.	Assistant Operations Manager	Complete
3.2.1	Low	In order to carry out the reconciliation, the ledger figure at code FC1200 has to be adjusted for entries made by Housing & Property to code FC1000 in order to produce a net figure (this already happens in relation to Rent Allowances). This involves an exercise to identify the relevant entries from the total entries in the account.	Discussions with the Housing & Property Service should be held to investigate the possibility of changing the method of coding the 'overpayments to be offset' directly to cost centre FC1200 in order to avoid the issue of having to extract them from the figures contained within cost centre FC1000.	Housing & Property are currently liaising with the system supplier to apply the fix.	Building	31/03/14

Report Title Report No.

Finance Service – Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit Payments 2012/13	HK12/011	l
Thiance Service Troubing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit Tay ments 2022, 25	······	

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT	CDADE	EINDING	DECOMMENDATION	MANAGEMENT AGREED		TARGET
REF. 3.3.1	GRADE High	This report identifies the financial amount of every category of benefit in payment in any given year. It should be run, checked against the DWP guidance and signed off by an appropriate officer after benefits are uprated for each new financial year. The report had not been run after the	demonstrate that all required actions for the uprating of the Revs & Bens system are completed for each new financial year, the running, checking and signing off of the uprating report (RBE134) should be included in a	been requested for 2013/14 but was not chased up when it was not provided. A process is now in place to continue issuing reminders to the supplier	Manager, Policy and	DATE Complete
		completion of the uprating exercise for the year 2013/14 until it was requested during the audit review.	detailed checklist of actions signed by the responsible member of staff as the actions are completed.			

AUDIT REPORT SUMMARY

Report Title

Finance Service - Debtors							
Report No.	Type of Audit		Issue Date				
HK09/008.bf	Systems	Draft Report	13/01/14				
		Final Report	10/03/14				

1. Introduction

1.1 An audit review was undertaken to examine the Council's system for the control and recovery of income from Debtors in the financial year 2012/13. In the financial year 2012/13 there were 61,785 invoices with a value of £100.2m and 2948 credit notes with a value of £3.7m. The total amount of debt owed to the Council at 31/03/13 was £19.8m. Audit Scotland will be placing reliance on this audit as part of their annual audit.

2. Review Objectives

The objectives of the review were to ensure that:

- 2.1 There is an adequate control framework over access to and operation of the accounts receivable system.
- 2.2 Services are only provided to approved customers within approved credit limits.
- 2.3 Income is properly captured for all chargeable goods and services and recorded in the accounts receivable ledger in a consistent and timely manner and is complete, accurate and valid.
- 2.4 All payments received from valid customers are promptly processed and accurately recorded in the accounts receivable ledger.
- 2.5 Debt management, arrears follow up procedures and bad debt write offs are properly controlled.
- 2.6 Cancellations, adjustments and credit notes are properly controlled.
- 2.7 Outputs from the accounts receivable ledger are complete, accurate and valid and are produced in a consistent and appropriate format in a timely manner.
- 2.8 Data within the accounts receivable system is protected against loss, corruption or system failure.

3. Main Findings

The main findings of the review, referenced to the above review objectives, are as follows:

- 3.1 This objective was substantially achieved as there is an adequate control framework over access to and operation of the Accounts Receivable (AR) system. The majority of users sampled had the Oracle access rights appropriate to their job title. However, the forms requesting inputting or amending officer's user rights on the Oracle financial system do not include an option for requesting read-only access. The absence of this option is considered to have contributed to the number of users found with inappropriate access rights, including Internal Audit. These forms also do not allow multiple computer systems access requests on the same form. This increases the number of forms needing to be completed containing the same officer details, particularly for new starts.
 - There is no annual review of Oracle access rights carried out by the Finance Systems Administration Team (FSAT) or Services.
- 3.2 This objective was substantially achieved. The process of amending customer details on the Oracle Financial System is accurate and therefore services are only provided to approved customers. Credit limits are managed by Services and this was not examined

further during the audit.

However, a clear audit trail of customer address changes is not recorded for every change. 18 customer address changes, from a sample of 30, on the Oracle financial system did not have either a change form or a note on the system recording who made the change and why the change was necessary.

- 3.3 This objective was partially achieved. Following the examination of 30 AR invoices where charging policies were in place, the correct charge had been applied, all had been coded in the ledger to the correct customer and had VAT processed correctly. There were no instances where direct debit or pre-payment would have been an alternative to invoicing. Payment was confirmed for all the harbour dues examined. However the following issues were found:
 - 7 invoices had not been raised within the stated amount of time in Financial Regulations.
 - 1 invoice (£82,056) had initially been raised as a cheque payment. The cheque had been cashed, however this has now been repaid.
 - 1 invoice had been raised as part of the Government's Community Energy Saving Programme to reimburse the Council for money spent on energy efficient improvements in the Council's housing stock. An agreement had been signed between the Council and an energy company as part of the programme, however this invoice had been raised for an amount higher than agreed without providing suitable explanation. 1 booking for commercial refuse collection, from a small sample of 10 bookings for Council services examined, had not been completely invoiced. The total fee was £22,739 and the outstanding amount to be invoiced was £10,175.

30 AR credit notes were examined and all the credit notes had been correctly coded to the ledger and to the correct customer. However, the following issues were found:

- 2 invoices had cancelled debt over the £5,000 threshold requiring committee approval, but this had not been sought. This has highlighted issues with the wording of Financial Regulations and therefore these two instances are not deemed breaches of Financial Regulations.
- 11 credit notes had not been authorised by the budget holder contrary to the requirements of Financial Regulations. 8 did not have the most appropriate reason for raising the credit note selected on Oracle.
- VAT had not been charged in the re-invoicing of housing repairs following 1 cancellation.
- 3.4 This objective was fully achieved. All payments are being received from customers, are promptly processed, are accurately recorded in the AR ledger and allocated to the correct customer. Suspense accounts are being regularly reviewed and cleared by an appropriate officer.
- 3.5 This objective was fully achieved. Debt management, arrears follow up procedures and bad debt write offs are properly controlled. There is a Debt Monitoring Strategy.
- 3.6 This objective was partially achieved. Cancellations, adjustments and credit notes are properly controlled, except for issues raised in (iii) above.
- 3.7 This objective has not been achieved. AR ledger reconciliations are not being carried out on a monthly basis. A number of Income & Recovery exception reports, which are available, are not included in the procedures and are not being run to proactively collect debt. These reports include the Debtors recovery report, the high value credit notes report, and a report identifying all invoices with a balance under £5. Therefore outputs from the AR ledger cannot be confirmed to be complete, accurate and valid and produced in a consistent and appropriate format in a timely manner.
- 3.8 This objective was fully achieved. Data within the AR system is protected against loss, corruption or system failure through the back-up procedures carried out by Fujitsu.

4. Conclusion

- 4.1 Overall, the receipt of income payments from Council customers is being processed on the AR ledger accurately and invoices are being raised for chargeable services provided. However, the time taken to raise invoices and the necessary budget holder approval of credit notes should be addressed. The use of exception reports in proactive debt collection is not being carried out due to staff shortages and the potential impact on the Council should be investigated. Also, the reconciliations of the AR system should be carried out in a timely manner.
- 4.2 There are 7 recommendations in this report; 4 are classified medium priority and 3 as low priority. 2 recommendations have been completed, 2 are being carried out on an ongoing basis, with the remaining 3 recommendations to be completed by June 2014.

5. Audit Opinion

5.1 The opinion is based upon, and limited to, the work performed in respect of the subject under review. Internal Audit cannot provide total assurance that control weaknesses or irregularities do not exist. It is the opinion that **Reasonable Assurance** can be given in that whilst the system is broadly reliable, areas of weakness have been identified which put some of the system objectives at risk, and/ or there is evidence that the level of non-compliance with some of the controls may put some of the system objectives at risk.

Report Title Report No.

Finance Service - Debtors	HK09/008.bf
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

The Action Plan contains **7** recommendations as follows:

Description	Priority	Number
Major issues that managers need to address as a matter of urgency.	High	0
Important issues that managers should address and will benefit the Organisation if implemented.	Medium	4
Minor issues that are not critical but managers should address.	Low	3
Total recommendations		7

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT				MANAGEMENT AGREED	RESPONSIBLE	TARGET
REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	ACTION	OFFICER	DATE
3.2.1	Low	There are two forms available from	(1) FSAT should include a section	(1) The user access	-	Complete
		FSAT for setting up new users and	on the Input Clerk form to	forms have recently		
		amending existing access on Oracle	allow Budget Holders to	been reviewed and		
		Financials (Input Clerk or Budget	request read-only access for	published on the		
		Holder).	officers.	intranet. A read only		
		Hannan Hana Ia na ananata	(2) The second sinks	option has been built		
		However, there is no separate	(2) The present access rights	into the form.		
		request form or section within one of	should be reviewed and those	(2) AD access rights for		Complete
		the forms for generic (read only)	officers identified as requiring	(2) AR access rights for	-	Complete
		users. Instead, the process is reliant upon read-only access requests being	read only access, including Internal Audit, CIP and	Internal Audit, CIP and Creditors have		
		written on one of the forms.	Creditors Staff, should have	been amended to the		
		written on one or the forms.	their access rights amended.	AR INQUIRY		
		A number of users were found to	then decess rights differided.	responsibility, where		
		have inappropriate access rights. All		appropriate.		
		of Internal Audit, excluding budget				
		holders, had AR input access, where	(3) In order to make the process	(3) FSAT are actively	Systems	31/03/14
		as they should have read-only access.	more efficient, FSAT should	contributing into the	Administration	
		Also 2 members of the Corporate	consider revising the system	build of new	Manager	
		Improvement Team and 1 member of	access forms to allow multiple	SharePoint forms		
		the Creditors section had input access	requests to different systems	with advantages of a		
		instead of read-only access.	for the same user.	single user		
		On the wider issue of system access		management route,		
		the Income and Recovery Manager		tied in with new		

Report Title Report No.

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT				MANAGEMENT AGREED	RESPONSIBLE	TARGET
REF.	GRADE	highlighted a problem where for a recent new start, individual request forms had to be completed for 5 separate systems (e.g. Oracle, CIVICA, etc).	RECOMMENDATION	employee setup, and leaver alerts.	OFFICER	DATE
3.2.2	Medium	There is no annual review of user access rights within Oracle in order to ensure that these are accurate and complete. Instead, reliance is placed upon Services to notify any changes (e.g. when staff leave) to FSAT.	FSAT should produce reports detailing user access rights on an annual basis. These should be distributed to Budget Holders who should be asked to review these and confirm that these are correct or provide details of any required changes.	FSAT carry out an annual review of access rights. The annual review for 2013/14 commenced in Autumn 2013 and responses are being actioned. FSAT already run a monthly check against an extract from HC's Payroll system. This output is checked against all Finance systems.	Systems Administration Manager	31/03/14
3.3.1	Low	A clear audit trail is not being recorded in every customer detail change. Of a sample of 30 address changes 18 had no form or system comment recorded.	The Income & Recovery Manager should instruct all relevant staff that either an address change form is held on file or a note on the system is added. Advice should also be provided detailing where on the system the notes should be held to ensure consistency.	Email issued by Income & Recovery Manager July 2013.	-	Complete

Report Title Report No.

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT				MANAGEMENT AGREED	RESPONSIBLE	TARGET
REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	ACTION	OFFICER	DATE
3.4.1	Medium	A sample of 30 AR invoices was selected from a Discoverer report detailing AR invoices for the financial year 2012/13. Issues were identified with regard to:				
		Timely issue of invoices. 7 instances of delayed invoices were found.	(1) Relevant officers reminded of the timescales stated in Financial Regulations for issuing invoices.	(1) Email issued by Income & Recovery Manager in February 2014.	-	Complete
		1 invoice had been initially processed as a cheque payment in error.	(2) The incident should be investigated by Business Support, and the budget holder, to ensure a similar incident cannot occur.	(2) Response from Housing Service / Business Support: Staff have been reminded to follow established procedures when dealing with invoices.	-	Complete
		While an agreement was in place between the Council and an energy company for the Government's Community Energy Savings Programme (CESP), a higher than agreed amount was invoiced without supporting documentation	(3) The relevant officers should request the necessary information from the energy company to explain why a higher than agree amount was accepted.	(3) Response from Housing Service / Business Support: This particular energy company funding ended in 2012. Funding was based	-	Complete

Report Title Report No.

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT				MANAGEMENT AGREED	RESPONSIBLE	TARGET
REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	ACTION	OFFICER	DATE
		explaining the increase.		on estimated		
				numbers and types		
				of works carried out		
				by the Council. In		
				effect extra installs		
				were carried out and		
				hence the Council		
				benefited from extra		
				funding. Should a		
				similar positive		
				scenario arises in		
				future any initial		
				agreement with an		
				energy company will be amended to		
				reflect updated		
				information.		
				information.		
				Housing Service have		
				confirmed that they		
				have documentation		
				to show where they		
				carried out the		
				insulation works		
				which they claimed		
				for (e.g. from health		
				and safety folders		
				relating to the capital		
				project).		
		From a small sample of 5	(4) TEC Services should examine	(4) Response from TEC	_	Complete
		refuse collection services was	the refuse collection	Services:		23
		examined and 2 quarterly	spreadsheet recording system	23.7.333.		

Report Title Report No.

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT				MANAGEMENT AGREED	RESPONSIBLE	TARGET
REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	ACTION	OFFICER	DATE
		payments of an annual	to ensure that there is	The majority of		
		service charge had not been	adequate control and	payment for		
		invoiced in error. The full fee	reconciliation between the	commercial refuse		
		was £22,739 with an	recorded amounts due and the	collection (<70%)		
		outstanding sum of £10,175.	invoices raised, and also	are received through		
			ensure that all service charges	direct debit payments. The		
			have been invoiced.	payments. The remainder are		
				invoiced in full for the		
				whole years' service.		
				Invoices are routinely		
				issued when the		
				request or renewal		
				for service paperwork		
				is received and		
				processed.		
				A historical		
				arrangement existed		
				for one customer to		
				receive a quarterly		
				invoice for payment		
				of the annual service		
				charge for		
				commercial refuse		
				collection. This		
				arrangement with Robertson's facilities		
				management		
				remains ongoing.		
				remains ongoing.		
				Business Support has		
				installed calendar		

Report Title Report No.

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT	GRADE	FINDING	DECOMMENDATION	MANAGEMENT AGREED ACTION	RESPONSIBLE	TARGET
REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	reminders to control future risk of invoice failure.	OFFICER	DATE
3.4.2	Medium	A sample of 30 AR credit notes was examined. Issues were identified with regard to: • 2 credit notes were found to be cancelling debt above £5,000 without committee approval, contrary to Financial Regulations. This has highlighted issues with	(1) Financial Regulation's section 4 and section 10, explaining the Council's policy on cancellations and debt write-offs, should be revised to ensure it is clear what can be cancelled and what should be reported to committee.	(1) Financial Regulations to be reviewed and rewording agreed with Internal Audit.	Income and Recovery Manager / Head of Internal Audit & Risk Management	30/06/14
		the language used in Financial Regulations and the two are not deemed to be breaches of Financial Regulations. • 11 had not received budget holder authorisation of the credit note. Also, 4 of these credit notes did not have the necessary back-up information expected to complete the audit trail.	(2) Officers requesting credit notes should be reminded to seek budget holder approval before contacting the Service Accountants, who should ensure that budget holder approval has been received and check the necessary backup information to complete the audit trail.	(2) Email issued by Income & Recovery Manager February 2014.	-	Complete
		7 did not have the most appropriate reason selected. In the list of options there is one general reason: "Accounts Receivables Billing"	The Service Accountants should also ensure that the credit note clearly documents invoices used to re-invoice credit notes where applicable, to	Email issued by Finance Manager (Central Services) regarding information to be included in credit notes.	-	Complete

Report Title Report No.

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT				MANAGEMENT AGREED	RESPONSIBLE	TARGET
REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	ACTION	OFFICER	DATE
		Error." Of these 8 credit notes, 5 had selected this general reason even though there was a more specific reason in the list of options.	ensure this has been carried out. Budget holders should look into large numbers of requests for cancellations	Reports on credit notes raised will be issue to budget	Income & Recovery Manager	31/03/14
		There was one occasion where the replacement invoice did not include VAT whereas the original invoice it replaced had included VAT.	from officers, due to error, to ensure that errors are minimised and the production of invoices is efficient.	holders on a monthly basis asking them to check.	riunagei	
			(3) The Income & Recovery Manager should review the use of the reason for credit notes and also determine if the inclusion of the generic "Accounts Receivables Billing Error" option affects the accuracy of recording the credit note reason.	(3) I&R Manager to liaise with FSAT and review reason codes.	Income & Recovery Manager / FSAT	31/03/14
			(4) This invoice should be examined to ensure VAT has been charged correctly and any changes made as necessary.	(4) Invoice relates to Void repairs which should not attract VAT, replacement invoice correct.	-	Complete
3.5.1	Medium	AR reconciliations from the financial	The AR reconciliation should be	Monthly reconciliations	Finance	Ongoing

Report Title Report No.

					IMPLEMENT	ATION
REPORT REF.	GRADE	FINDING	RECOMMENDATION	MANAGEMENT AGREED ACTION	RESPONSIBLE OFFICER	TARGET DATE
2.5.2		year 2012/13 were not being carried out in a timely manner.	carried out on a monthly basis, regardless of staff absence, and authorised by a more senior officer.	have been undertaken throughout 2013/14 and authorised by a senior officer. This will continue to be undertaken as staffing levels permit.	Manager (Central Services)	0
3.5.2	Low	A number of exception reports available for the Income & Recovery Section to produce and act upon are not listed in their procedures. These include: • Debtors recovery report, (Issue A) • High value credit notes, (Issue B) • A report identifying all invoices with a balance of under £5.00. (Issue C) • A report of invoices in dispute (Issue D) Also, the regular production of some of these reports, and their use in subsequent proactive debt collection, is not currently being carried out due to staffing shortages.	 The exception reports should be included in the Income and Recovery procedures. The Income & Recovery Section should determine the cost savings to the Council of increasing proactive debt recovery against the costs the Council will incur in a reduction of its cash position. 	The following reports are currently being produced and issued to budget holders on a monthly basis: Small balance invoices (Issue C). Invoices in dispute. (issue D). The following reports are being run on a weekly basis and checked: Debtors recovery reports – Reports on high level debts Customer Call Reports. Follow up action on debt arrangements (Issue A). Credit reports will be run and issued to Budget holders for checking (Issue B).	Income & Recovery Manager	Ongoing