# THE HIGHLAND COUNCILAgenda Item5.4NORTH PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE<br/>10 January 2017Report NoPLN/005/17

16/04549/FUL: Mr Oliver Clokie Pitkerrie Farm Cottages, Fearn, Tain

## Report by Area Planning Manager

## SUMMARY

**Description :** Refurbishment of farm cottages to form 3no. residential units.

**Recommendation - APPROVE** 

Ward : 08 - Tain and Easter Ross

Development category : Local

Pre-determination hearing : n/a

**Reason referred to Committee:** Managers Discretion (Community Counc il, non statutory consultee objection).

#### 1. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

- 1.1 The proposal is for the refurbishment of existing farm cottages to form 3 residential units. There are currently 4 units which date back to the late 1800s. There of these are in a wind and water tight condition, there is an existing access track from the public road to the site which serves the farm and two other properties.
- 1.2 No formal Pre Applic ation Advice was sought in respect of this proposal h owever the applicant took on board a recommendation to reduce the number of residential units prior to submitting this application
- 1.3 Supporting documents submitted with the application include:
  - Planning Statement
  - Site Investigation and Drainage Assessment
- 1.5 Variations: None

## 2. SITE DESCRIPTION

2.1 The site is located on the north side of the B9165 road between Fear n and Portmahomack. The cottages are accessed via a private track with a farm located to the north and two neighbouring properties located to the south. The four cottages are laid out in a U-shape with a long narrow court-yard area central to this measuring approximately 5 metres in width. The c ottages vary in terms of their

current state of repair. The sout h facing units have intact win dows and appear to be wind and water tight. The most northerly cottage has been partially cleared with windows and timbers removed. Undulating fields surround the cottages.

## 3. PLANNING HISTORY

- 3.1 06/00436/FULRC Erection of house and garage (in detail) Recommended for Refusal due to access issues Approved at Committee 21.08.2006
- 3.2 16/00170/FUL Refurbishment of farm co ttages to form 4no. residential units Refused 25.08.2016 under R egulation 24 due to insuffi cient information to satisfactorily demonstrate that the development could be adequately serviced in terms of foul drainage.

## 4. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

4.1 Advertised : Unknown Neighbour, expiry 04.11.2016 Representation deadline : 04.11.2016

Timeous representations : 3

Late representations : 0

- 4.2 Material considerations raised are summarised as follows:
  - Roads have made clear that they will only pass the application if three items are carried out, the access is not ow ned by the applicant; they only have access over the road, which is owned by the farmer, the applicant would need the farmers' permission to undertake passing places and widening of the bellmouth. The entrance and exit on the Fearn Portmahomack road is hazardous.
  - Limited visibility on the main road wh ich is busy due t o local industry within the area. Additional bins within this access could further obscure this visibility.
  - No allowance for tankers to clear out biodisc tanks, ways in which this may be achieved include using neighbouring driveways or reversing down th e track onto the public road.
  - Where are passing places and lorry turnin g circle to be built, no land within the applicant ownership.
  - 8 parking spaces are indicated on plan which are on top of a soakaway.
  - There are trees covering the sit e with one mature large ash tree growing adjacent to the gable end of the cottages. The tree is within 5 metres of the building.
  - There is no demand for housing in the area as there are undeveloped zones within the Local Plan. The village is struggling to keep its shop, has lost its village hall and the primary school roll is slipping annually.
  - The application will be detrimental to su rrounding neighbours and the countryside.
  - There is flood risk with water running do wn the farm track, drainage will be an issue.

- The cottages have been derelict for a considerable amount of time and are in a state of disrepair since their last occupation as a commercial premises for manufacture and storage of products; has the right of residential us e of the property been extinguished by the commercial use?
- Potential for soil contamination from the commercial processes and asbestos roof tiles.
- Internal walls have been removed as part of the clearance process prior to planning being approved, has a Buildi ng Warrant been submitted, no work should commence as per a Historic En vironment Team response to the previous application.
- 4.3 All letters of representation are available for inspection via the Council's eplanning portal which can be accessed through the internet <a href="www.wam.highland.gov.uk/wam">www.wam.highland.gov.uk/wam</a>. Access to computers can be made avai lable via Plan ning and Development Service offices.

#### 5. CONSULTATIONS

- 5.1 **Contaminated Land Team**: No objections subject to a Contaminated Land condition being applied to any permission.
- 5.2 **Flood Risk Management Team**: No objections, Drainage Ass essment shows two surface water soakaways which should be included in the final approved layout drawing. Technica I approval will be required from SEPA for foul water treated effluent to the soakaway.
- 5.3 **Forestry Officer:** No objections subject to c onditions. There are damaged and small trees and shrubs which can be removed, however more mature trees should be protected, conditions are recommended which will be applied to any permission.
- 5.4 **Historic Environment Team**: No objections subject to a condition for a photographic specification due to the local historic interest of the buildings.
- 5.5 **Transport Planning Team**: Acknowledge that the cottages have a legal residential use and right of access and that there is no opportunity to improve the junction, or to object on grounds of the significantly substandard visibility splay at the access. Comment that required visibility splays ar e 2.4m x 215m a significant shortfall was measured, 2.4m x 25m to the left and 2.4m x 70m to the right. Advise that the applicant should be made aware of the road safety concerns that arise due to their proposed use of a substandard junction with the public road. An informative will be placed on the permission.

#### 6. DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICY

The following policies are relevant to the assessment of the application

#### 6.1 Highland Wide Local Development Plan 2012

- 28 Sustainable Design
- 29 Design Quality and Place-making
- 36 Development in the Wider Countryside

- 57 Natural, Built and Cultural Heritage
- 65 Waste Water Treatment
- 66 Surface Water Drainage

## 6.2 Inner Moray Firth Local Development (2015)

No relevant site specific policies, refer to HwLDP

#### 7. OTHER MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

#### 7.1 Highland Council Supplementary Planning Policy Guidance

Access to Single Houses and Small Housing Developments (May 2011) Flood Risk and Drainage Impact Assessment (Jan 2013) Highland Historic Environment Strategy (Jan 2013) Housing in the Countryside and Siting and Design (March 2013) Sustainable Design Guide (Jan 2013)

# 7.2 Scottish Government Planning Policy and Guidance n/a

#### 8. PLANNING APPRAISAL

- 8.1.1 Section 25 of the To wn and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 requires planning applications to be det ermined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.
- 8.1.2 This means that the application requires to be asse ssed against all policies of the Development Plan relevant to the application, all national and local policy guidance and all other material considerations relevant to the application.

#### 8.1.3 Development Plan Policy Assessment

Policy 28 outlines a list of criteria against which al I development proposals ar e assessed, this includes impact upon indivi dual and community residential amenity and, demonstration of sensitive siting and a high quality design which is in keeping with local character and the historic and natural environment. The Historic Environment Team consider the site to be of local historic importance, as such Policy 57 – Natural, Built and Cultural heritage is of relevance to this proposal which sets a proactive, consistent approa ch to the protection of the historic environment.

8.1.4 Policies 65 and 66 require appropriate provision to be made for foul and s urface water drainage, with Sustainable Drainage Systems (SUDs) being a requirement in relation to surface water, this is appropriate to all development proposals.

While it is acknowledged that the refurbishment of t he farm cottages is generally acceptable, there are various t echnical matters and material considerations to assess in detail.

#### 8.1.5 Planning History

A detailed planning application was submitted in January 2016 (ref 16/00170/FUL) for the conversion of the exist ing (4No.) cottages to form 4 units. Planning Permission was refused under delegated powe rs (Regulation 24) due to a lack of information being provided as it was not demonstrated that the site could be adequately serviced in terms of foul drai nage. The applic ation currently under consideration has taken into ac count discussions with the Planning Authority to reduce the number of units to 3, which g oes some way to reducing the potential traffic movements on the track. The detail regarding drainage impact has been submitted in a supporting Drainage Assessment submitted with the application.

#### 8.1.6 Lawfulness

Following consideration of third party comments, clar ification was sou ght with reference to the former use of the premis es. The applicant has d emonstrated that the residential use of the buildings has not ceased and the cottages have not been abandoned. It is acknowledged in the representations that there was a previous use of the cottages for seaweed processi ng; this did not howev er have planning permission and was not in use for a peri od long enough to be considered as a legally established use; as such, t he proposal has been assessed as a refurbishment of the exis ting building as three cottages and not the intervening commercial usage.

#### 8.1.7 Siting and Design

The siting of the proposed units gains sup port in principle under Policy 28 as it comprises the re-use of existing cottages which are in a current state of disrepair. The walls of the cottages are to be finish ed in a wet dash render and the roofs in natural slate and metal profile sheeting, with top hung sash and case appearance windows. The materials and design are considered appropriate and comply with Policy 28 and Siting and Des ign Supplementary Guidan ce; aesthetically, the external alterations are considered to br ing about an improvement to the area, as such this is supported.

#### 8.1.8 Residential Amenity

The layout of the cottages on the site, their association with each other, and to other houses was acceptable when bu ilt. The c onversion and sympathetic restoration of the buildings as detailed in itself is welcomed. The standard of amenity and open s pace provided is below what would be sou ght for new built development, but is reflective of its historical context. The applicant had been encouraged to cons ider a reduction in t he number of units in the pr evious application; this has been taken into consid eration in the curre nt application, with the number of units dropped to 3. This is supported.

#### 8.1.9 Access

The intention is to utilise an exis ting access track which serves the farm and two other properties. Visibility from this access to the public road is very poor and does not comply with the minimum standards set out in the Council's Roads Guidelines,

or Access to Single Houses and Small Housing Developments Guidance, due to road geometry to the west (right) and a boundary wall blocking visibility to the east nowledged that there was an applicat It is ack ion for a hou (left). se (06/00436/FULRC) to the south of the current site, w hich had been recommended for refusal (subsequently approved at Committee) due to insufficient visibility splays at the junction with the public road as it represented an increase in traffic at the junction. The house has been buil t. Whilst it acknowledged that visibility is poor, little can be done in order to achieve improved visibility as, the proposal is for the refurbishment of the existing cottages. The reduction from four to three cottages represents an improvement on the current situation. As detailed above it is acknowledged that there was a previous use of the cottages for seawee d processing; this did not however have planning permission and was not in use for a period long enough for this to be consider ed as a legally established use. The applicant has demonstrated that the houses have not been abandoned for a period of time (in a supporting statement) that would result in the houses losing their legal right of servitude in their use of the access into the site. Although there has been a period of inactivity, the principle of the former use has been demonstrated; as such the application will not result in any increase in traffic over that lawfully permitted.

#### 8.1.10 Surface and Foul Water Drainage

The previous application was refused due to a lack of information to demonstrate there is sufficient drainage on site for the proposed new foul d rainage solution. The application currently under consider ation includes an Engineers' Site Investigation Report and Drai nage Assessment. This inc ludes the results of percolation tests. The Asse ssment identifies that the underlying soils are suitable for the use of standard stone-filled soakaways for foul and surface water drainage. It recommends a treatment plant and soakaway serving all properties, installed to the south of the site; ensuring t he minimum separation distance of 5 metres from all buildings and boundaries to meet Buil ding Standards. Treatment plant to soakaway results in higher quality foul water discharge than a standard septic tank to soakaway solution, as such this drainage solution has been demonstrated through submission of percolation tests and is not considered to raise any concern. Drainage will be further scrutinised at the Building Warrant stage. The Flood Team have no objections to the proposal.

#### 8.1.11 Material Considerations – Representations

Roads have made clear that they will only pass the ap plication if three items are carried out, the access is not owned by the applicant, they only hav e access over the road, which is owned by the farmer, the ap plicant would need the farmers' permission to undertake passing places and widening of the bellmouth. The entrance and exit on the Fearn – Portmahomack road is hazardous.

Planning Comment - There is no comment fr om Transport Planning in res pect of this application which outlines any requirement for passing places or bell-mouth widening. As stated above the proposal entails a reduction in usage. Any improvements to the track would require permission from the landowner; however, this would represent a civil matter.

 Limited visibility on the main road wh ich is busy due t o local industry within the area. Additional bins within this access could further obscure this visibility.

Planning Comment - The cottages have a legal right of servitude over the current access, as outlined in paragr aph 8.1.9 above. Informati on was r equested previously in order to ascertain whether any improvements could be made to better the access however this was not forthcoming; as stated the cottages have a right of servitude as it is existing and the develop er would not have to provide improved arrangements. The substandar d access is acknowledged by t he Planning and Roads Authorities and an informative will be placed on any permission advising the applicant that use of the access is likely to be hazardous.

 No allowance for tankers to clear out biodisc tanks, ways in which this may be achieved include using neighbouring drive ways or reversing down the track onto the public road.

Planning Comment – Biodisc plants are no longer proposed, a treatment plant to soakaway is the currently proposed drainage solution. Servicing is likely to be very occasional and the use of driveways is a ci vil matter which is outwith the control of the Planning Authority.

 Where are passing places and lorry turn ing circle to be built, no land within the applicant ownership.

Planning Comment – Passing places and lorry turning circle are not proposed, or considered necessary, as noted, the cottage s have an existing right of servitude over the access as it exists.

• 8 parking spaces are indicated on plan which are on top of a soakaway

Planning Comment – A surface water soakaw ay is located under the parking area, any water would percolate under the area of hardstanding into the soakaway, full technical detail will be assessed for suitability at a Building Warrant stage.

 There are trees covering the sit e with one mature large ash tree growing adjacent to the gable end of the cottages. The tree is within 5 metres of the building.

Planning Comment – The F orestry Officer has been consulted and had no concerns. Conditions were recommended to ensure the protection of mature and healthy ash and sycamore trees, this will be applied to any permission.

• There is no demand for housing in the area as there are undeveloped zones within the Local Plan. The village is struggling to keep its shop, has lost its village hall and the primary school roll is slipping annually.

Planning Comment – Demand for housing is not a material planning consideration, the local market determines demand, and dwindling local services can only be supported by an increase in sites with permission for housing if and when people wish to buy, build or locate within the area.

• The application will be detrimental to su rrounding neighbours and the countryside.

Planning Comment – It is not considered that the devel opment would have a detrimental impact upon residential amenit y, and it is considered a significant improvement to the wider c ountryside in terms of the aes thetics of the area, the redevelopment of a site whic h is in a state of disrepair is encoura ged and supported in Development Plan policy.

• There is flood risk with water running do wn the farm track, drainage will be an issue.

Planning Comment – The Fl ood Risk Team have commented that the increase in hardstanding is minimal and the surface wa ter soakaways proposed should result in a small net benefit in terms of run-off from the site. Sufficient drainage on site has been demonstrated through submission of percolation test results and a Drainage Assessment; the development should therefore not exacerbate any existing problems on site.

 The cottages have been derelict for a considerable amount of time and are in a state of disrepair since their last occupation as commercial premises for manufacture and storage of products. Has the right of residential use of the property been extinguished by the commercial use?

Planning Comment - As outlined in paragr aph 8.1.6 the commercial use nev er had permission and was not in operation long enough to be considered lawful, which is for a period of 10 y ears. As s uch the established legal use of the cottages is residential.

 Potential for soil contamination from the commercial processes and asbestos roof tiles.

Planning Comment - The C ontaminated Land Team were cons ulted for comment and had no concerns relating to the proposal, they suggested a condition be placed on any permission.

 Internal walls have been removed as part of the clearance process prior to planning being approved, has a Buildi ng Warrant been submitted, no work should commence as per a Historic En vironment Team response to the previous application.

Planning Comment – The building is not listed. Preparator y works could be undertaken prior to submission of a planning application. Any stripping of structural components is not dealt with through the planni ng process. The condition referred to is in ord er to maintain a rec ord of historic buildings in the Highland area, once permission is granted this should be undertaken prior to any other works commencing.

#### 8.1.12 **Other Considerations – not material**

• Robbery of scrap from the cottages did not take place until the applicant had permission for this to be removed.

Planning Comment – This is not relevant in the c onsideration of this planning application.

• The site plan is incorrect; two shaded areas onto the track are not part of the site.

Planning Comment – The site of application is within the red line boundary, for the purposes of the considerati on of this application the ar ea does form part of the application site.

• The initial planning app lication has been ticked t hat there are no trees on site.

Planning Comment – As detailed above the presence of trees within the site was noted and has formed part of the assessment to the application.

#### 8.1.13 Matters to be secured by Section 75 Agreement

None

#### 9. CONCLUSION

9.1 All relevant matters have been taken into account when appraising this application. It is considered that the proposal accords with the principles and policies contained within the Development Plan and is acce ptable in terms of all other applicable material considerations.

#### 10. **RECOMMENDATION**

#### Action required before decision issued N

**Subject to the above,** it is recommended the application be **Granted** subject to the following conditions and reasons:

1. No development, including ground excav ation, shall commence until all trees and shrubs proposed for removal shall have been cle arly marked and approved in writing by the Planning Authority. No other trees or shrubs within the applic ation site boundary shall be cut down, uproot ed, topped, lopped (including roots) or wilfully damaged in any way, without the prior written permission of the Planning Authority. The developm ent shall be undertaken in ac cordance with the agreed details.

**Reason**: To ensure the protection of reta ined trees during construction and thereafter.

2. Prior to any site excavation or groundwork s, all retained trees are to be protected against construction damage using protecti ve barriers located beyond the Root Protection Area (in accordance with BS58 37:2012 Trees in Relation to Design,

Demolition and Construction). Barriers are to be inspected and approved in writing by the Planning Authority and shall rema in in place t hroughout the construction period.

**Reason**: To ensure the protection of retai ned trees throughout the construction period.

3. No development shall commence un til a scheme to deal with potential contamination on site has been s ubmitted to and agreed in writing by the Planning Authority. The scheme shall include:

a) the nature, extent and type of contamination on site and identification of pollutant linkages and assessment of risk (i.e. a land contamination investigation and risk assessment), the scope and method of which shall be submitted to and agreed in writing by with the Planning Authority, and undertaken in accordance with PAN 33 (2000) and British Standard BS 10175:2011 +A 1 :2013 Investigation of Potentially Contaminated Sites - Code of Practice;

b) the measures required to treat/rem ove contamination (remedial s trategy) including a method statement, programme of works, and proposed verification plan to ensure that the site is fit for the uses proposed;

c) measures to deal with contamination during construction works;

d) in the event that remedial action be required, a validation report that will validate and verify the completion of the agreed decontamination measures;

e) in the event that monitoring is r equired, monitoring st atements shall be submitted at agreed intervals for such time period as is considered appropriate by the Planning Authority.

No development shall commence until writt en confirmation has been receiv ed that the scheme has been implem ented, completed and, if required, monitoring measurements are in place, all to the satisfaction of the Planning Authority.

**Reason**: In order to ensure that the site is suitable f or redevelopment, given the nature of previous uses/processes on the site.

4. No development or work (including site clearance) shall commence until a photographic record has been made of the building and/or other features affected by the dev elopment/work, in accordance with the attached specification and the photographic record has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Planning Authority.

Reason: In order to protect the archaeological and historic interest of the site.

5. Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby approved, parking and turning for 8 No. cars shall be provided wit hin the application si te. Thereafter, all car parking spaces shall be maintained for this use in perpetuity.

**Reason:** To ensure that sufficient space is provided within the application site for the parking and, turning of cars, so they do not have to park within or reverse onto the existing access track.

6. All surface water and f oul water drainage provision within the application site shal I be implemented in accordance with the plans hereby approved (drawing no's. 0249 APPENDIX A and 0249 APPENDIX B) and shall be completed prior to the first occupation of the development.

**Reason:** In order to ensure that private foul drainage infrastructure is suitably catered for, in the interests of public health and environmental protection and to ensure that surface water drainage is provided timeously and complies with the principles of SUDS; in order to protect the water environment.

7. No development or work shall commence on site until a detailed specification for all roofing materials (including trade names and samples where necessary) has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Planning Authority. Thereafter, development and work shall progress in accordance with these approved details. For the avoidance of doubt the roof of the development shall be finished in natural slate and profile sheeting.

**Reason:** In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure that the development is sensitive to, and compatible with, its context and local architectural styles.

#### **REASON FOR DECISION**

The proposals accord with the provisions of the Development Plan and there are no material considerations which would warrant refusal of the application.

#### TIME LIMITS

#### FOOTNOTE TO APPLICANT

#### **Initiation and Completion Notices**

The Town and Country Planning (Scotlan d) Act 1997 (as amended) requires all developers to submit notices to the Planning Authority prior to, and upon completion of, development. These are in addition to any other similar requirements (such as Building Warrant completion notices) and failure to comply represents a breach of planning control and may result in formal enforcement action.

- 1. The developer must submit a Notice of Initiation of Development in accordance with Section 27A of the Act to the Planning Authority prior to work commencing on site.
- 2. On completion of the development, t he developer must submit a Notice of Completion in acc ordance with Section 27B of the Act to the Planning Authority.

Copies of the notices refe rred to are attached to this decision notice for your convenience.

#### Flood Risk

It is important to note that the granting of planning permission does not imply there is an unc onditional absence of flood ris k relating to (or emanating from) the application site. As per Scottish Planning Policy (p.198), planning permission does not remove the liability position of developers or owners in relation to flood risk.

#### Site Access to the B9165 Public Road

The cottages have an established legal us e for residential purposes and a legal right of servitude over the existing access with the public road. You are advised that the access with the B9165 Public Road does not benefit from sufficient visibility splays which meet current Counc il Roads Guide lines. The significant shortfall in visibility gives rise to road safety concern.

#### Scottish Water

You are advised that a supply and connection to Scottish Water infrastructure is dependent on sufficient spare capacity at the time of the application for connection to Scottish Water. The granting of planning perm ission does not guarantee a connection. Any enquiries with regards to sewerage connection and/or water supply should be directed to Scottish Water on 0845 601 8855.

#### Septic Tanks and Soakaways

Where a private foul drainage solution is proposed, you will require separate consent from the Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA). Planning permission does not guarantee that approval will be given by SEPA and as such you are advised to contact them direct to discuss the matter (01349 862021).

#### Local Roads Authority Consent

In addition to planning permission, you may require one or more separate consents (such as road construction consent, dropped kerb consent, a road openings permit, occupation of the road permit etc.) from the Area Roads T eam prior to work commencing. These consents may require additional work and/or introduce additional specifications and you are therefore advised to contact your local Area Roads office for further guidance at the earliest opportunity.

Failure to comply with access, parking an d drainage infrastructure requirements may endanger road users, affect the safety and free-flow of traffic and is likely to result in enforcement action being taken against you under both the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 and the Roads (Scotland) Act 1984.

Further information on the Council's roads standards can be found at: <u>http://www.highland.gov.uk/yourenvironment/roadsandtransport</u>

Application forms and guidance notes for access-related consents c an be downloaded from:

http://www.highland.gov.uk/info/20005/roads and pavements/101/permits or wor king on public roads/2

#### Mud and Debris on Road

Please note that it an offence under Sect ion 95 of the Roads (Scotland) Act 1984 to allow mud or any other material to be deposited, and thereafter remain, on a public road from any vehicle or development site. You must, therefore, put in place a strategy for dealing with any material deposited on the public road network and maintain this until development is complete.

Signature:	Dafydd Jones
Designation:	Area Planning Manager - North
Author:	Laura Stewart
Background Papers:	Documents referred to in report and in case file.
Relevant Plans:	Plan 1 – Location, Site, Elevation Plan – HHL 14038.BW10
	Plan 2 – Site, Elevation, Section Plan – HHL 14038.BW09
	Plan 3 – Drainage – 0249 APPENDIX A
	Plan 4 – Section Plan – 0249 APPENDIX B





All plans are based upon the Ordnance Survey Map with the sanction of the Controller H.M. Stationary Office. Licence Number: 100020449

### SECURITY FITTING OF DOORS & WINDOWS:

The level of security of any dwelling can be significantly enhanced by ensuring that all external doors and any windows or glazing in vulnerable locations are manufactured and installed to resist forced entry and also that unauthorised entry into common areas is prevented.

Doors should be designed and installed to resist forced entry at: • an external door to a dwelling or common area of a domestic building and • an entrance or egress door to a flat or maisonette and • a door between a dwelling and a conservatory or garage.

Windows and glazing should be designed and installed to resist forced entry where: located at ground floor level and easily accessible or
where otherwise easily accessible from outside, such as by climbing on building projections.

## There are a number of ways in which this can be achieved:

a. by meeting the recommendations for physical security in Section 2 of Secured by Design' (ACPO, 2009) or b. by use of doorsets and windows which are tested and certified by a notified body as meeting a recognised standard, such as, PAS 24: 2007 for doorsets or BS 7950: 1997 for windows or c. by use of doorsets and windows manufactured to meet recognised product standards and defined component performance, such as: • BS 7412: 2007, for uPVC units

 BS 644: 2009, for timber window units BS 4873: 2009, for aluminum alloy units

• BS 6510: 2005, for steel-framed units.

Vulnerable windows to be constructed to resist attempts to force frames and, if openable, ironmongery to be fitted with either:

#### • a keyed locking system that uses a removable key or • a keyless locking system, together with glazing which incorporates laminated glass or a similarly robust glazing material.

Locks & Hinges:

Single swing doorset to be fitted with at least one and a half pairs of hinges meeting the recommendations of BS EN 1935: 2002 for hinge grade 11 or above. Hinges fitted to an outward-opening door should be of a type that does not permit the hinge pin to be removed unless the door is open. Otherwise, hinge bolts should be fitted to ensure the door leaf will remain secure when closed.

A doorset should include a single-point locking device to BS 3621: 2007 (for keyed egress) or to BS 8621: 2007 (for keyless egress) or a multipoint locking system. A deadlocking facility should be provided. Any lock cylinder should be in accordance with BS EN 1303: 2005, grade 5 key security and grade 2 attack resistance as a minimum.

Communal entrance door to be fitted with an access control system and be self-closing and self-locking, keyless operation of any lock from within the common area. To accommodate access control systems, a doorset may incorporate electronic or magnetic remote release and a means of access which includes keyless electronic solutions (keypad, proximity swipe, etc).

Access to door locks from outside by breaking of glazing, in or adjacent to a door leaf should be prevented by use of laminated glass or a similarly robust glazing material.

Sliding door to have a multi-point deadlocking system with 3 or more hook or similar bolts. To prevent

removal of the door, an anti-lift device should be fitted. Shoot bolts, if used, should locate into the head of the frame. A doorset with more than one door leaf should include a means of securing any secondary leaf at head and foot to allow the primary leaf to be securely locked.

## Installation & Fixings of Doors & Windows

Inadequate fixing into the surrounding structure will significantly affect the security performance of a doorset or window. In most cases, fixings designed to resist normal anticipated loads, such as from wind and accidental impact, will also ensure that a doorset or window is secure against the more common

#### methods of forced entry.

To ensure a robust installation, fixing of a doorset or window to be in accordance with: • the recommendations given in section 8 of BS 8213-4: 2007 or

• manufacturer's written instructions where these meet or exceed the recommendation within this British Standard.

#### ELECTRIC HEATING SYSTEM:

Electric storage heating system to be designed to be capable of maintaining a temperature of 21°C in at least 1 apartment and 18° C elsewhere, when the outside temperature is minus 1° C. Each heater to include an intergrated programmer with 7-day automated timer and shut off.

ACCESSIBLE PARKING AREA:

Hardstanding Area/Parking spaces to be 1no. 5000x3000mm with 1000mm

wide access route to allow access at side of the exiting car & 1no.





**SIDE ELEVATION SCALE 1:50** 



## **SIDE ELEVATION** SCALE 1:50





#### DOMESTIC FIRE DETECTION & ALARM SYSTEM: A Grade D system should be installed in all dwellings to comprise of: • at least 1 smoke alarm installed in every principal habitable room;

## CARBON MONOXIDE DETECTION

New Carbon Monoxide Detector/Alarm to be installed where a new or replacement fixed comustion appliance (including. Boiler, Gas/Coal Fire, Wood burner stove, Gas Cooker or Portable Heater) is installed





REV:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:	DATE:
ST	ATUS: ISSUE		

Surveys, Setti			
T: 07557 432 E: gmcsurve	1 702		Design
CLIENT: Mr	Ollie Clo	ockie	
	errie Far n, Tain	m Cotta	iges
	/Test Ho	ole Loca	tion
SCALE AT A4: NTS	DATE: SEPT16	drawn: GM	CHECKED:

Appendix A

-

0249



#### LONGITUDINAL SECTION THROUGH RAINWATER SOAKAWAY



DESCRIPTION:	BY:	DATE:
ISSUE		
	description: ATUS: ISSUE	

<b>Surveys</b> , Setting Out, Civil Engineering Design T: 07557 431 702 E: gmcsurveys@gmail.com				
CLIENT: Mr	Ollie Clo	ockie		
<sup>sire:</sup> Pitekerrie Farm Cottages Fearn, Tain				
Soakaway Details				
SCALE AT A4: NTS	DATE: SEPT16	DRAWN: GM	CHECKED:	

VING NO

Appendix B

REVISION

-

T NO

0249