

Please ask for: Nicole Wallace E-mail:

Our Ref: ENQ290491 Date: 5 September 2019

Dear Professor McVicar

By email to:

Professor Fric McVica

West Highlands & Islands Amended Core Path Plan LO08.01C Glenborrodale to Acharacle

Thank you for your email to Stuart Black received 3 September 2019 regarding Candidate Core Path LO08.01C, I have been asked to respond on his behalf.

In response to the current public consultation on the West Highlands & Islands Amended Core Paths Plan, the proposals have been put forward after due consideration and representations from many quarters. They have been approved by both the Lochaber Local Access Forum and the Council's Lochaber Area Committee. The Access Officer for the area, Mr Donald Kennedy has had several discussions with the Estate's representatives, West Ardnamurchan Community Council and a number of individuals local to the area prior to including this route within the amended core path plan.

At present the mapping shows the route going through the general vicinity of the sheds but agreement has not been reached with the Estate as to what would be an acceptable way to circumvent the working area.

The track, which runs through what is now the area between the sheds, was open to public access before the main shed was built. In the planning application for the main shed it was stated by the applicant, Ardnamurchan Estate, that the development would not affect general access rights so it is the Council's view that the estate has an obligation to provide a safe way for the public through this area. The Access Officer has suggested a fenced corridor on the western periphery of the site, between the west side of the smaller sheds and the river. He has also suggested a fenced corridor along the southern periphery of the site joining a track that soon merges with the proposed route to the north. The Estate has so far not accepted either of these proposals. At present the Council are indicating the route as running through the general area of the sheds as this is where access rights applied before the main shed was constructed. We accept this is not the most favourable line to take but until the Estate agree to an acceptable alternative this is the line that is proposed based on historical use.

Director of Development & Infrastructure: J Stuart Black, MA (Hons), PhD

2

102

You are right to suggest that there are other access routes into the hills behind Glenborrodale but the most reasonable alternatives to link with Acharacle, all had locked gates of deer fence height on them at the time of Mr Kennedy's last site visit in July of this year.

I trust that you can now appreciate that the situation is not quite as simple as you may have first thought and that the Council is serious in attempting to fulfil its statutory duty to uphold access rights. However, we are also prepared to negotiate a reasonable compromise that would benefit both the landowner and the public.

I trust this information is helpful.

Yours sincerely



Nicole Wallace Acting Head of Planning and Environment





103

Mr D	Housto	n

APPENDIX 11

Objection

Please ask for	Donald Kennedy	
Direct dial:		
E-mait		
Our Ref:	WHICPP.LOU8.01C	
Your Ref:		
Date:	10 th July 2019	

Dear Mr Houston

THE HIGHLAND COUNCIL AMENDED CORE PATHS PLAN (WEST HIGHLAND AND **ISLANDS)**

The Highland Council has reviewed the core paths plan that covers the West Highland and Islands area (Skye & Lochalsh, Lochaber and Wester Ross) with suggestions made by communities, landowners, agencies and individuals.

Following the review we are proposing several changes which will be published as The Highland Council Core Paths Plan (West Highlands and Islands) Amended.

The purpose of this letter is to let you know that new core paths have been proposed on land that you may have an interest in, where to find those proposals and how to comment on them.

The proposed, or "candidate" core paths that may affect you are listed below.

Path Ref.	Map Ref.	Path name	Length (kms)
LO08.01C	LO08	Arivegaig to Glenborrodale/Laga	13.2

If you would like to see the proposals or to comment on any of them you can do so through the Council's consultation portal here http://consult.highland.gov.uk . We ask that you make any comments by Friday 18th October 2019.

The amended core path plan shows the proposed route in it's entirety. Enclosed is a larger scale map of part of the proposed core path showing in clearer detail the route we believe to be within your ownership and possibly of immediate neighbouring landowners .

The existing Highland Council Core Paths Plan can be found online here www.highland.gov.uk/corepathplans (click on Path in the Highlands). There is also an interactive map which can be found here. If you wish to see paper copies of the relevant section of the plan then please contact the Access Officer by email above or address below.

> t & Infrastructure: J Stuart Black, MA (Hons), PbD Director of Developm

2

We will accept objections and representations on the proposed changes only. As you can see from the table above each change has a unique reference and name so when you are making a representation please use either the reference or name.

Yours sincerely,



Access Officer – Skve. Lochalsh and West Lochaber



Appendix 1

Core paths do not provide the public with increased access rights upon land where such rights already exist and the exercise of recreational access rights on core paths should be done responsibly as set out in the guidance of the Scottish Outdoor Access Code. Land on which there is a core path varies from other land by the following points:

- Where access rights are not exercisable, as set out by the provisions of Section 6 of the Land Reform (Scotland) Act 2003 (LR(S)A 2003), these provisions do not prevent or restrict the exercise of access rights over any land which is a core path. So core paths may pass close by or on land that is a dwelling, building, school, garden, sports field, building site, quarry or fields of sown crops.
- Where land that is a core path is disturbed in the interest of good husbandry by being ploughed or otherwise disturbed, the owner of the land being a core path shall within 14 days (or longer with agreement of Access Authority) reinstate the path.
- A core path is designated a footpath with regards provisions set out in section 208 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997. A path order will be required where a development proposal requires a core path to be stopped up or diverted to allow the development to take place.

Director of Development & Infrastructure: J Stuart Black MA (Hons), PhD

Land being a core path provides The Highland Council with a number of powers which it may exercise without the consent of the land owner/occupier, though in most cases it will seek advice from the owner/occupier before carrying out such powers:

Section 19 of the LR(S)A 2003 provides the Access Authority with the power to do
anything they consider appropriate to maintain a core path, keep a core path free
from obstruction or encroachment and provide the public with directions on a core
path.

3

 The Access Authority will publish the core paths plans. They have to make available core path plans, at reasonable cost, for sale to the public.

Access rights can be exempted from land which is a core path by order as provided by Section 11 of the LR(S)A2003.

The extent of the duty of care owed by a occupier of land to another person present on the land is not affected by the presence of access rights exercisable by the public on that land [Section 5(2) LR(S)A 2003. As such the duty of care of an occupier to persons on a core path is the same as the duty of care that the occupier has to persons on land that is not a core path.

There is no duty within the LR(S)A 2003 for the council or the owner/ occupier to maintain a core path or other land, only to keep it free from obstruction.

A landowner occupier does not require a permit or permission to carry out works on the maintenance or improvement of a core path. However the Access Authority should be informed regarding management of public access including signs, during the works.

It is unlikely that a designation of a core path on its own will significantly increase user numbers on to a particular route. Any path proposed as a core paths will likely be already be well known and used locally.

Director of Development & Infrastructure: J Stuart Black, MA (Hons), PhD Glenurguhart Road, Inverness IV3 5NX Tel: (01463) 702250 Fax: (01463) 702298

Glenborrodale Proposed Core Path Access through working site





Glenborrodale Cover page

Highland Council are attempting to impose a Core Path from Glenborrodale to Arivegaig. At the Glenborrodale end of the proposed Core Path they are proposing that it goes along a hill road that was only built 8 years ago for heavy vehicles and therefore has no historical use, goes through the middle of a working farm and timber yard and passes directly past residential properties.

Passing through working areas where there are regular large lorries transporting timber along with tractors, wood chippers, tankers, tele-handlers and fork lifts is dangerous to any members of the public taking access and to those that work there. In so doing they are putting in jeopardy the continued operations on the site and thereby putting the jobs of everyone that works there at risk.

In addition there is no parking for vehicles other than in passing places along the main road and increased usage will inevitably result in significant inconvenience to traffic using the B8007.

There are a number of alternative routes which Highland have refused to consider because they are on tracks and not along "made up" roads.

PETITION

We the undersigned support the right of everyone to take informal and responsible access to anywhere in Ardnamurchan in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act but OBJECT to the imposition of a Core Path where it goes through working areas such as farm or timber yards or through areas where it passes immediately adjacent to private residential properties.

We object on the grounds of; safety to both the workers and the general public in **those** areas, the safeguarding of jobs, and the privacy of residents.

Name			Relationship to area			
	Address .	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visito	
TOBY LEE			/	1	22	
GAUN DAUS			/			
HEREN LEE			\bigcirc	\checkmark		
Tillunkead			V	100 1-		
y yumread			V	-		

108

Passing through working areas where there are regular large lorries transporting timber along with tractors, wood chippers, tankers, tele-handlers and fork lifts is dangerous to any members of the public taking access and to those that work there. In so doing they are putting in jeopardy the continued operations on the site and thereby putting the jobs of everyone that works there at risk.

In addition there is no parking for vehicles other than in passing places along the main road and increased usage will inevitably result in significant inconvenience to traffic using the B8007.

There are a number of alternative routes which Highland have refused to consider because they are on tracks and not along "made up" roads.

PETITION

We the undersigned support the right of everyone to take informal and responsible access to anywhere in Ardnamurchan in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act but **OBJECT** to the imposition of a Core Path where it goes through working areas such as farm or timber yards or through areas where it passes immediately adjacent to private residential properties.

We object on the grounds of; safety to both the workers and the general public in those areas, the safeguarding of jobs, and the privacy of residents.

1	84	<u> </u>	Relationship to area			
. Name	Address .	Address Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor	
Gordon MacKENZIE			\checkmark	\checkmark		
Scott Sterges						
Louis Mackenzie				/	_	
ALASDAIR FISHER			/	/		
					~	

٩

2

Core Path Objection Petition

Distiliery Page 1

			Relationship to area			
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor	
Philipp Volu					\times	
Betty fisher			/	Fr	1	
Hyl Aler			V			
Weth Falsone			/	/		
Liz MacDoNALD			~	~	т	
Jana Jaguow			81		8	
Jun Thomeyerof-					×	
Julie M'Eabe			\checkmark	\checkmark		
	e da Maria da Maria da Maria. A Maria da M					
	-64 -	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	'har			
	General Maria General Maria					
	n o to strine to to E Santa protection	्रम् २०१२				
	n an					
		ng an Charles Nga - 24 ang				
		9.1 - 225				
					10 2. 13 A	
				20 <u>0</u> 4 		

Passing through working areas where there are regular large lorries transporting timber along with tractors, wood chippers, tankers, tele-handlers and fork lifts is dangerous to any members of the public taking access and to those that work there. In so doing they are putting in jeopardy the continued operations on the site and thereby putting the jobs of everyone that works there at risk.

In addition there is no parking for vehicles other than in passing places along the main road and increased usage will inevitably result in significant inconvenience to traffic using the B8007.

There are a number of alternative routes which Highland have refused to consider because they are on tracks and not along "made up" roads.

PETITION

We the undersigned support the right of everyone to take informal and responsible access to anywhere in Ardnamurchan in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act but **OBJECT** to the imposition of a Core Path where it goes through working areas such as farm or timber yards or through areas where it passes immediately adjacent to private residential properties.

We object on the grounds of; safety to both the workers and the general public in those areas, the safeguarding of jobs, and the privacy of residents.

Name			Relationship to area			
	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor	
Shelley Harrith			V			

Passing through working areas where there are regular large lorries transporting timber along with tractors, wood chippers, tankers, tele-handlers and fork lifts is dangerous to any members of the public taking access and to those that work there. In so doing they are putting in jeopardy the continued operations on the site and thereby putting the jobs of everyone that works there at risk.

In addition there is no parking for vehicles other than in passing places along the main road and increased usage will inevitably result in significant inconvenience to traffic using the B8007.

There are a number of alternative routes which Highland have refused to consider because they are on tracks and not along "made up" roads.

PETITION

Aingary Cover page

We the undersigned support the right of everyone to take informal and responsible access to anywhere in Ardnamurchan in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act but **OBJECT** to the imposition of a Core Path where it goes through working areas such as farm or timber yards or through areas where it passes immediately adjacent to private residential properties.

We object on the grounds of; safety to both the workers and the general public in those areas, the safeguarding of jobs, and the privacy of residents.

			Relationship to are			
Name	. Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor	
IAIN MACPHAIL			\checkmark			
JACK AVNI			\checkmark			
INMES FERGUSA			\checkmark			
D. LOVE]	

,

.

Core Path Objection Petition

Mingary Page 1

	, <u>1986</u> , 1990, <u>199</u>			to area	
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor
A-> CAMERON			~	~	
C Cameron			\checkmark	~	
R. Elsin 6/ton 5			~	\checkmark	
L. MARSHALL			~	~	
W Kary			\checkmark	~	
Colin MAlpine			\checkmark	\checkmark	
Calum Mac Phail			\sim	~	
PRUIT JORTOS			/	\checkmark	
Som redgeed			\checkmark	/	
J. FRANKLIN			\checkmark	\checkmark	
J. KELLY			\checkmark	/	
L. Houston			>	\checkmark	
L. Huwant					V
A. HU NO NT					V
R. CARMICHASE				\checkmark	
Derrin Ross			<i></i>	/	
10 1100				\checkmark	
W lonor					

3

٠

Core Path Objection Petition

Mingary Page 2

	d What areas to be	Signature and Date	Relationship to ar		
Name	Address		Live here	Work here	Visitor
My MOORE					/
DADID FLOOZHOL			V	V	
J+J DAMISLE			V	V	/
1+1 Darwished	2		V	\checkmark	~
					nt pir ar no a
			100		15
				2	
e e					
					n I
					5
1		······································			
· · · ·			- 1		
					25-
N					8
1					
		n an an Albert an Alb Albert an Albert an Alb			

Passing through working areas where there are regular large lorries transporting timber along with tractors, wood chippers, tankers, tele-handlers and fork lifts is dangerous to any members of the public taking access and to those that work there. In so doing they are putting in jeopardy the continued operations on the site and thereby putting the jobs of everyone that works there at risk.

In addition there is no parking for vehicles other than in passing places along the main road and increased usage will inevitably result in significant inconvenience to traffic using the B8007.

There are a number of alternative routes which Highland have refused to consider because they are on tracks and not along "made up" roads.

PETITION

We the undersigned **Support** the right of everyone to take informal and responsible access to anywhere in Ardnamurchan in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act but **OBJECT** to the imposition of a Core **Path where** it goes through working areas such as farm or timber yards or through areas where it **passes** immediately adjacent to private residential properties.

We object on the grounds of; safety to both the workers and the general public in those areas, the safeguarding of jobs, and the privacy of residents.

		And a second second	Relationship to area			
. Name .	Address .	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor	
John Mac FADYEN			\checkmark	/		
MORAG DOHERTY			V	V		
PAVID DOHERTY			V	~		
All			V			

.

٩.

Core Path Objection Petition

	In 199	9		onship	
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visito
C SAREADIS-RE-					~
C BURNS				s e i 9 -	~
J. Lou SAN- Euphidu=			Ēr		~
TERAM EARP			1		
MJ PREN			/		
Susan Comeran			~		
Duncan Cameron					
J. Chaple.			/		
J. C			\checkmark	~	
H derenson			V	V	
atharing Montoso			\checkmark	V	
inda black			L	L	ł
J.F. Would			\checkmark	~	ł
Hangel Come .			\checkmark	V	
sharon Macleohlen			\checkmark	/	
Stuart Muchachlan				/	
Hicky marthray			/	1	
ban Maday			<	12	

•

Core Path Objection Petition

-	ii		Relati	_ onship	
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor
Sur Chearle			V		
STAN CHEADLE			\checkmark		
H Markahla,			-	-	
K. CAMERON					
Isla Crosbie			~		к П
Folie Crossie					
ALAN SWANN			\checkmark	-	
EWAN BOWMAN			\checkmark		
Cilia Jul					
Grennig tel			fa atr		/
HAIN Duckhoieth			\checkmark		
Jac Crosbe			~		
MAT KARIANA			~		*
-1 125			\checkmark		
MACFADYEN			ン		
SIN PUME			\checkmark	~	
C. lou			~		

Core Path Objection Petition

and consider and the set		Relati	onship	to area
Name Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor
Shona Stewar		V	-	
All -stellA		V	E.	w î.
HAEN LEE.		\checkmark	V	
10by Lee		V	1	
FIONA LOVE		V	~	
A KINGHORN		, -		al.
C. 6001K		V		
N MACLACHLAN		1		
t MACLACHLAN		\checkmark	1 	- ER N
E M'LORMACK				V
CFINDLAY				~
E, Booth			9	V
J. SHARPHAN		To de la Kiel na		v
C SHARMAN				\checkmark
AHMederal		\checkmark		e Si Chi
2 A-ENORE		.3	No.	~
DONNEN		/		

.

`

Core Path Objection Petition

	Relationshi				to area
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor
V phillippi1			\mathcal{V}		يون د مغير
V Philipp 1 M. Markiel					\checkmark
P. Lage T. Monany			-		\checkmark
Spirossin			_		V
D. Rossmi					/
I'M AANOLD					L
Allard			/		
K). CARTER					/
S. CAMER					V
6. mac hachler			V		1999-1997 - 19
nd korle MM			Ø		>
Marc Narcher			V	. 1977	-
CUNNING HEAT					
Campbell					~
KHTHRYN CUNNINGHAM James Campbell Greorgina Yolly					
1814 THAIN					

.

•

.

Core Path Objection Petition

an a the sheet of			Relati	Relationship to area			
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor		
NAN Machachlan							
S Welsh (INTIA-GAL			1.1	No. a			
CINTIA GAL			V	V			
MICHARL Hawalta.					~		
B. LANEL					1		
		n and the second se	i di arr				
			6. ¹⁰ .				
			Le ser c	81			
					е. 1. т. т. т. т.		
				- 1 (L)	ar to		
i piran di angina di		and the second					
			- 105-64 		etym Katy		
1		a cara da la cara da c	t 1.52				
				λο <u>ι</u>			
		No - St					
		N = Boldy - J. (1990) Na manakara dika		1962 -	1.00		

Passing through working areas where there are regular large lorries transporting timber along with tractors, wood chippers, tankers, tele-handlers and fork lifts is dangerous to any members of the public taking access and to those that work there. In so doing they are putting in jeopardy the continued operations on the site and thereby putting the jobs of everyone that works there at risk.

In addition there is no parking for vehicles other than in passing places along the main road and increased usage will inevitably result in significant inconvenience to traffic using the B8007.

There are a number of alternative routes which Highland have refused to consider because they are on tracks and not along "made up" roads.

PETITION

Each Shiel Garage Cover page

We the undersigned support the right of everyone to take informal and responsible access to anywhere in Ardnamurchan in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act but **OBJECT** to the imposition of a Core Path where it goes through working areas such as farm or timber yards or through areas where it passes immediately adjacent to private residential properties.

We object on the grounds of; safety to both the workers and the general public in those areas, the safeguarding of jobs, and the privacy of residents.

Name	Address		Relationship to are			
		Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor	
Doug						
Doug Mac Donald						
ALEX THOMSON			/			
THOMSON			\mathbf{V}			
MATILOA Corfield				1	\checkmark	
Ben Humpn					\checkmark	

-

ñ

Core Path Objection Petition

Loch Shiel Garage Page 1

		nob varies extrementer	Relationship to are				
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor		
LATIE OLDWORTH			1 - 45 ⁰ - 1 1991	Egelite Til gelite	~		
flexanden + Anoran Sutur soder			1911 (S) 1914 (S) 1914 (S) 1914 (S)		~		
DWEN ROBERTS			102		V.		
Sack Arkwright				· · · ·	V		
ALLE ROBERTSON.			i e		<i>.</i>		
Vicola Peth					V		
Edward Robertson					1		
forder			a a ali	in t T			
Jakan Herchis			~		-		
Sakan Harchis Rother Roberts				ū	\checkmark		
	a sena	- 					
99 - 90 ⁻ 10 ₋							
		2 11 - 12 - 2 2 - 11 - 12 - 2			-		
			(1997) 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1				
			ч. Т	21 A.	۰. <u>ب</u> ا		
			+		25		

Passing through working areas where there are regular large lorries transporting timber along with tractors, wood chippers, tankers, tele-handlers and fork lifts is dangerous to any members of the public taking access and to those that work there. In so doing they are putting in jeopardy the continued operations on the site and thereby putting the jobs of everyone that works there at risk.

In addition there is no parking for vehicles other than in passing places along the main road and increased usage will inevitably result in significant inconvenience to traffic using the B8007.

There are a number of alternative routes which Highland have refused to consider because they are on tracks and not along "made up" roads.

PETITION

We the undersigned support the right of everyone to take informal and responsible access to anywhere in Ardnamurchan in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act but **OBJECT** to the imposition of a Core Path where it goes through working areas such as farm or timber yards or through areas where it passes immediately adjacent to private residential properties.

We object on the grounds of; safety to both the workers and the general public in those areas, the safeguarding of jobs, and the privacy of residents.

			Relationship to a			
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor	
IAN MALCOLMSON			1			
Ey Ravantree			\checkmark	\checkmark		
Nall Ronanticel			V	V		
Kirstyn Rowanher			\checkmark	/		

n destado - specificação 1 1 - se acos - se a	Core Path Objectic Salen Shop Pag						
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Relati Live here	onship Work here	1 Vicitor		
MARKO PERVAN					-		
Man man brack			outre	ester	ese		
Callum Borthwick			/	1	-		
Given May La			V	V			
Kjaelkson			~		-		
C.STRUANI				V	[
K. Mad parts			V	\checkmark			
S Muithead .					V		
A Mpekinnon			~ <				
/	and the second data and the se	5	_				
			-				
					-		
ř.	19 ¹⁰						

Passing through working areas where there are regular large lorries transporting timber along with tractors, wood chippers, tankers, tele-handlers and fork lifts is dangerous to any members of the public taking access and to those that work there. In so doing they are putting in jeopardy the continued operations on the site and thereby putting the jobs of everyone that works there at risk.

In addition there is no parking for vehicles other than in passing places along the main road and increased usage will inevitably result in significant inconvenience to traffic using the B8007.

There are a number of alternative routes which Highland have refused to consider because they are on tracks and not along "made up" roads.

PETITION

We the undersigned support the right of everyone to take informal and responsible access to anywhere in Ardnamurchan in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act but **OBJECT** to the imposition of a Core Path where it goes through working areas such as farm or timber yards or through areas where it passes immediately adjacent to private residential properties.

We object on the grounds of; safety to both the workers and the general public in those areas, the safeguarding of jobs, and the privacy of residents.

Name			Relationship to are				
	Address	. Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor		
AMIEMAEMASTER			\checkmark	\checkmark			
Wino Stevent			\checkmark				
Louisa Stewartbuist					~		
GIGINA BALL					L		
27							

Core Path Objection Petition

Acharacle 2 Page 1

			Relationship to area			
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor	
VICTORIA DO GERS Hannan Macainin					~	
Hannah Mademin			V	L		
n sarda ⁿ S		n ⁿ anlar ar				
e Arres Arres an		n na politica. Tra Significana				
ം പ്രമിഷ്യം പ	ing there is a	e a la consta da la			a.	
8		0	1 - 92			
		S				
				1		
2						
		L				

Passing through working areas where there are regular large lorries transporting timber along with tractors, wood chippers, tankers, tele-handlers and fork lifts is dangerous to any members of the public taking access and to those that work there. In so doing they are putting in jeopardy the continued operations on the site and thereby putting the jobs of everyone that works there at risk.

In addition there is no parking for vehicles other than in passing places along the main road and increased usage will inevitably result in significant inconvenience to traffic using the B8007.

There are a number of alternative routes which Highland have refused to consider because they are on tracks and not along "made up" roads.

PETITION

We the undersigned support the right of everyone to take informal and responsible access to anywhere in Ardnamurchan in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act but OBJECT to the imposition of a Core Path where it goes through working areas such as farm or timber yards or through areas where it passes immediately adjacent to private residential properties.

We object on the grounds of; safety to both the workers and the general public in those areas, the safeguarding of jobs, and the privacy of residents.

			Relationship to are			
Name Address	Signature and Date	Live	Work here	Visito		
Ross Rankin						
MELY LUPU			V		8	
DR ANTONY KIBO			· ·			
Gabor Kocontha			V			

Core Path Objection Petition

Kichpan Hotel Page 1

1				Relationship to area				
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor			
AZEXANDRU ZUPU				2 28 - 21				
Alexander Granst			\checkmark	-				
MANY MUALPINK			/					
BILAYIM			V					
CINTIA GAL			V					
JCWIGH			ce ll	9.	/			
John Watson					~			
Harc Machaster			~					
JOHANUA GAL			\checkmark	1.1				
Rosie (Urb)			V					
Allan curtis			V					
Chloe Curtus			/					
Megan (UCtis			\checkmark					
PETER GRACE			\checkmark					
JUN CANOOIS			/					
mechinnon			1					
JORDAN CAMERON			1					
IAIN MACDONALD			\checkmark	1				

.

٠

.

Core Path Objection Petition

Kilchoan Hotel Page 2

			Relationship to area		
Name Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor	
Nathen Bridgwad					
MARTIN WWWE					~
Joel Edgingbon					\checkmark
Amy Tinsley				201	V
Ryan Cooper					1
Herty Kinaldon			11		\checkmark
Preme . Pres Check			3 6 -		V
THISI	S BOLLO	PCKS	bi litte		
Reor	oute the l	ostpath	1		
at H	oustor's -	Expense	6		= 2
K MEWS				-	
A News			1	- 0	
Andrew Pote			4		1
GAEL CAMERON			\checkmark		
ALGERT CAMERON			\checkmark		
D. MARSHALL			~		
Challe Againste			_		\checkmark

.

Core Path Objection Petition

Kilchoan Hotel Page 3

n Maria e a constantino			Relationship to area		
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	
Conila Honda			0_360		~
	Ed Stranger		995	2	(^{***} =90)
e in india tea			Gray in	1 15×	n yes.
	States In 1999				
					100
			100 11 1		1.C) *
					* +
			* -		and an
s [3	Si	
×.					
			94.43	• [4	
			:do 	1	
194			9 oct		
		1992 - 27 - 27 2 1992 - 27 - 27 2 1993 - 27 - 28 1	25.° 37	18 T	leðin A
	en na sea si i		9-1.8	-8	2.43
					1

f

Core Path Objection Petition

Strontian Page 1

			Relationship to area		
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visitor
		A	nere	nere	
Collin Xarien					X
Charles 1/m					N
Horch					\sim
Charles A			8.2.3		
Charles Vandemeentele MARAUS SUKENBEN CATHY MAYNE					
MANAU				- 199	6
SURAUGIU					
CAING					
				0	
	5. C	2		5	
		$-\tau \rightarrow 0$		TT	
			1		
					:
		· · · ·		×.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	-				
			1		
					-
		, a ·			

PE HERRY AND

Passing through working areas where there are regular large lorries transporting timber along with tractors, wood chippers, tankers, tele-handlers and fork lifts is dangerous to any members of the public taking access and to those that work there. In so doing they are putting in jeopardy the continued operations on the site and thereby putting the jobs of everyone that works there at risk.

In addition there is no parking for vehicles other than in passing places along the main road and increased usage will inevitably result in significant inconvenience to traffic using the B8007.

There are a number of alternative routes which Highland have refused to consider because they are on tracks and not along "made up" roads.

PETITION

We the undersigned support the right of everyone to take informal and responsible access to anywhere in Ardnamurchan in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act but **OBJECT** to the imposition of a Core Path where it goes through working areas such as farm or timber yards or through areas where it passes immediately adjacent to private residential properties.

We object on the grounds of; safety to both the workers and the general public in those areas, the safeguarding of jobs, and the privacy of residents.

			Relationship to area			
Name	Address	Signature and Date	Live here	Work here	Visito	
STEVEN TOMPIONS			马	\checkmark	V	
JAKE HULIJRI)				~		
BEN DIRLEY			ta atta		/	
MAX BRADLEY				\sim	V	

132

Passing through working areas where there are regular large lorries transporting timber along with tractors, wood chippers, tankers, tele-handlers and fork lifts is dangerous to any members of the public taking access and to those that work there. In so doing they are putting in jeopardy the continued operations on the site and thereby putting the jobs of everyone that works there at risk.

In addition there is no parking for vehicles other than in passing places along the main road and increased usage will inevitably result in significant inconvenience to traffic using the B8007.

There are a number of alternative routes which Highland have refused to consider because they are on tracks and not along "made up" roads.

PETITION

vingary Cover page

We the undersigned support the right of everyone to take informal and responsible access to anywhere in Ardnamurchan in accordance with the Land Reform (Scotland) Act but **OBJECT** to the imposition of a Core Path where it goes through working areas such as farm or timber yards or through areas where it passes immediately adjacent to private residential properties.

We object on the grounds of; safety to both the workers and the general public in those areas, the safeguarding of jobs, and the privacy of residents.

here here		Address	Signature and Date	Relationship to area			
	Name			1		Visitor	
	P.J. E. M. Vicer			\checkmark			
Joan Ricina V	A. D. Hixed			\checkmark			
	JeanRicher			V			

------- Forwarded Message ------Subject:Core path, Glenborrodale. Ref. L008.01C Date:Tue, 3 Sep 2019 16:33:12 +0100 From:Eric McVicar Reply-To T

Dear Sir

I have lived in Ardnamurchan since the 1990's and have had the freedom to both walk and fish on the hills thereof. However I find it somewhat incredulous that the Highland Council would even contemplate putting a path through what is essentially an industrial complex. For many years I worked in heavy construction, at one time as a Senior Engineer at McDermott's yard at Ardersier. During those years I was only too aware of the dangers associated with heavy mobile plant, which is what one would term the machinery found within the area through which this proposed path is projected to take. Teleporters, tractors with chipping machines, large articulated trucks laden with timber can all be classified as lethal weapons. If, as proposed, a core patch were to pass through this area this would enable both adults and children, no doubt in some cases accompanied by dogs, to have access to the dangers associated with these machines. All it would take is for a child or dog to run round the side of a building and into the path of one of these machines with the inevitable disasterous outcome. If this did happen one must question who would be held responsible, surely not the driver nor the proprietor of the site. The only person who could be blamed would be Highland Council for allowing such an insane project to have gone ahead in the first place.

Do we need to wait until we have an accident of such a nature or do we do what any responsible adult would do in the first place, that is avoid having a path through an Industrial Complex.

If this Complex were sited in say the central belt it would not only be locked during non-working hours but would probably have razor wire or barbed wire above a mesh fence and alsatian dogs patrolling the site at night.

When I initially came to live in Ardnamurchan the gate through this path was permanently locked with three padlocks, these belonged to Major Ian Elliott, proprietor of Silverhills Estate, Donald Houston, proprietor of Ardnamurchan Estate and the third was I think the Forestry Commission. The reason for this was there were buildings beyond the gate which were regarded then as a working farm. It is only in recent years, since the death of Major Elliott and Donald Houston purchasing Silverhills, that the gate had been left open. This was mainly to enable development work to take place at what is now the Industrial Complex. There are many other routes of access to the hills behind Glenborrodale, for anyone to declare that this is an essential route or for that matter an established route (as Donald Houston has only improved these tracks within the past few years for Estate management purposes) is utter nonsense. Hopefully, the Highland Council will see sense and avert any potentially fatal accidents, whether they be animal or human, by taking their core path elsewhere on the Estate.

I trust that sanity will prevail within the Highland Council.

Regards

Prof. Eric McVicar





Scanned by McAfee and confirmed virus-free.

Objection

From: The Ardnamurchan Bunkhouse Sent: 01 October 2019 14:52 To: Cc: Subject: Fw: Candidate (Proposed) Core Path- LOU8.01C - Anvegaig to Glenborrodale/Laga

Dear Ms Wallace

With regards to your consultation on the proposed core path between Arivegaig to Glenborrodale/Laga, I write to register my concerns and disappointment at how this proposal has been handled and in the way in which Highland Council has dealt with its statutory responsibility.

As a resident of Glenborrodale and a local business owner, staying a short distance from the proposed route, I am completely astounded that during the discussions, there has been no direct communication between the 2 business I operate and anyone representing the concerned parties or Highland Council. Historically, my family have strong connections to the area with members of my family being housekeepers in Glenborrodale Castle and a former head keeper on Ardnamurchan Estate, so I am fully aware that some of the representations made about the proposed route are wholly inaccurate and are driven by political angst and do little to support the socio-economic prosperity of a fragile local community. To many of the migrants into this community, this has become a location in which they seek to enjoy their retirement (or semiretirement) and as is common, they seek to arrest progress and prosperity at the point of their arrival.

It is my opinion that this is a further example of the increasing divide between urban and rural Scotland where increasingly an urban population (or people who have moved from an urban environment) seek to force their will on the minority indigenous community and call it democracy. There are ample and adequate access points from the south to the north side of the peninsula and contrary to opinions you have taken into account, the most commonly used route was from Laga to Acharacle and the form, condition and structure of the path confirms this as Laga to Acharacle route is capable of carrying cart and cattle whereas the route of this proposed path between Glenborrodale and Meall an Each is for the majority of its route a stalking pony path. This path has fallen into disrepair, barely passable with care and wholly inadequate for mountain bikes.

Throughout this entire process, little cognisance has been taken of the Ardnamurchan Estate or its proprietor who has made an exceptional commitment to this rural community, far exceeding any of the efforts made by Government or Highland Council to support socio-economic development or to meet commitments under the local biodiversity action plan. To make continuous reference about locked access in woodland blocks whilst ignoring the failure of much of the Sunart Oakwood project is frankly embarrassing to the agencies involved.

I operate the only locally based terrestrial wildlife tourism company on the peninsula and each year organise at least 3 months of residential wildlife trips. This is only possible by the support we enjoy from the Ardnamurchan Estate owner, Donald Houston who not only allows us unrestricted access but is supporting the development of wildlife watching opportunities. At no time in the discussions has proper consideration been given to the impact on our native birds and mammals by the proposals, many of the species are shy and certainly do not benefit from repeated disturbance. There have already been several incidents where uncontrolled dogs have threatened these mammals/birds and gates have been left wide open, hence the necessity to lock them. I hope that a wildlife survey has been completed along this route as I know that there is a pair of Hen Harriers who nest along this route and disturbance can jeopardise their breeding success.

I have noted since opening the bunkhouse 4 years ago, footfall from locals as well as people from out with the area using this proposed path has been minimal to say the least. However, since this whole sorry saga commenced, we have noticed an unpleasant attitude from individuals and a change in atmosphere around our business. Our grounds are not enclosed which gives mammals free range of our meadow areas that people have travelled far and wide to see. We have placed wildlife cameras around the bunkhouse to monitor the mammals and birds including the Scottish Wildcat and have found that cameras have been removed and individuals take access into our grounds to park vehicles, have picnics, use our facilities and leave waste around the property. On some occasions, we have witnessed dogs chase our free range chickens or off leads and not under the control of their owners.

I am aware that many of these things are illegal and are highlighted as such under the access code but I do not seek conflict within he local community and seek to deal with those taking access in the spirit under which this legislation was constructed. The fact that the Estate offers unrestricted access in all but 10 acres of its thousands of acres it currently manages is clear evidence of its reasonable approach.
I reside less than 300 metres from the wood chip yard and fully understand why it is necessary for access to be restricted when moving heavy plant and machinery in the area whilst fully applauding the huge effort to move this community towards a self-sustaining, green energy system which meets many of the talked about Governments' green energy targets to say nothing about the local jobs that have been created for local people. I know many of the local residents who inform me that the gate was always locked, one of which has been resident in Glenborrodale for over 50 years who's family ran the local hotel for many years. Also, my husband who is the former Chief Ranger for the Forestry Commission can confirm that during his time in the role, FC was one of the key holders to the locked gate.

137

I do hope that you consider the points raised above in your decision making process and that your access officer has fully appraised himself with the situation and when considering appropriate alternatives routes has fully considered the environmental and socio-economic impacts of such decisions and would hope to see those referred to along with any proposed mitigation and funding proposals.

I look forward to your response.

Yours sincerely

Fay Rowantree

Fay Rowantree

PAPER FOR ARDNAMURCHAN ESTATE MAINTAINING ITS OBJECTION LODGED ON 17 OCTOBER 2019 TO THE CORE PATHS PLAN REVIEW

1. What does the Estate object to? The Estate objects only to part of the LO08.01C proposal, in particular the southern part of the western fork on the proposal map. The Estate welcomes walkers and public access is available to some 99.9% of the land under its management.

2. Why does the Estate object? This part of the proposed core path would pass through a working timber processing yard where plant and equipment are in regular use and lorries, trailers, wood-chippers and other commercial vehicles are often manoeuvring: see the photos attached to the Objection. The yard has operated for over 30 years, and has been expanded under planning permissions granted by the Council between 2010 and 2017. Experience shows that the Council would create very real risks to the health and safety of walkers if it adopted and publicised such a route. It isn't possible safely to accommodate walkers in the timber processing yard a point previously acknowledged by the Council's Access Officer. If this part of route LO08.01C was confirmed, it seems inevitable that the timber processing yard would need to close, causing the loss of at least five jobs. Timber operations there produce fuel for some 36 properties in the local area. The yard also supplies wood fuel to the Ardnamurchan Distillery. It also takes pot ale from the distillery and processes it into animal feed for livestock throughout the area. The distillery also sends draff and spent lees to the yard, which processes these for animal feed or safe discharge into reed beds. Fragile businesses and communities in the Ardnamurchan peninsula therefore depend on the yard and its workers.

4. What does the local community think? A lot of local people work at the yard or at the distillery or benefit from the fuel made at the yard. Some 200 local people signed the petition sent in with the Objection last year. The proposal does not have the support of many locals.

4. Are there other options? Yes. A core path could avoid the yard by starting slightly to the west at the RSPB's reserve. There is another possible route to the east. These have been discussed with the Council's officers and the Estate is keen to help find a good route in due course.

5. What does the law and guidance say? When proposing core paths, the Council has to consider (i) the likelihood that people exercising access rights will do

so by using core paths; (ii) the desirability of encouraging people to use core paths; and (iii) the need to balance the exercise of access rights and the interests of the owner of the land (s17(3) of the Land Reform (Scotland) Act 2003). Its proposals should "assist the interests of sound land management (including diversification and local enterprise) without compromising accessibility" (page 44 of government guidance). The problem with a core path passing through a busy commercial yard is that the Council would in effect be encouraging people to pass through dangerous commercial and industrial premises. The proposal fails to strike the right balance between the exercise of access rights and the needs of business - especially where there are alternatives to be used instead. There are in any event no access rights through the yard at the moment because the Act excludes access rights from land which "forms a compound or other enclosure" containing any "building or other structure or works, plant or fixed machinery" - in this case, the yard. The Estate has taken Senior Counsel's advice on these issues.

6. What should the committee do? It is suggested that the committee should approve only the more easterly fork in the proposed new core path, and delete the western fork. That would ensure the new core path plan can be adopted soon and put into effect. Discussions about the options for any future route further west can be continued without interfering with the wider core paths plan.

7. What happens if the committee approves the current proposal including the route through the yard? Because of the impact that route would have on the yard and the local people, the Estate would have to maintain its objection, and the Scottish Ministers will have to hold a local inquiry into whether the plan will, if adopted, fulfil the purpose of giving the public reasonable access through the area: s20A(5) of the Act. The Council couldn't adopt the new core plan unless and until Ministers direct them to do so after the local inquiry: s20A(3). Ministers' decision could then be challenged in the Court of Session.

The Estate thanks the committee for the opportunity to contribute to their discussion. 7 September 2020







Ν







Ν

240

1,440

960

1,920





LO08 Glenborrodale and Laga



Glenborrodale Key to Photos Map based







Glenborrodale Key to Photos

Aerial view

1:7,500

The Highland Council Comhairle na Gàidhealtachd





P 1.1 Glenborrodale Castle East Gate

P4 The Sheds









video available -

_









P6.0



P7.0





P11.0



P13

