Agenda Item	6.
Report No	SCC/14/21

#### **HIGHLAND COUNCIL**

Committee: Sutherland County Committee

Date: 16 August 2021

Report Title: Speed Limit Review Bonar Bridge to Ardgay

Report By: Executive Chief Officer Infrastructure, Environment & Economy

### 1. Purpose/Executive Summary

1.1 The communities of Bonar and Ardgay have requested that the speed limit between the villages be reduced from the current national speed limit to 30mph. This report outlines the assessment of the speed limit on this route and provides recommendations based on current guidance and best practice.

#### 2. Recommendations

- 2.1 Members are asked to:-
  - Agree a reduction in the 60mph speed limit to 30mph by extending the Bonar Bridge 30mph speed limit by 270m or thereby generally westwards towards Ardgay; and
  - ii. Agree the remainder of the national speed between Bonar Bridge and Ardgay be reduced to 50mph.

#### 3. Implications

- 3.1 **Resource** The funding and installation of a new speed limit order will be funded from the Sutherland Area Road Revenue Budget.
- 3.2 **Legal** Speed limits require to be supported by a Road Traffic Regulation Order.
- 3.3 **Community (Equality, Poverty and Rural)** Lower vehicle speeds aim to reduce the risks for vulnerable road users.

- 3.4 **Climate Change / Carbon Clever** Reduced vehicle speeds have the aim of increasing active travel choices and thus reducing the number of vehicles, and as a result emissions, on the roads.
- 3.5 **Risk** Speed limit reductions should be designed to be self-enforcing as a result monitoring will be required after installation to ensure compliance.
- 3.6 **Gaelic** There are no Gaelic Implications.

### 4. Background

- 4.1 The communities of Bonar and Ardgay have requested that the speed limit between the villages be reduced from the current national speed limit to 30mph.
- 4.2 Speed limits are set in accordance with the guidance provided in DfT 01/2013 "Setting Local Speed Limits".
- 4.3 Speed limits should be evidence-led and self-explaining and seek to reinforce people's assessment of what is a safe speed to travel. They should encourage self-compliance. Speed limits should be seen by drivers as the maximum rather than a target speed.

### 5. Analysis

- 5.1 The A836 is a through road with local access function, it is a single carriageway varying in width from 5.5m to 6.5m with its principal function being to convey through traffic.
- 5.2 The current Road traffic Regulation Order applies over a length of 2.4km.
- 5.3 There is 1 junction with the C1136 Ardgay Link Road and 5 accesses serving the Public toilets carpark, Kyle river access, Industrial estate, Hub access and Ardgay Game. All accesses are within 257m or thereby from the termination of the 30mph speed limit leaving Bonar Bridge travelling south towards Ardgay.
- 5.4 The analysis of the road under the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges assesses the design speed of the road geometry between the current 30mph limits to be within the current 100kph (62mph) speed limit design.
- 5.5 The mean average speed of vehicles using this road is 49mph westbound and 50mph eastbound which is within the acceptable range for a 60mph limit. The most up to date speed analysis figures are attached in **Appendix A** and reflect the current speeds of traffic during the NC500 season.
- 5.6 The mean average speed would indicate that the current road geometry and density of development along with other visual effects is insufficient to justify a 30mph limit.
- 5.7 The mean average speeds do not sit within the range where a reduction of the speed limit form 60mph to 30mph would be sustainable or achievable without significant engineering cost. This would be required to change the geometry of the road to ensure the new 30 mph speed limit is self-enforcing.

- An analysis of the factors to be considered when analysing a proposed reduction of speed limit is attached in **Appendix B**. This shows overwhelmingly that a blanket reduction in the speed limit from 60 mph to 30 mph on this section of road is not supported by the analysis.
- 5.9 While the guidelines do not support a blanket lowering of the speed limit to 30mph throughout the length of the current 60mph limit it does make allowance for local mitigation measures to ensure pedestrian safety and to take into account local user opinion.

#### 6. Conclusion

- 6.1 Due to the collection of amenities and businesses on the north end of the route an extension to the Bonar Bridge 30mph limit of 270m or thereby south would be appropriate with a suitably enhanced gateway. Appendix C
- 6.2 Given this section is a link route between the villages of Bonar Bridge and Ardgay with public support for a reduced limit to improve the perception of safety on this route a reduced speed limit of 50mph would be possible. This limit is taking into account the current mean average speeds of 49mph along this route which suggests the 50mph speed limit would be self-enforcing without any requirement to reengineers the route.

Designation: Executive Chief Officer Infrastructure, Environment & Economy

Date: 27 July 2021

Author: Joanne Sutherland, Road Operations Manager (Caithness & Sutherland)

# Appendix A

# **Bonar Bridge to Ardgay Speed survey results**

Site No.	00000011		Site Ref.	00000011													
A836 Bonar	- Ardgay														Channel:	Total Flow	,
						Spe	ed Summa	ry (Speed	Limit 60 M	ph)							
							From 09/0	6/2020 To 1	5/06/2020								
	Total Volume	85th Percentile	Mean Average	Standard Deviation	Bin 1 <30Mph	Bin 2 30-<35	Bin 3 35-<40	Bin 4 40-<45	Bin 5 45-<50	Bin 6 50-<55	Bin 7 55-<60	Bin 8 60-<65	Bin 9 65-<70	Bin 10 70-<75	Bin 11 75-<80	Bin 12 80-<85	Bin 13 =>85
Monday																	
Tuesday		57	49	33													
Wednesday	1450	57	49	33	17	28	131	274	385	322	178	73	25	8	8	0	1
Thursday	1628	57	49	33	35	34	107	248	427	420	202	90	37	16	8	3	1
Friday	1752	58	49	34	51	35	134	294	432	395	232	98	46	26	5	3	1
Saturday	1400	58	49	34	34	28	104	232	340	335	195	72	30	21	$\epsilon$	1	2
Sunday		58	50	34													
5 Day Ave.	1583	57	49	33	33	32	121	274	409	374	199	84	32	15	7	2	1
7 Day Ave.	1520	57	49	33	33	30	116	260	389	359	197	81	31	16	$\epsilon$	1	1

# Appendix B

Road Function A83  Road Geometry Call and	omment 836 Through road with local access function.		Conforma		
Route  A836 Speed limits are set in accordance with the guidance provided in DfT  O1/2013 "Setting Local Speed Limits"  Issue Cor Road Function A83  Road Geometry Calance			Conforma	مادان ومرد	
A836 Speed limits are set in accordance with the guidance provided in DfT 01/2013 "Setting Local Speed Limits"  Issue Road Function  Road Geometry  Callance			Conforma	مادن معد	
Speed limits are set in accordance with the guidance provided in DfT 01/2013 "Setting Local Speed Limits"  Issue Cor Road Function A83  Road Geometry Calance			Conforma		
with the guidance provided in DfT 01/2013 "Setting Local Speed Limits"  Issue Cor Road Function A83  Road Geometry Cal			Conforma		
01/2013 "Setting Local Speed Limits"  Issue Cor Road Function A83  Road Geometry Cal-			Conforma		
Issue Cor Road Function A83 Road Geometry Cal			Conforma		
Road Function A83  Road Geometry Call and		2		nce with G	uidelines
Road Function A83  Road Geometry Call and		Determination on Specific Issues	None		Full
Road Geometry Cal-		Is not suitable for a reduction of speed limit to 30mph			
and			None		
and	lculated using CD 109 Highway Link Design in the Design Manual for Roads		ITOILC		
1	d Bridges produces a design speed for the stretch of road within the				
Inat	tional speed limit of 62mph or 100kph.				
	esign speed calculated at 100A. Suffixes A and / or B indicate the higher	Is not suitable for a reduction of speed limit to 30mph			
	d lower categories of each band. This calculated speed translates to	is not suitable for a readstron of speed immeter some.			
	mph or 100kph.		None		
i i	no. C1136 Ardgay Link Road	Is not suitable for a reduction of speed limit to 30mph			
		·	None		
Bends 1 no	no. bend with a horizontal curvature of 1494.7mR or thereby (Information	Is not suitable for a reduction of speed limit to 30mph	IVOITE		
	thered from ACAD & OS mapping) with a super elevation of 2.3%.	is not suitable for a reduction of speed innit to sompli			
I - I -	0 109 Highway Link Design - Table 2.10 Design speed related parameters				
	pulates that a road with a design speed of 100kph and a superelevation of				
	5% requires a horizontal curvature of 1440m.		None		
	no. Public toilets carpark / Kyle river access / Industrial estate / Hub access	Is not suitable for a reduction of speed limit to 30mph			
	Ardgay Game access. All accesses within 257m or thereby from the	The state of the s			
I i	rmination of the 30mph limit leaving Bonar Bridge towards Ardgay.		None		
Road Environment					
	houses front the A836 in the National Speed limit section between	Is not suitable for a reduction of speed limit to 30mph			
	onar Bridge - Ardgay. Industrial / public premises are present in this	and the same of th			
	ction, however these are built back from the edge of the road with open				
	nd in front of them. This section of road is currently subject to a National				
	eed limit and is illuminated by street lamps, while the 30mph signs into				
	oth villages are illuminated also.		None		
Development					
No houses front the A836 Wit	ith reference to TAL 1/04 this section of the A836 between Bonar Bridge -	Does not meet 'village' criteria			
Ard	dgay does not fall into the 'village' category and a therefore 30mph speed				
lim	nit is not appropriate.		None		
Traffic Composition					
i -	e mean speed is 49mph which is below the posted National Speed limit.	Could be considered for a 50 mph, as mean speed is			
		below 50 so lower limit does not interfere with traffic		Partial	
		flow.		1 di cidi	
Accident and Casualty Savings					
	corded accidents	The A836 has been given a Road Assessment Programme			
	etween 05/01/2016 - 04/01/2021 there has been 2 RTC's:	Risk Rating of a Low - medium risk road. This			
	slight in June 2017 - not speed related.	information is from the Road Safety Foundation.			
	fatal in December 2019 - not speed related.	·			
Traffic Flows and Emissions	· ·				
	day average = 1583 vehicles (combined for both directions)	Speed limit would see no change to traffic flows.			
	o noticeable effect on traffic flows will occur should a speed limit be	The state of the s		Partial	
	posed.	Speed limit would see slight reduction in CO2 emissions.			
Journey Times for Motor Vehicles					
	reduction to 30mph over 1202.8m or thereby (length of National Speed	Speed limit reduction will increase journey time by			
	nit) will result in an additional 20.1 seconds to the journey time.	around 20 seconds.	None		
Journey Time Reliability	•				
	change in journey time reliability would be expected	No impact anticipated.			
Environmental Impact					
	reduction in speed would see a decrease in CO2 emissions, although this	A reduction in the speed limit would result in a slight			
is o	considered to be minimal. There would be a cost for the manufacture and	benefit. New infrastructure would create slight visual			
ere	ection of new signs but again this is thought to be minimal. Additional	pollution.			
sign	gnage would create a minimal visual impact.				
Public Anxiety					
Res	sidents of Bonar Bridge & Ardgay have expressed levels of anxiety and a	A reduced speed limit would help aleve concerns.		Dorticl	
spe	eed limit would help to allay their concerns.		<u> </u>	Partial	
Severence by fast moving traffic					
N/A	A	Does not justify a speed limit			
Conditions/Facilities for Vulnerable					
Road Users	uminated, surfaced footpath runs alongside the South - West bound	Speed limit would not improve facilities for vulnerable			
Road Users		road users but would improve levels of anxiety in the			
Road Users IIIu	rriageway.				1
Road Users IIIu	rriageway.	community.	None		
Road Users IIIu	rriageway.	community.	None		
Road Users    Illu   carr  Cost of Engineering Works	rriageway.  troduction of 30mph limit - ??	community.  New speed limit signs and repeater signs and traffic	None		
Road Users  Illu car  Cost of Engineering Works  Intr		·	None None		
Road Users  Illu car  Cost of Engineering Works  Intr	troduction of 30mph limit - ??	New speed limit signs and repeater signs and traffic			
Cost of Engineering Works Intro Mai Visual Impact of the Works	troduction of 30mph limit - ??	New speed limit signs and repeater signs and traffic			
Road Users  Illu cari  Cost of Engineering Works  Intr Mai  Visual Impact of the Works	troduction of 30mph limit - ?? aintain existing limit £0	New speed limit signs and repeater signs and traffic order			
Road Users  Illu cari  Cost of Engineering Works  Intr Ma  Visual Impact of the Works  Slig  Enforcement	troduction of 30mph limit - ?? aintain existing limit £0	New speed limit signs and repeater signs and traffic order	None		
Road Users  Illu carr  Cost of Engineering Works  Intr Mal  Visual Impact of the Works  Slig  Enforcement  Cur 30n	troduction of 30mph limit - ?? aintain existing limit £0 ght increase in visual pollution from additional signage.	New speed limit signs and repeater signs and traffic order  Not a significant impact.	None		

# Appendix C

