

# Highland and Western Isles Valuation Joint Board

Financial year ended 31 March 2021

Audit Report to Members of the Highland and Western Isles Valuation Joint Board and the Controller of Audit

Draft External Audit Report - Board Meeting 26 November 2021



### **Contents**



### Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

#### **John Boyd**

Audit Director T 0141 223 0899

E john.p.boyd@uk.gt.com

#### Sumiya Beg

Audit In-Charge

T 0131 223 0885
E Sumiya.beg@uk.qt.com

Section	Page
Key Messages	3
Introduction	L
Audit of the annual report and accounts	Ę
Wider scope audit – Smaller body	13
Appendices	
Audit adjustments	16
Follow up of 2019/20 recommendations	18
Audit fees and independence	19
Communication of audit matters	20

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our external audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect Highland and Western Isles Valuation Joint Board or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and Audit Scotland (under the Audit Scotland Code of Practice 2016). We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

## Key messages

This is our [DRAFT] report to Highland and Western 01 Materiality Isles Valuation Joint Board ('the VJB') and the Controller of Audit and concludes our audit on the financial year ended 31 March 2021. We plan to issue an unmodified audit opinion on the annual report and accounts. We thank Officers for all their remained the same. This resulted in: assistance during the audit process.

#### 03 Other audit matters

Our final report summarises a number of other audit matters, including:

- We have concluded that the Highland and Western Isles Valuation Joint Board ('the VJB') meets the definition of a going concern, reflecting on FRC Practice Note 10 considerations.
- The accounts contain limited areas of estimation and judgement. The only significant estimate relates to the valuation of IAS 19 defined benefit pension scheme liabilities. Our testing over this did not identify any indication of management bias or error.
- We set out our roles and responsibilities on fraud. During the course of our work we did not identify fraud and/or material error.

There were a number of disclosure adjustments. These are detailed in Appendix 1 and not considered material to the accounts.

We re-calculated our materiality based on the unaudited annual report and accounts. The benchmark of 2% of operating expenditure

- Materiality of £74,940 and a performance materiality (75% of materiality) of £56,205.
- All audit adjustments above £3,700 were reported to management and captured in this report.
- We applied a lower materiality to the Remuneration Report reflecting the lowest disclosure bandings (being £1,000).

#### 04 Wider Scope Audit

In accordance with the Code we determined that the Joint Board meet the definition of a smaller body. This is based on the Joint Board's income and expenditure transactions and balances held being relatively smaller than other public bodies and the financial statements are considered less complex.

In accordance with the Code we have concluded in this report on your governance statement and the Joint Board's financial sustainability arrangements. During our audit we did not identify any further areas of wider scope risk.

#### 02 Financial statement audit risks

At planning, in accordance with the ISAs (UK) and FRC Practice Note 10 we have identified the following significant financial statement audit risks:

- Management override of controls (ISA) UK 240)
- Risk of fraud in expenditure recognition around the year end (FRC PN10)
- Risk of misstatement of defined benefit pension scheme liabilities.

We have no matters to bring to your attention arising from our work over these significant audit risks.

#### 05 Our Audit Fee

Our audit fee, set out in our audit plan, of £7,610 was our final audit fee. There were no non-audit services (fees) during the year and we did not need to vary our agreed fee.

### Introduction

#### Scope of our audit work

This report is a summary of our findings from our external audit work for the financial year ended 31 March 2021 at the VJB. The scope of our audit was set out in our External Audit Plan communicated to the Joint Board in April 2021.

The main elements of our audit work in 2020/21 have been:

- An audit of the VJB's annual report and accounts for the financial year ended 31 March 2021; and
- Consideration financial sustainability and the Governance Statement, as required under the smaller body classification, within the Audit Scotland Code of Practice (2016).

Our work has been undertaken in accordance with International Standards of Auditing (ISAs) (UK) and the Code.

This report is addressed to the Accountable Officer and the Auditor General for Scotland and will be published on Audit Scotland's website <a href="https://www.audit-scotland.gov.uk">www.audit-scotland.gov.uk</a> in due course.

#### Status of the audit as at 26 November 2021

Our audit work is substantially complete. As at 16 November 2021 the final audit procedures were outstanding:

- Subsequent events procedures;
- Final disclosure review of the financial statements;
- Management's going concern assessment;
- Outstanding queries with Officers for Income/Expenditure; and
- Final engagement leader quality review.

#### Responsibilities

The VJB is responsible for preparing an annual report and accounts which show a true and fair view and prepared in accordance with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom.

The audit of the financial statements does not relieve Officers or the VJB of your responsibilities. It is the responsibility of the Partnershipto ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We will considered how the VJB is fulfilling these responsibilities.

The recommendations or risks identified in this report are only those that have come to our attention during our normal audit work and may not be all that exist. Communication in this report of matters arising from the audit or of risks or weaknesses does not absolve management from its responsibility to address the issues raised and to maintain an adequate system of control.

#### Adding value through our audit work

We aim to add value to the VJB throughout our audit work. In delivering our audit we use a dedicated public sector audit team. This ensures our team have a comprehensive understanding of the VJB and the wider public sector to focus on key areas of risk relevant to your financial statements.

As a result of the social distancing and travel restrictions implemented in response to the Covid-19 pandemic our audit work was delivered remotely. We continue to share recommended practices with management, where relevant, and contribute to wider discussions at the Joint Board during the year.

# Audit of the annual report and accounts

### Key messages and judgements

We [plan to issue] an unmodified audit opinion on the annual report and accounts.

There were no unadjusted adjustments to the primary financial statements and one adjusted misstatement in relation to the defined benefit pension scheme net liability. We raised a number of minor disclosure adjustments. We do not consider these to be material. Further details are provided in Appendix 1.

We would like to thank management for all their assistance during the year.

#### Our audit opinion

For the financial year ended 31 March 2021 we [plan to issue] an unmodified opinion on the annual report and accounts. As reported in the independent auditor's report:

- the financial statements give a true and fair view and were properly prepared in accordance with applicable law and the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21 (the 2020/21 Code)
- have been properly prepared in accordance with IFRSs as adopted by the European Union, as interpreted and adapted by the 2020/21 Code
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, The Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations 2014, and the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003.
- the information given in the Management Commentary, Annual Governance Statement and the Governance Compliance Statement for the financial year is consistent the with financial statements and have been prepared with statutory guidance issued under the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003; and, Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework (2016).

#### The audit process

As a result of the social distancing measures introduced in response to Covid-19 our audit work has been undertaken remotely with audits taking longer to complete throughout the year. Consequently, we agreed with Officers to undertake our detailed fieldwork throughout September and October to finalise for the Joint Board in November 2021. We received a good set of draft financial statements from Officers. We identified one adjusted misstatement to the financial statements in relation to defined benefit pension scheme liabilities. We also identified a number of disclosure adjustments in respect of the draft financial statements. A full listing of disclosure misstatements is detailed in Appendix 1. We do not consider these to be material to the financial statements.

#### Materiality

The concept of materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law. We updated our audit materiality to reflect the 2020/21 draft financial statements. It is set at £74,940 representing 2% of net operating expenditure. Performance materiality was set at £56,205), representing 75% of our calculated materiality. We report to management any difference identified over £2,700 (Being 5% of materiality). We applied a lower materiality to the Remuneration Report reflecting the lowest disclosure bandings (being £1,000).

#### Responding to significant financial statement risks

Significant risks are defined by ISAs (UK) as risks that, in the judgement of the auditor, require special audit consideration. In identifying risks, audit teams consider the nature of the risk, the potential magnitude of misstatement, and its likelihood. Significant risks are those risks that have a higher risk of material misstatement.

This section provides commentary on the significant audit risks communicated in the Audit Plan.

#### **Risks identified in our Audit Plan**

#### Management override of controls

As set out in ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that management override of controls is present in all entities. This risk area includes the potential for management to use their judgement to influence the financial statements as well as the potential to override the VJB's controls for specific transactions.

We consider those key judgements that are most susceptible to significant audit risk of management override are those over the valuation of investments. These are areas where management has the potential to influence the financial statements through estimate and judgement. This includes manual journals as well as critical judgements or estimates.

#### Commentary

- We considered the design of controls in place over key accounting estimates and judgements through performance of walkthrough procedures.
- We reviewed accounting estimates for management bias / indication of fraud that could result in material misstatement. This included review of estimates as at 31 March 2021. Journals testing including:
  - Assessment of the design of controls in place over journal entries, including journal preparation, authorisation and processing onto the financial ledger;
  - Risk assessment of the journals population to identify large or unusual journal entries, such as those that are not incurred in the normal course of business, or those entries that may be indicative of fraud or error that could result in material misstatement. We tested these journals to ensure they are appropriate and suitably recorded in the financial ledger;
  - Target testing of transactions around the financial year end, reviewing large journals and those which appear unusual to understand the rationale for the transaction.

#### Conclusion [subject to final audit quality review]

Through our audit procedures performed we found that there was no evidence of management override in our testing of transactions tested. We did not identify indication of fraud or inappropriate management bias in accounting estimates that could result in a material misstatement.

#### Risks identified in our Audit Plan

#### IAS 19 Pension Liabilities

The Partnership participates in the Highland Council Pension Fund, a local government pension scheme (LGPS). The scheme is a defined benefit pension scheme and in accordance with IAS 19: Pensions, Partnership is required to recognise its share of the scheme assets and liabilities on the statement of financial position.

Hymans Robertson UK LLP provide an annual IAS 19 actuarial valuation of the Partnership's net liabilities in the pension scheme. There are a number of assumptions contained within the valuation, including: discount rate; future return on scheme assets; mortality rates; and, future salary projections. Given the material value of the scheme liabilities and the level of estimation in the valuation, there is an inherent risk that the defined benefit pension scheme liability could be materially misstated within the financial statements.

#### Commentary

- From year end planning review our risk focused predominantly around the key assumptions used in the actuarial valuation, where there was an increased risk of material misstatement.
- We performed walkthroughs of the controls and procedures over the valuation of defined benefit pension liabilities, including Management oversight of the valuation;
- We considered the work of the actuary (Hymans Robertson UK LLP), including the assumptions applied, using the work performed by PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) (commissioned on behalf of Audit Scotland to review actuarial assumptions proposed by LGPS actuaries), as well as local audit assessment.
- As appointed auditors of Highland Council Pension fund, we obtained assurances over the information supplied to the actuary in relation to the VJB, including assets held and membership data, and confirm joint assurances in respect of employer and employee contributions in the year.
- We performed substantive analytical procedures in the year over the pension fund movements, investigating any deviations from audit expectation.
- We reviewed the accounting entries and disclosures made within the VJB' financial statements in relation to IAS 19.

#### Conclusion [subject to final audit quality review]

Through our audit procedures performed over the IAS 19 defined benefit pension liabilities recognised in the financial statements we are satisfied that these are free from material misstatement. We did identify an adjusted misstatement in relation to the VJB's share of the pension scheme assets. As the actuary had included forecast investment information for one fund manager (rather than actual investment values as 31 March) the overall IAS 19 valuation for the scheme was understated. The VJB's share of this is estimated at £75,000. Officers obtained an updated IAS 19 valuation and have appropriately adjusted the financial statements. Through considering the work performed by PwC, we are satisfied that the assumptions applied by the actuary are reasonable.

#### **Risks identified in our Audit Plan**

#### Risk of fraud in expenditure recognition (cut-off)

Operating expenditure is understated or not treated in the correct period (risk of fraud in expenditure). As payroll expenditure is well forecast and agreeable to underlying payroll systems, there is less opportunity for the risk of misstatement in this expenditure stream. We therefore focus on material non-pay expenditure streams. We consider the risk to be particularly prevalent around the year end and therefore focus our testing on cut-off of these expenditure streams and the completeness of expenditure.

Our testing includes a specific focus on year end cut-off arrangements, where it may be advantageous for management to show an enhanced/different financial position in the context of reporting in-year to Scottish Government and the need to achieve the financial targets set.

#### Commentary

- We performed walkthroughs of the controls and procedures over non-pay expenditure streams, specifically administration costs, property costs, supplies and services, and transport costs;
- Substantive testing of expenditure throughout the year to confirm its occurrence and accuracy of recording with a heightened risk around the year-end where we consider greatest incentive / opportunity for material misstatement;
- Focused substantive testing of non-pay expenditure recognised post year end to identify if there is any potential understatement to address the risk of cut-off; and
- Review of accruals and payables, where material, around the year end to consider if there is any indication of understatement or overstatement of balances held through consideration of accounting estimates.

#### Conclusion [subject to final audit quality review]

Through our audit procedures performed we did not identify any exceptions in our year end cut-off testing of expenditure. We did not identify any exceptions in the completeness and accuracy of accruals or payables balances at year end.

We did not identify any further areas of significant risk through the course of our audit work.

#### Significant estimates and judgements

The VJB' annual report and accounts contain limited areas of estimation and judgement. The one material area of estimation is in relation to IAS 19 defined benefit pension scheme liabilities.

judgement or estimate	Summary of officer's approach	Audit Comments	Assessment
IAS 19 defined benefit	The Pension Fund appoint Hymans Robertson UK LLP to provide an annual IAS 19 actuarial valuation of the VJB's net liabilities in the	Using the work of PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) we reviewed the key assumptions underpinning the actuarial valuation.	•
pension scheme liabilities	pension scheme. There are a number of assumptions contained within the valuation, including: discount rate; future return on scheme assets; mortality rates; and, future salary projections. Officers' engage Hymans to provide recommended assumptions and these are reviewed and approved to ensure appropriate for the authority. The final results are included in the annual accounts subject to Senior Officer and Board Member review.	We are satisfied that the assumptions adopted were appropriate for the VJB and that those applied were considered reasonable i.e. within our acceptable tolerances. While we identified a adjusted misstatement in relation to the valuation of scheme assets (Appendix 1), we did not identify any indication of management (Officer) bias in the underlying assumptions applied in the estimate. We have also raised a disclosure misstatement for enhancing the disclosure in the accounts in relation to the assumptions.	

#### **Assessment**

- Dark Purple We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- Blue
   We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- Grey
   We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- Light Purple We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

#### Internal control environment

In accordance with ISA requirements we have developed an understanding of the control environment in place within the VJB. We did this through a walkthrough of key controls within the VJB including expenditure, income, IAS 19 pension costs and journals. We identified no material weaknesses from this work which would have caused us to alter the planned approach as documented in our plan.

#### Detecting Irregularities, including fraud

Irregularities, including fraud, are instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations. We design procedures in line with our responsibilities, to detect material misstatements in respect of irregularities, including fraud. Owing to the inherent limitations of an audit, there is an unavoidable risk that material misstatements in the financial statements may not be detected, even though the audit is properly planned and performed in accordance with the ISAs (UK).

The extent to which our procedures are capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud is detailed below:

- We obtained an understanding of the legal and regulatory frameworks that are applicable to the VJB and determined that the most significant which are directly relevant to specific assertions in the financial statements are those related to the reporting frameworks; International Financial Reporting Standards and the CIPFA Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting 2020/21 (the 2020/21 Code).
- We enquired of Officers and the VJB' Joint Board, concerning the VJB's policies and procedures relating to the identification, evaluation and compliance with laws and regulations; the detection and response to the risks of fraud; and the establishment of internal controls to mitigate risks related to fraud or non-compliance with laws and regulations.
- We enquired of Officers (Management) and the Joint Board, whether they were aware of any instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations or whether they had any knowledge of actual, suspected or alleged fraud.
- We assessed the susceptibility of the VJB' financial statements to material misstatement, including how fraud might occur, by evaluating management's incentives and opportunities for manipulation of the financial statements. This included the evaluation of the risk of management override of controls. We determined that the principal risks were in relation to journal entries that altered the VJB' financial performance for the year and potential management bias in determining accounting estimates. Our audit procedures involved are documented within our response to the significant risk of management override of controls on Page 6.

- These audit procedures were designed to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements were free from fraud or error. However, detecting irregularities that result from fraud is inherently more difficult than detecting those that result from error, as those irregularities that result from fraud may involve collusion, deliberate concealment, forgery or intentional misrepresentations. Also, the further removed noncompliance with laws and regulations is from events and transactions reflected in the financial statements, the less likely we would become aware of it.
- The team communications in respect of potential noncompliance with relevant laws and regulations, included the potential for fraud in expenditure recognition and significant accounting estimates.
- In assessing the potential risks of material misstatement, we obtained an understanding of:
  - the VJB's operations, including the nature of its operating revenue and expenditure and its services and of its objectives and strategies to understand the classes of transactions, account balances, expected financial statement disclosures and business risks that may result in risks of material misstatement.
  - the VJB's control environment, including the policies and procedures implemented to ensure compliance with the requirements of the financial reporting framework.

#### Other key elements of the financial statements

As part of our audit there were other key areas of focus during the course of our audit. Whilst not considered a significant risk, these are areas of focus either in accordance with the Audit Scotland Code of Audit Practice or ISAs or through due to their complexity or importance to the user of the accounts.

Issue	Commentary
Matters in relation to fraud and irregularity	It is the VJB's responsibility to establish arrangements to prevent and detect fraud and other irregularity. As auditors, we obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. We obtain annual representation from management regarding managements assessment of fraud risk, including internal controls, and any known or suspected fraud or misstatement. We have also made inquires of internal audit around internal control, fraud risk and any known or suspected frauds in year. We have not been made aware of any other incidents in the period and no other issues have been identified during the course of our audit procedures.
Accounting practices	We have evaluated the appropriateness of the VJB's accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures. Disclosures and accounting policies are in line with the 2020/21 Code and we have no matters to report.
Matters in relation to related parties	We are not aware of any related parties or related party transactions which have not been disclosed.
Matters in relation to laws and regulations	You have not made us aware of any significant incidences of non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations and we have not identified any incidences from our audit work.
Opinion on other aspects of the annual report and accounts	The information given in the Management Commentary is consistent with the financial statements and that report has been prepared in accordance with statutory guidance issued under the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003. We carry out work to satisfy ourselves that disclosures made in your Annual Governance Statement are consistent with the financial statements and that the report is prepared in accordance with the Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework (2016).

Issue	Commentary
Matters on which we report by exception	We are required by the Auditor General for Scotland to report to you if, in our opinion: adequate accounting records have not been kept; or the financial statements are not in agreement with the accounting records; or we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit there has been a failure to achieve a prescribed financial objective. We have nothing to report in respect of these matters.
Annual Governance statement	The governance statement outlines the governance framework in place at the VJB. The Annual Governance Statement is consistent with the financial statements and the report is prepared in accordance with the Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework (2016).
	There was no matters arising from our review of the governance statement that we want to draw attention to.
Written representations	A letter of representation has been requested from the Executive Chief Officer, Resources and Finance, including specific representations, which is included in the Joint Board's papers. Specific representations have been requested from officers in line with prior years and confirms as auditors all records have been made available to us.
Going concern	In performing our work on going concern, we have had reference to Statement of Recommended Practice – Practice Note 10: Audit of financial statements of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom (Revised 2020). The Financial Reporting Council recognises that for particular sectors, it may be necessary to clarify how auditing standards are applied to an entity in a manner that is relevant and provides useful information to the users of financial statements in that sector. Practice Note 10 provides that clarification for audits of public sector bodies.
	Practice Note 10 states that if the financial reporting framework provides for the adoption of the going concern basis of accounting on the basis of the anticipated continuation of the provision of a service in the future, the auditor applies the continued provision of service approach set out in Practice Note 10. The financial reporting framework adopted by the VJB meets this criteria, and so we have applied the continued provision of service approach. In accordance with Audit Scotland guidance: Going concern in the public sector, we have therefore considered Officer's assessment of the appropriateness of the going concern basis of accounting and conclude that:
	a material uncertainty related to going concern has not been identified
	• Officer's (Management's) use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate.

# Wider scope audit – Smaller body

As set out in our Audit Plan, the VJB meets the definition of a smaller body in accordance with the Audit Scotland Code of Practice (2016). Therefore, as auditors we are required to include in our annual report commentary on arrangements as they relate to financial sustainability and the Annual Governance Statement. Our work on the Annual Governance Statement, and conclusions are set out on page 12 of this report. Below we have captured our commentary and conclusions on financial sustainability and other matters of interest during the year.

Wid	er	SCC	pe
dim			

#### Wider scope risk identified in our audit plan

#### Wider scope audit response and findings

#### **Grant Thornton conclusion**

Governance arrangements (Audit Scotland planning guidance consideration) No significant risks identified within our audit planning.

#### Governance

As a result of the outbreak of Covid-19, the Joint Board meeting in March 2020 was cancelled. However, key business, including approval of the 2020/21 budget was approved via email by Members. arrangements or disclosures within As a result of the travel restrictions and social distancing measures introduced as a result of Covid-19, the VJB have implemented remote working and governance arrangements during the year, including remote meetings of the Joint Board. We did not identify any concerns around the governance arrangements in operation during the year.

The VJB have engaged the Highland Council's Internal Audit function but it is important that members to provide Internal Audit services to the organisation. During 2020/21 Internal Audit provided assurance over: systems of internal control to inform the Board's Annual Governance Statement: a review of the authorisation process for changes to the Valuation Roll and Council Tax list; and, review of the Assessor's purchase to pay process. There were no significant control deficiencies or issues identified through Internal Audit's work that would require specific disclosure in the Annual Governance Statement.

We did not identify any concerns around the VJB's governance the draft Governance Statement.

We found that there is an opportunity for enhancing risk oversight at the Joint Board. Currently Management monitor and manage risks facing the VJB have oversight of the risks facing the organisation and assurance around the arrangements in place to manage strategic risks.

Action Plan follow up - 1.

### Wider scope dimension

#### Wider scope risk identified in our audit plan

#### Wider scope audit response and findings

#### **Grant Thornton conclusion**

Financial Sustainability, (as applicable to a smaller body)

No significant risks identified within our audit planning. For the year ended 31 March 2021, the VJB reported total comprehensive expenditure for the year of £583,000 (2019/20: Income £1,092,000). This included actuarial losses on the IAS 19 defined benefit pension scheme liability of £194,000. The overall deficit on the provision of services was £389,000 (2019/20: £382,000). During the year, the VJB delivered an overall underspend on operating activities of £120,000 through higher than budgeted grant income and lower than forecast costs. The VJB restricts reserves to 3% of total budget in the year of transfer and cumulatively not exceed 5% of total budget. Consequently, the overall underspend was reduced to £8,000 by returning £112,000 of requisitions from constituent authorities in advance of the year end.

The VJB recognise wider risks in relation to pressures on finances to support has the capacity and the organisation continue to deliver its objectives. The VJB's workload continues to represent an operational challenge, including the five yearly valuation cycle and the impact of Covid-19 related appeals. In addition, the VJB continues to prepare for the implementation of the Barclay reforms and the move to three yearly revaluations. The increased revaluation cycle has a significant impact on workload and resources. A key challenge for the valuations. VJB is ensuring that it has sufficient valuation capacity and capability to manage the workload while continuing to operate within available resources. With financial pressures facing constituent councils, particularly with the impact of Covid-19, officers recognise that there is a need to continue to operate as efficiently as possible. This is reflected in the medium term financial forecasts included as part of the VJB's budget papers. Officers project that following an initial period of investment in IT and development in response to the Barclay review, by 2023/24 the operating budget will return to levels close to those in 2021.

Through our audit procedures we have not identified any significant risks in relation to the VJB' financial sustainability.

Officers recognise the financial pressures faced in delivering planned activities with funding available from external bodies or constituent authorities. Over the coming years, the VJB face the challenge of ensuring that it has the capacity and capability to successfully implement the recommendations of the Barclay review, including increased frequency of valuations.

# Appendices

### 1. Audit Adjustments

We are required to report all non trivial misstatements to those charged with governance, whether or not the accounts have been adjusted by management. We are pleased to report that there were no uncorrected misstatements to the primary financial statements. We identified one adjusted misstatement to the primary financial statements in relation to defined benefit pension scheme assets.

#### Impact of adjusted misstatements

All adjusted misstatements are set out in detail below along with the impact on the key statements and the reported net expenditure for the year ending 31 March 2021.

Detail	Statement of Comprehensive Net Expenditure £'000	Statement of Financial Position £' 000
Being understatement of the VJB' share of the pension scheme assets within IAS 19 Pension liability as a result of the use of forecast investment values rather than the position as at 31 March 2021.	Cr IAS 19 – Return on scheme assets (78) Dr IAS 19 Experience adjustments 3	Dr IAS 19 Pension Liabilities 75
Overall impact	(75)	75

[subject to finalisation of audit procedures]

#### Misclassification and disclosure changes

The table below provides details of substantive misclassification and disclosure changes identified during the audit which have been made in the final set of financial statements. [subject to finalisation of audit procedures]

Disclosure	Auditor recommendations	Adjusted?
Annual Report	There is an opportunity to enhance the current management commentary to provide a greater focus on the VJB's strategic objectives and progress towards the delivery of these.	N/A – A three year strategic plan is being developed by the VJB. This will form a key part of the commentary in the 2021/22 Annual Report and Accounts. As auditors we are satisfied that this does not represent a material disclosure misstatement in the financial statements and Officers have agreed to review as part of the 2021/22 financial statements.
Statement of Cash Flows	The presentation could benefit from a note to the financial statements to support the disclosure of non-cash movements throughout the year.	✓
Assumptions made about the future and other major sources of estimation uncertainty	International Financial Reporting standards prescribe the required disclosures in relation to critical judgements and separate consideration of accounting estimates.  The VJB should disclose judgements that Officers make when applying its accounting policies that have the most significant effect on carrying amounts in the financial statements. Significant Estimates relate to assumptions and estimates at 31 March that have a significant risk of resulting in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities within the next financial year. There is an opportunity to enhance the disclosure to focus on those key areas of estimation that may have a significant risk of material change in the next 12 months. This should focus on those key areas of assumptions such as pension fund discount rate or key assumptions in the valuation.	Partly – Officers have updated disclosures to enhance accounting estimates and the key assumptions around these. However, there are further opportunities to enhance the disclosures in relation to significant estimates and judgements in accordance with IAS 1. In particular, we would expect a specific note to inform the reader of the accounts around the specific estimates and judgements that there is risk of material change in the next 12 months. As auditors we are satisfied that this does not represent a material disclosure misstatement in the financial statements and Officers have agreed to review as part of the 2021/22 financial statements.

There were minor presentational (rounding / formatting) changes recommended to Management. These are not considered material to the accounts.

# 2. Follow up of 2019/20 recommendations

We are pleased to report there were no audit recommendations arising from our audit work in the current year. We set out below our follow up of our 2019/20 recommendation and this is reflected below for information.

#### 1. Risk Management

From review of the VJB Board minutes and papers there does not appear to be significant focus on risks and no formal Board review of a VJB risk register. In addition, while the VJB has established key non-financial performance measures, as noted within the Annual Report, there does not appear to be significant monitoring of these during the year at the VJB Board. There is an opportunity to enhance the level of oversight and scrutiny across the VJB through establishing key performance reports and also reporting and monitoring of the key risks to the delivery of these.

Initial management response: Highland and Western Isles Valuation Joint Board staff maintain a risk register which is regularly reviewed. Significant changes in key risks will be reported to the next Board meeting. Non-financial performance monitoring is reported in the annual accounts.

#### Follow up: Outstanding

While key risks facing the organisation are monitored through Officers. There is an opportunity to enhance the scrutiny and oversight of these at the Joint Board. We recommend that Management consider the arrangements in place for ensuring the key strategic risks are monitored by members to ensure there is appropriate strategic oversight of these.

Responsible Officer: Assessor and Electoral Registration Officer

Implementation Date: Y/E 2021/22

# 3. Audit fees and independence

#### **External Audit Fee**

Service	Fees £
External Auditor Remuneration	6,620
Pooled Costs	630
Contribution to Audit Scotland costs	360
Contribution to Performance Audit and Best Value	-
2020/21 Fee	7,610

#### Fees for other services

Service	Fees £
We confirm that for 2020/21 we did not	Nil
receive any fees for non-audit services	

#### Client service

We take our client service seriously and continuously seek your feedback on our external audit service. Should you feel our service falls short of expected standards please contact John Boyd (john.p.boyd@uk.gt.com), Audit Director, in the first instance or Joanne Brown, Head of Public Sector Assurance Scotland who oversees our portfolio of Audit Scotland work (joanne.e.brown@uk.gt.com). Alternatively, should you wish to raise your concerns further please contact Jon Roberts, Partner and Head of Assurance, 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. If your feedback relates to audit quality and we have not successfully resolved your concerns, your concerns should be reported to Elaine Boyd, Assistant Director, Audit Scotland Quality and Appointments in accordance with the Audit Scotland audit quality complaints process.

#### Transparency

Grant Thornton publishes an annual Transparency Report, which sets out details of the action we have taken over the past year to improve audit quality as well as the results of internal and external quality inspections. For more details see <u>Transparency report 2020</u> [grantthornton.co.uk]

#### Independence and ethics

- We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on
  our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to
  your attention.
- We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standards and therefore we confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.
- We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

- We are required by auditing and ethical standards to communicate any relationships that may affect the independence and objectivity of the audit team.
- We can confirm no independence concerns have been identified.

### 4. Communication of audit matters

International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISA) 260, as well as other ISAs, prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table below.

Our communication plan	Audit Plan	Audit Findings
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	•	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit, including planning assessment of audit risks and wider scope risks	•	
Confirmation of independence and objectivity	•	•
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence. Relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence. Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged. Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence	•	•
Significant matters in relation to going concern	•	•
Views about the qualitative aspects of the VJB' accounting and financial reporting practices, including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures		•
Significant findings from the audit		•
Significant matters and issues arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		•
Significant difficulties encountered during the audit		•
Significant deficiencies in internal control identified during the audit		•
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		•
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or which results in material misstatement of the financial statements		•
Non-compliance with laws and regulations		•
Unadjusted misstatements and material disclosure omissions		•
Expected modifications to the auditor's report, or emphasis of matter		•



© 2021 Grant Thornton UK LLP.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.